

From: Michelle Melendez
To: [WPCtestimony](#); [LPCtestimony](#)
Subject: You Are Ignoring My Testimony on General Plan Per YOUR Comments
Date: Monday, December 2, 2024 3:28:53 PM

Aloha Commissioners,

I'm very disturbed that you have ignored my testimony on "climate change".

I believe it was the Chair at timestamp 2:49:00 who said that there is evidence of climate change and sea level rising. WHERE? Do you see it in Hilo, Kona or ANY land mass on the planet?

I have given **numerous experts** sharing information to the contrary of climate danger, which I will share again below.

Commissioner Rodriguez wants to change the name "climate change" so everyone can get on board with **ALL the regulations and rules** that this plan wants to put in place because of this false narrative.

If you change the name, you **MUST** eliminate that section because it then has NO Standing. There is no reason for that section of the plan.

Will you Please look at the evidence I'm sharing?

I have a right to be heard. This is NOT a Conspiracy Theory!

Are Climatologists, Meteorologists, and other scientists from around the world lying?

Here is the evidence (please review):

Over 1900 credentialed scientists have signed a World Declaration literally stating, "There is No Climate Danger". Review it here <https://clintel.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/WCD-241023.pdf>

*The declaration states: "To believe the outcome of a climate model **is to believe what the model makers have put in**. This is precisely the problem of today's climate discussion to which climate models are central. Climate science has **degenerated** into a discussion based on beliefs, **not on sound self-critical science**. Should not we **free ourselves from the naive belief in immature climate models?**"*

John Coleman, Good Morning America's first weatherman and the founder of the Weather channel stated, "There is No Global Warming". Find his talk here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K56fms2VZTc>

50 Years as Meteorologist with the US Airforce and Climatologist Professor David Dilley, says the earth is cooling not warming. He explains the earth was closer to the sun 800 years ago. He shares the Milankovitch Cycles here: <https://youtu.be/sa-tlITPnM?si=0-ubPSELxpFnAVH5>

On the Boston Globe's YouTube channel, on May 14, 2010, MIT Professor of Meteorology Richard Lindzen shared, "If one asks, "Is the temperature increasing or decreasing?" it's always doing one or the other. I have no concern about that. By asking people to worry about whether it's going up or down, you're immediately establishing dishonesty. The Earth is always changing. Climate change is nothing you have to prove. It always is happening. It always has happened. So, to make that into something alarming seems a little bit weird to me." Find his interview here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pwvVephTIHU>

Professor Lindzen also stated, "At any given place, traditionally, sea level is measured by what are called tide gauges: a stick in the water, basically. Two things that change are what a tide gauge shows: the land moving up and down and the sea moving up and down. In most places, it's **the land that has the biggest effect, and so you don't have a good measure of sea level rise.**"

It reads:

"Sea levels have risen on average 1.6 millimeters (0.063 inches) per year between 1900 and 2018."

That means the sea level has risen a little over 7.4 inches in the last 118 years! Does that show the world is in danger of being engulfed by water? No.

It shows that it will be a very, very, very long time before humans are in danger. Does that mean documentaries like "An Inconvenient Truth" are telling lies?

An article was published in the Seattle Times on October 12, 2007, titled "British judge ruled the Oscar-winning film on global warming, "An Inconvenient Truth," contains "nine errors.""

Here is the list of inaccuracies found in Court taken from the "Friends Of Science" website (Find the article here: https://friendsofscience.org/assets/documents/FOS%20Essay/British_High_Court_Ruling_on_An_Inconvenient_Truth.html):.

The inaccuracies in the documentary include:

1. The film claims that melting snows on Mount Kilimanjaro evidence global warming. The Government's expert was forced to

concede that this is not correct.

2. The film suggests that evidence from ice cores proves that rising CO2 levels cause temperature increases over 650,000 years. The Court found that the film was misleading: over that period, the rises in CO2 lagged behind the temperature rises by 800-2,000 years.

3. The film uses emotive images of Hurricane Katrina and suggests that this has been caused by global warming. The Government's expert had to accept that it was "not possible" to attribute one-off events to global warming

4. The film shows the drying up of Lake Chad and claims that this was caused by global warming. The Government's expert had to accept that this was not the case.

5. The film claims that a study showed that polar bears had drowned due to disappearing arctic ice. It turned out that Mr. Gore had misread the study: in fact, four polar bears drowned, and this was because of a particularly violent storm.

