

From: [Sherilyn Wells](#)
To: [Council Testimony](#); [Kimball, Heather](#); [Kagiwada, Jennifer](#); [Kierkiewicz, Ashley](#); [LPCtestimony](#); [WPCtestimony](#); [Onishi, Dennis](#); [Kanealii-Kleinfelder, Matt](#); [Villegas, Rebecca](#); [Galimba, Michelle M.](#); [Inaba, Holeka](#); [Hustace, James](#); cohmayor@hawaiicounty.gov
Subject: Additional testimony re proposed 2045 plan for Hawai'i County. March 2025 hearing.
Date: Sunday, March 9, 2025 10:26:04 PM
Attachments: [20200403_SARS_CoV_Patent_Corpus_Lit_Review.pdf](#)
[Hawaii General Plan Proposed Changes 2025 comments by Sherilyn Wells January 2025\(4\)\(2\).doc](#)
[Proposed 2045 Plan for Hawaii.doc](#)

Sherilyn Wells March 2025 testimony on the proposed 2045 Plan, supplement to January 2025 testimony.

Many people have already offered expert testimony and very perceptive criticism of this Plan. Rather than repeat their observations, for this second submission I have chosen to go beneath the surface, to reveal the nature of the underlying system, the organizations and people, that appear to be the engine behind many, if not all, of the Plan concepts.

Let's bring everything into the light: **"The phrase "sunlight is the best disinfectant" is a well-known quote by U.S. Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis, which he used metaphorically to emphasize the importance of transparency and openness in society and governance."**

FULL TEXT in attachment - Proposed 2045 Plan for Hawaii.

Sherilyn Wells March 2025 testimony on the proposed 2045 Plan, supplement to January 2025 testimony.

Many people have already offered expert testimony and very perceptive criticism of this Plan.

Rather than repeat their observations, for this second submission I have chosen to go beneath the surface, to reveal the nature of the underlying system, the organizations and people, that appear to be the engine behind many, if not all, of the Plan concepts.

Let's bring everything into the light: **"The phrase "sunlight is the best disinfectant" is a well-known quote by U.S. Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis, which he used metaphorically to emphasize the importance of transparency and openness in society and governance."**

I. RESPONSE REQUIRED as per HRS §226-109(9) – page 2

II. ARE YOU PREPARED TO ADDRESS ONE VERY REAL (SUPER WEALTHY) ISSUE? – page 3

III. PRO FORMA vs. REALLY INCORPORATING TESTIMONY – HOW WILL THIS PROCESS END? – page 3

IV. HALT THE SLIDE INTO A “WEF GREAT RESET CLONE” PLAN – page 4

V. DR DAVID MARTIN ON TRIGGERS USED TO CALL FOR THE GREAT RESET – page 5

VI. PYRAMID – page 5

VII. USING CRISES – page 6

VIII. WHERE’S HOMEOWNER? – page 6

IX. HAPPINESS, PROPERTY, AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT – page 7

X. PROPERTY AND PERSONHOOD BY MARGARET JANE RADIN – page 8

XI. CONNECTING STAKEHOLDERS TO COVID/CRISIS (WEF) – page 8

XII. WEF: COVID WAS A LITMUS TEST FOR “STAKEHOLDER CAPITALISM” – page 9

XIII. DR DAVID MARTIN’S RESEARCH – page 9

XIV. THE COVID ORCHESTRA INCLUDES WEF – NAMING NAMES. page 11

XV. MEDIA OWNERSHIP DICTATES CONTENT (Manipulation System Mouthpiece – MSM) – page 12

XVI. PHILANTHROPY.. or is it? PUBLIC RETENTION OF POWER. - page 14

XVII. ARTFUL LANGUAGE ON BEHALF OF DECEPTION – page 14

XVIII. LIFE EXPERIENCE – page 14

XIX. Hawai’i General Plan re Proposed Changes in 2025 – Submission Number One (January 2025) – page 15

XX. Article - Editor of major newspaper says he planted stories for CIA – page 28

XXI. Article - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity; Red Pill Expo, November 19, 2021 – page 31

XXII. Article - TRANSCRIPT Of Dr David E. Martin's Speech At The European Union Parliament, Covid Summit, MAY 2023 – page 39

XXIII. Article - Breaking The WEF Matrix: How To Resist The Great Reset - page 45

I. RESPONSE REQUIRED as per HRS §226-109(9)

I am including my January testimony again re the requirement, as per HRS §226-109 (9) (a statute cited in the Plan), for a response to this new information on the topic of climate change.

