

**From:** [Eric B](#)  
**To:** [WPCtestimony](#)  
**Subject:** Testimony On Hawaii General Plan 2045 (New Biz)  
**Date:** Thursday, October 31, 2024 1:30:20 PM

---

There is much more details in a PDF that others will be sending in, but here are some serious concerns, if any parts of the Hawaii General Plan 2045 "Violate or go against the Constitution" it is illegal and deemed null and void.

Eric Bjerke

Here are a some reasons why:

- It is too vague. The language is not clear what the plan wishes to accomplish. There are MANY concerning sections that sound like rights, freedoms, and property are at risk with this plan.
- Page 111, section 17.4: "*Land use application shall **identify** as early as possible any existing or potential active living corridors that should be **incorporated** into the counties open space network.*" This sounds like you'll be looking at people's property to take for open space because they apply for land use. That is not Pono and is an invasion of privacy!
- 2.2 Biocultural Stewardship Goal (1.13): "***Incentive** private land management practices that enhance natural resources and values and when appropriate **pursue the acquisitions** of lands for the protections of natural resources.*" We've seen your incentives like the tax incentives on Maui, which is costing people thousands if they don't do what the government wants. You want to acquire land to protect natural resources and values. Who gets to decide what are natural resources and values? Whose values are they? Why do you think it's your right to "pursue" acquiring someone's private property?!!
- You have an entire section on climate change that looks to take away many freedoms and rights. Did you know there are close to 2K credentialed scientists from around the world that state there is no climate danger? <https://clintel.org/world-climate-declaration/> The reason for this narrative is the change these policies will create will **increase** the pocket book of investors in renewable energy as it reduces freedoms for the general population.
- Page 116, 19.7: "*Concurrency reviews should incorporate **reduction in vehicle miles traveled** to mitigate traffic impacts and achieve sustainability and **demand management goals**.*" The Constitution says we have the right to travel! It is not the right of the Planning department to "demand" that your management goals reduce that right! Anything that reads, "Reduce vehicle miles traveled" should be removed from this plan!