6. The film threatens that global warming could stop the Gulf Stream, throwing Europe into an ice age. The Claimant's evidence was that this was a scientific impossibility.

7. The film blames global warming for species losses, including coral reef bleaching. The Government could not find any evidence to support this claim.

8. The film suggests that sea levels could rise by 7 meters, causing the displacement of millions of people. In fact, the evidence is that sea levels are expected to rise by about 40 centimeters over the next 100 years and there is no such threat of massive migration.

9. The film claims that rising sea levels has caused the evacuation of certain Pacific islands to New Zealand. The Government was unable to substantiate this, and the Court observed that this appears to be a false claim.

Also, the Court's interim ruling included the following:

1. The film suggests that the Greenland ice covering could melt, causing sea levels to rise dangerously. The evidence is that Greenland will not melt for a millennia.

2. The film suggests that the Antarctic ice covering is melting; the evidence was that it is, in fact, increasing.

High Court Judge Michael Burton stated:

"Former Vice President Al Gore, the documentary's moderator, makes nine statements in the film that are not supported by the current mainstream scientific consensus. For instance, Gore's script implies that Greenland or West Antarctica might melt soon, creating a sea-level rise of up to 20 feet that would cause devastation from San Francisco to the Netherlands to Bangladesh."

The judge called this "distinctly alarmist" and said the consensus view is that if Greenland melted, it would release this amount of water "but only after, and over, a millennia."

You may be asking, "Why is this in the general plan and why is the climate change narrative in the media throughout the world if it isn't true?"

Because those who've invested in renewable energy will profit greatly and have more power over people if we adopt their narrative. They also own most of the news. I'm not a climate denier. I'm not a conspiracy theorist. I'm someone who researches until I discover the truth.

The truth is, if you put this plan through as it is, you will destroy freedom and prosperity on Big Island for future generations.

Regards,

Michelle Melendez-Freedom Activist

Fitness and Wellness Expert Since 1996

Author Of The Best Selling and 4x Award Winning Book,

End Dieting Hell: How to find peace in your body and release the weight

Order your copy of [End Dieting Hell Click Here](#)

"Great Maui Land Grab" NOW [Available here](#)

On Thu, Nov 21, 2024 at 5:10 PM Michelle Melendez <michelle@blossominnerwellness.com> wrote:

Aloha Leeward Commission,

Mahalo so much for listening to us today. I know you are all volunteers and I really appreciate your time.

A huge part of the plan is dedicated to "Climate Change". However, I've researched and found many climate experts disagree with this narrative.

This is VERY dangerous because this section will add more rules, and regulations and take away freedom. In the name of climate change it will restructure the Big Island way of life and give more power to the government.

Here is what you need to know:

Over 1900 credentialed scientists have signed a World Declaration literally stating, "There is No Climate Danger". Review it here <https://clintel.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/WCD-241023.pdf>

*The declaration states: "To believe the outcome of a climate model is to believe what the model makers have put in. This is precisely the problem of today's climate discussion to which climate models are central. Climate science has **degenerated** into a discussion based on beliefs, **not on sound self-critical science**. Should not we free ourselves from the naive belief in immature climate models?"*

John Coleman, Good Morning America's first weatherman and the founder of the Weather channel stated, "There is No Global Warming". Find his talk here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K56fms2VZTc>

50 Years as Meteorologist with the US Airforce and Climatologist Professor David Dilley, says the earth is cooling not warming. He explains the earth was closer to the sun 800 years ago. He shares the Milankovitch Cycles here: <https://youtu.be/sa-tlITPnM?si=0-ubPSELxpFnAVH5>

On the Boston Globe's YouTube channel, on May 14, 2010, MIT Professor of Meteorology Richard Lindzen shared, "If one asks, "Is the temperature increasing or decreasing?" it's always doing one or the other. I have no concern about that. By asking people to worry about whether it's going up or down, you're immediately establishing dishonesty. The Earth is always changing. Climate change is nothing you have to prove. It always is happening. It always has happened. So, to make that into something alarming seems a little bit weird to me." Find his interview here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pwvVephTIHU>

Professor Lindzen also stated, "At any given place, traditionally, sea level is measured by what are called tide gauges: a stick in the water, basically. Two things that change are what a tide gauge shows: the land moving up and down and the sea moving up and down. In most places, it's **the land that has the biggest effect, and so you don't have a good measure of sea level rise.**"

On August 21, 2020, NASA published an article titled "NASA-led Study Reveals the Causes of Sea Level Rise Since 1900." Find the article here: <https://climate.nasa.gov/news/3012/nasa-led-study-reveals-the-causes-of-sea-level-rise-since-1900/>

It reads:

"Sea levels have risen on average 1.6 millimeters (0.063 inches) per year between 1900 and 2018."