HRS §226-109(9) - Use management and implementation approaches that encourage the continual collection, evaluation, and integration of new information and strategies into new and existing practices, policies, and plans; and

The 2045 Plan language does not reflect the broader, more scientific nature of comprehensive (not limited to alleged anthropogenic) climate change studies, as well as noting where there are uncertainties or deficiencies in the data and conclusions.

- It does not reflect where there is professional dissent (reminiscent of the censorship/exclusion of professional Covid dissent at the beginning).
- It does not address studies that show positive effects from increasing CO2.
- The Plan does not address the missing elements and inabilities of climate change modeling systems.
- The Plan does not address the emergence of new energy systems, already patented, awaiting sufficient political support for their release, systems that will NOT introduce greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. Relevant terms like Zero Point and Free Energy, etc., are nowhere in the Plan.

II. ARE YOU PREPARED TO ADDRESS ONE VERY REAL (SUPER WEALTHY) ISSUE?

Given the great transfer of wealth during Covid^[1], from the lower and middle class into a growing group of (existing and) newly created super wealthy...

Where is the REAL (no holds barred) discussion re robust, community-driven solutions to -

- address affordability and availability of housing ownership when wealthy corporations/individuals buy up properties well above market value, putting home ownership even further out of reach for the “average” local resident and significantly altering the property taxes of surrounding homeowners (a backdoor approach to gradually, financially forcing them out, too?) - PAGE 181 – Housing Challenges;
- a discussion about how to protect the integrity and the “fabric” of communities when local businesses who have been a part of the community, some for decades or longer, are suddenly closed down after wealthy corporations/individuals buy up the land beneath them;
- a discussion about how much disproportionate influence we can then expect these super wealthy “stakeholders” to have on our community development and planning processes, including via their public/private projects (see who comprises the WEF Great Reset stakeholders in footnote 3 – hint – it’s NOT the average person). And take the verb “improving” (quote below) with a huge grain of salt...

“One of the stated aims of the WEF is that it will be “...committed to improving the state of the world through public-private cooperation.”

<https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

CONCLUSION:

MISSING from the plan: this issue of the SUPER WEALTHY and their impact on our island, our ohana, our community. More below on the need for private/public

partnership transparency at the inception of any process.

III. PRO FORMA vs. REALLY INCORPORATING TESTIMONY – HOW WILL THIS PROCESS END?

I've attended many meetings where it was clear that hearings were “pro forma,” done for the purpose of fulfilling a legal or statutory requirement, but without any intention of actually reflecting on, of incorporating or integrating, any testimony that goes contrary to the plan that's already been chosen behind closed doors.

Donna Thompson of Waimea, whose excellent testimony I herein incorporate via reference, has made similar observations.

IV. HALT THE SLIDE INTO A “WEF GREAT RESET CLONE” PLAN

You can still step back from this slippery slope and HALT THE SLIDE towards the ultimate result of creating, in “2045,” a WEF-Great-Reset-like plan (Agenda 21, as per Ms. Thompson), but it may well require refusing to let this island's backroom power players have their way and empowering the people instead.

In June 2019, the WEF signed a Strategic Partnership Framework agreement with the United Nations. The WEF and the UN agreed to collaboratively “accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: milestones to complete the UN's plan for the 21st century, also known as Agenda 21 – the UN's vision for a centrally managed global society. The policies required to achieve these sustainable development goals (SDGs) are developed by the multi-stakeholder partnership. <https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Even in the face of growing widespread opposition, governments are pursuing WEF's strategy as it is no secret that the international organisation has managed to infiltrate them through its Young Global Leaders.

<https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

In his book, Kahn explores subverting democracy by training a certain group in society as potential leaders, with those pre-selected few groomed for power being able to define what our shared values as a society should be. Sound familiar? Today's World Economic Forum's Young Global Leader scheme is the exact manifestation of his original proposal. In essence, they will hold all the cards, whilst the common people will be left with illusory pseudo-democratic processes, poverty, and constant absurd psychological operations (PSYOPs) to sow chaos and distract us all *unceasingly*. <https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Sidenote – one of my Waimea friends recently asked the Mayor if this plan will go forward regardless of all the citizen opposition and the Mayor's reply was that we DON'T have to adopt the 2045 Plan.. (Now THERE's a concept.)

Just remember – WEF's oh-so-well-crafted rhetoric (the velvet glove) seldom matches their intended outcome (the iron fist inside the glove). ^[2] Focus group research and decades of mind control research by our government and universities give these elite

groups “pathways into persuasion” that don’t have to reflect reality.

1. Velvet glove – Covid concerns.
2. Velvet glove – climate change* concerns (*the erroneous WEF-type – see my January testimony about a more comprehensive and scientific approach).
3. Iron fist – control over the public.

SMART city – Surveilling the Masses And Restricting Transactions (thanks to China for showing us the reality, within a “SMART” city, of consequences for failure to follow prescribed social actions).

V. DR DAVID MARTIN ON TRIGGERS USED TO CALL FOR THE GREAT RESET

Refer to Dr. David Martin’s research and information, included below, in which he presents the evidence for WEF’s disingenuous history with Covid and the experimental injection (which they secretly co-participated in creating, then used as a rationale for launching their Great Reset).

WEF is NOW using “climate change” as the new, post-Covid driver to impose their control agenda.

Notice how much the deliberately limited, non-comprehensive, WEF version of “climate change” is used in this 2045 plan. Why use something so flawed, unless it was in service to an agenda, ergo NOT a commitment to best available science?

See my January testimony for a more science-based consideration of climate change.

VI. PYRAMID

Therefore, this plan is a pyramid attempting to look like a level playing field, in which the pyramid’s capstone will be a small cadre of “stakeholders^[3]” (WEF term, used 86 times in the proposed plan) and many of the goals (and omissions) look remarkably similar to the WEF Great Reset. For instance, observe this Plan-consultant’s profile:

Consultants, Page 2; Page 86, footnote 3 – SMART GROWTH AMERICA
Smart Growth America focuses on three specific priorities: Climate change and resilience, advancing racial equity, and creating healthy communities.

<https://smartgrowthamerica.org/our-work/>

Great Reset – a globalist-driven initiative to establish Schwab’s vision of stakeholder capitalism, where corporations are positioned as “trustees of society” to address the world’s economic and social woes.

<https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Therefore, it is important to now address WEF as the background basis for 2045’s characteristics, in the hopes that the 2045 planners might want to seriously reconsider whether taking cues – covertly - from WEF is truly in the best interests of the people of this island, this state.

And here’s why...

VII. USING CRISES

Unfortunately, WEF history is typically that of pursuing more centralized control of and power over the individual, the public, regardless of deceptive rhetoric claiming otherwise.

The opportunistic use and/or deliberate creation of “crises”^[4] are a common tool for expanding control (see WEF articles on taking advantage of Covid to advance stakeholder capitalism).

A more sinister aspect of the WEF/Covid connection is revealed by Dr. David Martin’s research, which will be referenced below (see also Footnote 4).

VIII. WHERE’S HOMEOWNER?

WEF’s 2018 Predictions for 2030: “You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy.”^[5]

It is telling that THE TERM “STAKEHOLDER” IN THE 2045 PLAN’S GLOSSARY DOES **NOT** EXPLICITLY INCLUDE HOMEOWNER, nor do we find HOMEOWNER elsewhere in the document. In litigation citing a statute or code, the deliberate absence of a term is considered dispositive.

In this plan, there are:
EIGHTY-SIX (86) matches for STAKEHOLDER.
ZERO (0) matches for HOMEOWNER (although Homeownership does show up twice).
There are also NO matches for SELF SUFFICIENT, which is a cornerstone of how people are better able to weather change and maintain their freedom and personal power. Coincidence?

Freedom is the greatest fruit of self-sufficiency.
Epicurus

IX. HAPPINESS, PROPERTY, AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT

This article reviews the WEF’s 2018 prediction for 2030 - “You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy” - and finds property ownership to be a cornerstone of personal happiness.

A future with no individual ownership is not a happy one: Property theory shows why.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328723001131>

The greatest fine art of the future will be the making of a comfortable living from a small piece of land.

Abraham Lincoln

Notice that “..the pursuit of happiness” was considered a self-evident truth and unalienable RIGHT, important enough by the founders of this nation to be mentioned in the preamble of one of our country’s foundational documents.

Therefore, happiness of the people is an important benchmark indicating “success” as

further development of our island communities takes place.

“You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy.” This prediction, based on just one of eight made by the World Economic Forum’s (WEF) network of Global Future Councils ([Parker, 2016](#)), assumed this expression in a video produced by the WEF in November 2016. As a tool intended to disseminate its content, the video was published both to the WEF’s own website⁴ and its Facebook page,⁵ and was then shared across other media platforms...

Very few of the comments⁶ could be characterised as being in any sense positive toward the prediction.