That means the sea level has risen a little over 7.4 inches in the last 118 years! Does that show the world is in danger of being engulfed by water? No.

It shows that it will be a very, very, very long time before humans are in danger. Does that mean documentaries like "An Inconvenient Truth" are telling lies?

An article was published in the Seattle Times on October 12, 2007, titled "British judge ruled the Oscar-winning film on global warming, "An Inconvenient Truth," contains "nine errors.""

Here is the list of inaccuracies found in Court taken from the "Friends Of Science" website (Find the article here: https://friendsofscience.org/assets/documents/FOS%20Essay/British_High_Court_Ruling_on_An_Inconvenient_Truth.html):.

The inaccuracies in the documentary include:

1. The film claims that melting snows on Mount Kilimanjaro evidence global warming. The Government's expert was forced to concede that this is not correct.
2. The film suggests that evidence from ice cores proves that rising CO2 levels cause temperature increases over 650,000 years. The Court found that the film was misleading: over that period, the rises in CO2 lagged behind the temperature rises by 800-2,000 years.
3. The film uses emotive images of Hurricane Katrina and suggests that this has been caused by global warming. The Government's expert had to accept that it was "not possible" to attribute one-off events to global warming
4. The film shows the drying up of Lake Chad and claims that this was caused by global warming. The Government's expert had to accept that this was not the case.
5. The film claims that a study showed that polar bears had drowned due to disappearing arctic ice. It turned out that Mr. Gore had misread the study: in fact, four polar bears drowned, and this was because of a particularly violent storm.
6. The film threatens that global warming could stop the Gulf Stream, throwing Europe into an ice age. The Claimant's evidence was that this was a scientific impossibility.
7. The film blames global warming for species losses, including coral reef bleaching. The Government could not find any evidence to support this claim.
8. The film suggests that sea levels could rise by 7 meters, causing the displacement of millions of people. In fact, the evidence is that sea levels are expected to rise by about 40 centimeters over the next 100 years and there is no such threat of massive

migration.

9. The film claims that rising sea levels has caused the evacuation of certain Pacific islands to New Zealand. The Government was unable to substantiate this, and the Court observed that this appears to be a false claim.

Also, the Court's interim ruling included the following:

1. The film suggests that the Greenland ice covering could melt, causing sea levels to rise dangerously. The evidence is that Greenland will not melt for a millennia.

2. The film suggests that the Antarctic ice covering is melting; the evidence was that it is, in fact, increasing.

High Court Judge Michael Burton stated:

“Former Vice President Al Gore, the documentary’s moderator, makes nine statements in the film that are not supported by the current mainstream scientific consensus. For instance, Gore’s script implies that Greenland or West Antarctica might melt soon, creating a sea-level rise of up to 20 feet that would cause devastation from San Francisco to the Netherlands to Bangladesh.”

The judge called this “distinctly alarmist” and said the consensus view is that if Greenland melted, it would release this amount of water “but only after, and over, a millennia.”

You may be asking, "Why is this in the general plan and why is the climate change narrative in the media throughout the world if it isn't true?"

Because those who've invested in renewable energy will profit greatly and have more power over people if we adopt their narrative. They also own most of the news. I'm not a climate denier. I'm not a conspiracy theorist. I'm someone who researches until I discover the truth.

The truth is, if you put this plan through as it is, you will destroy freedom and prosperity on Big Island for future generations.

You have the power to help bring light to this plan. I trust in God and I trust in you.

Mahalo,

Michelle Melendez-Freedom Activist

Fitness and Wellness Expert Since 1996

Author Of The Best Selling and 4x Award Winning Book,

End Dieting Hell: How to find peace in your body and release the weight

Order your copy of [End Dieting Hell Click Here](#)

"Great Maui Land Grab" NOW [Available here](#)