After the WEF announced its ‘Great Reset’ agenda to the world in June 2020 ([Schwab, 2020](#)), reaction to the prediction became stronger and louder still.

COVID-19 was identified as the principal reason for pursuing the agenda, but the WEF claimed that it also addressed the “...climate and social crises” emerging even before the pandemic’s onset ([Schwab, 2020](#)).

A diverse cross-section of concerned citizenry across the world was, however, having none of it.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328723001131>

X. PROPERTY AND PERSONHOOD BY MARGARET JANE RADIN

Margaret Jane Radin is known for her influential article "Property and Personhood," which was published in the Stanford Law Review in 1982.

This article has been cited over 700 times and has been recognized as one of the 100 most cited law review articles of all time.

In this article, Radin argues that individuals require secure control over certain aspects of their external environment in the form of property rights to achieve proper self-development or personhood.

She also discusses how property rights for personhood serve as a justification for property rights in general and for some current schemes of property entitlement. In the article Professor Radin makes a compelling case that proper self-development, or personhood, requires individuals to have secure control over certain things—e.g., one’s home residence—in their external environment in the form of property rights.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45457879_Property_and_Personhood_Revisited

XI. CONNECTING STAKEHOLDERS TO COVID/CRISIS (WEF)

The changes we have already seen in response to COVID-19 prove that a reset of our economic and social foundations is possible.

This is our best chance to instigate stakeholder capitalism - and here's how it can be achieved.

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/06/now-is-the-time-for-a-great-reset/>

XII. WEF: COVID WAS A LITMUS TEST FOR “STAKEHOLDER CAPITALISM”

According to WEF, Covid 19 is a litmus test for stakeholder capitalism – with remotely tracking people and remote teaching lauded by WEF as great examples of how a company can meet that stakeholder capitalism goal.

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/03/covid-19-is-a-litmus-test-for-stakeholder-capitalism/>

By contrast, other companies used profits to invest in digital transformation, talent, research and development, and their customer relations. That now gives them an ability to react that other companies lack. Microsoft, for example, ranked first in JUST Capital's stakeholder companies ranking, is a collaborator on Johns Hopkins' coronavirus tracker, and is providing teachers with access and training for its Teams program to teach remotely. It can do so because of its business model, but also because its stakeholders expect it to step up at times such as these.

XIII. DR DAVID MARTIN'S RESEARCH

Dr. David Martin^[6] was able, thanks to his remarkable CV and decades of investigative work for the government, to track patents and the history of Covid.
See attached document - 20200403_SARS_CoV_Patent_Corpus_Lit_Review.pdf.

The perpetrators' (including WEF) shocking willingness to inflict harm via the Covid experience (see Dr. David Martin's Red Pill Expo, November 19, 2021, talk in the video link^[7]) is in utter/complete antagonism to Hawai'i's values of ALOHA, as described in the state statute.^[8] And remember, WEF used Covid to trot out The Great Reset, which this 2045 Plan emulates.

As Dr. Martin points out, if any of his facts are false, he could be sued. And no one has...

The following quote should be a massive wakeup call to the public re those behind the unleashing of Covid and the experimental injections:

"So .. the fact of the matter is, they actually stated in 2014 that this was about investors responding if they see profit at the end of the process."

Dr. David Martin | National Citizens Inquiry Canada | March 6th 2025 |
Edmonton, Alberta

https://rumble.com/v6qds3o-national-citizens-inquiry-with-dr.-david-martin-march-6th-2025-edmonton-alb.html?e9s=src_v1_ucp

Dr. Martin was also invited to speak at the European Union Parliament's Covid Summit in 2023. <https://rumble.com/v2ncp8w-dr-david-e.-martin-phd-covid-summit-european-union-parliament-may-2023.html>

XIV. THE COVID ORCHESTRA INCLUDES WEF – NAMING NAMES

Dr. Martin refers to the major players orchestrating the plandemic as The Covid Orchestra, his research having proved that it was accurate to designate Covid and the experimental injection as a planned event (plandemic).

Even in the face of growing widespread opposition, governments are pursuing WEF's strategy as it is no secret that the international organisation has managed to infiltrate them through its Young Global Leaders.

<https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

The full article, summarizing Dr. Martin's Red Pill Expo speech and identifying the organizations, as well as the people, involved in creating the pandemic, is reproduced at the bottom of this testimony. In the meantime, notice that WEF is represented FOUR TIMES in the "Covid Orchestra" of major players.

Excerpt from Dr. David Martin's speech at the Red Pill Expo:

THE COVID ORCHESTRA

"But this, Ladies and Gentlemen is the slide you wanted to see. This is actually the names and faces of the people who are, in fact killing humanity. And that's ALL of them. ...Let's make sure that we don't ever forget the names and the faces of the people who decided to kill us..."

- Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees WEF
- Klaus Schwab, Founder and Executive Chairman, WEF
- Marc Benioff, Chair and Chief Executive Officer, Salesforce, WEF Trustee, WEF Young Global Leader
- Luis Alberto Moreno, WEF Young Global Leader

-
(Sherilyn – the remainder of the Orchestra list is at the end of this testimony, where the full article - about the extensive origins of/patents associated with Covid and the experimental injection - is reprinted).

As Dr. Martin points out, if any of his facts are false, he could be sued. And no one has... This list is a horrifying indictment, but words alone cannot begin to impress upon us the true/ultimate consequences of following any plan mapped out, in part, by such an organization.

SO... let's personalize what happened to humanity as a result of that trigger for THE GREAT RESET (Great Reset being a role model this plan is following). Start by watching these links, a testament to the death and damage unleashed on humanity via all those companies and people named by Dr. David Martin.

FOLLOW THE SILENCED

<https://followthesilenced.com/>

"the filmmakers uncovered a larger story behind a successful campaign to conceal the true scope of injuries from these vaccines"

WITNESS STATEMENT

https://rumble.com/v6px9fk-witness-statement-australian-documentary-biggest-crime-in-human-history-jan.html?e9s=rel_v2_pr

Japan's most senior cancer doctor: COVID shots are 'essentially murder'

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/japans-most-senior-cancer-doctor-covid-shots-are-essentially-murder/>

Dr. Michael Goodkin - Letter to HHS Secretary RFK Jr.: 'I Urge You to Act Now. Expose the Corruption.'

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/michael-goodkin-letter-hhs-secretary-rfk-jr-expose-corruption/>

XV. MEDIA OWNERSHIP DICTATES CONTENT (Manipulation System Mouthpiece – MSM)

I add this part because, while we're looking at this WEF role model, the WEF also stressed what THEY called misinformation in the obstacles to getting their Great Reset underway.

Of course, what they called misinformation proved to be accurate information... it just did not support their WEF-control agenda.

Complicit media, whose ownership is now concentrated in six companies^[9], come in handy for the power brokers to narrow information available to the public in order to create a preferred public response. That is just as true here in Hawai'i as elsewhere. Cooperation with/involvement/infiltration of the intelligence agencies is also common.

William B. Bader, former CIA intelligence officer, in his testimony before the Senate Intelligence Committee, said:

“There is quite an incredible spread of relationships. You don't need to manipulate Time magazine, for example, because there are [Central Intelligence] Agency people at the management level.”

And then there's Project Mockingbird (see Church Committee testimony and report). German journalist Udo Ulfkotte authored a confessional titled Presstitutes Embedded In The Pay Of The CIA, further proving that the CIA's Project Mockingbird infiltration of mainstream media is still “alive and well.”

Udo also mentions George Soros (who is affiliated with WEF and is a regular speaker at their DAVOS meetings).

So when you see SOROS/OPEN SOCIETY, also think WEF. When it says “American” in the next excerpt, Udo means CIA.

Udo: “Those dismissive of the role of the financier George Soros will be interested to learn of the prominence the Soros backed European Council of Foreign Relations plays in policy formation, something Ulfkotte experienced first hand...how Soros was part and parcel of the political regime in Germany, and essential to efforts expanding American hegemony in Eastern and Central Europe.

Udo Ulfkotte, deceased German journalist and author of the confessional, Presstitutes Embedded In The Pay Of The CIA.

<https://www.theburkean.ie/articles/2020/09/20/udo-ulfkotte-was-a-nationalist-journalist-murdered-in-germany>

This next article, based on leaked internal documents (CCDH), poses a powerful question about censorship. Once again, notice the Soros connection, intelligence agencies, etc.

Are U.S. Taxpayers Funding ‘Corrupt Dark-money Network’ That Censored CHD, RFK Jr. and Others?

A new analysis of government spending shows that several U.S. taxpayer-funded organizations are linked to the Center for Countering Digital Hate.

Last year, reporting by [Thacker](#) and [Matt Taibbi](#), based on [internal documents](#) leaked by CCDH insiders, revealed that [CCDH planned to “kill” X](#), shut down popular social media accounts on other platforms, [censor non-establishment voices](#) and [“bring back” attacks on “antivaxx” voices](#), among other things.

According to the documents, CCDH planned to organize “black ops” against Kennedy, who was a U.S. presidential candidate at the time. The group also planned to pressure Substack to remove COVID-19 vaccine critics [Mercola](#) and [Alex Berenson](#) from its platform.

A subsequent [investigation by Ji](#) traced some of the organizations that financially support CCDH, including several U.K.-based nonprofits affiliated with legacy media organizations, the U.K. government and major philanthropic organizations such as the [Open Society Foundations](#) (Soros) and the [Ford Foundation](#).

“These hidden contributions reveal a coordinated pipeline of financial influence involving U.S. intelligence-adjacent entities, UK Crown interests, and Soros-backed organizations like the Tides Foundation,” [Ji wrote](#).

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/us-taxpayer-funds-ccdhd-dark-money-censorship-rfk-jr-chd/>

XVI. PHILANTHROPY.. or is it? PUBLIC RETENTION OF POWER.

A power/control chess move is often disguised as philanthropy, too (just look at Bill Gates as one example, whose philanthropy “somehow” seemed to routinely/exponentially increase his personal wealth – vaccine stocks bought, then sold, with remarkably prescient timing – and to increase his influence – GAVI, WHO).

SO – public/private partnerships **MUST** be transparent, projects required to be revealed at the inception of the process and examined **VERY** closely to ensure that **NO** such transfer of power away from the citizens is taking place as quid pro quo ^[10].

How this public retention of power might be achieved is another topic worthy of soliciting deeper community input/solutions.

XVII. ARTFUL LANGUAGE ON BEHALF OF DECEPTION

The language employed by plans following in the footsteps of the Great Reset (whose ultimate, albeit hidden, purpose is to reduce choice/freedom) may adeptly hide the true intent, thanks in part to (1) focus group research to learn which phrases are most persuasive for the general public and (2) decades of mind control experimentation (e.g., Monarch, MKUltra, etc.), as revealed by whistleblowers like Cathy O’Brien (Access Denied For Reasons Of National Security; The Tranceformation of America).

XVIII. LIFE EXPERIENCE

I have been -

- a former public interest environmental litigator (including in favor of growth management),
- a former co-president of the Washington Environmental Council who helped craft

- legislative language for amendments to the state statute RCW 36.70A,
- a former Board member of the Washington Toxics Coalition,
- a co-founder of and reporter for a local newspaper that didn't depend on external agents for its funding (ergo was not obligated to slant the news in favor of any particular monied entity),
- a co-founder of a local environmental organization (Watershed Defense Fund, which became the Clean Water Alliance),
- a former candidate for countywide public office (Democrat, although the office itself was non-partisan),
- a speaker within the educational system on both (1) issues of the day and (2) active citizenship,
- a mother/grandmother whose stake in a positive future is thus both personal as well as societal,
- a relative of people (1) who covertly worked for the CIA and (2) who were high-security-clearance law enforcement on an international border (and who witnessed the corruption within our system, too), and
- I was raised in countries which did not enjoy even the appearance of a democratic process, so my appreciation for a constitutional republic and genuine, accurate elections* (*which we do NOT yet have in Hawai'i – lots of evidence on that topic!) is amplified.

[1] <https://totalnews.com/another-massive-transfer-of-wealth-incoming/>

[2] Breaking The WEF Matrix: How To Resist The Great Reset - <http://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset/>

The WEF and the Great Reset by Wasif Chaudry

<http://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

[3] “A global network of [stakeholder capitalist](#) partners are collaborating to usher in what they claim to be a new model of enhanced democratic accountability that includes “civil society.” Continued...

However, beneath their deceptive use of the term civil society lies an ideology which offers this network an unprecedented degree of political control that threatens to extinguish representative democracy entirely.

”What is further obfuscated is that civil society stakeholders are selected. “Representatives from NGOs, religious communities, unions, and philanthropic foundations are the selected stakeholders whose only role is to agree with the policies placed on the table by the public-private partnership,” Davis adds. Their consent is deemed your consent. <http://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

[4] Dr. David Martin: Who “They” Are, “The Names and Faces” - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/11/20/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces

Dr. David Martin reveals Fauci's Trail of Covid Patents' since 2002 (includes additional links to source documents)

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/07/12/breaking-news-patents-filed-in-america-as-far-back-as-2002-concerning-sars-cov-2-coronavirus

Dr. David Martin's Covid Bioweapon Speech at 'An Injection of Truth' Town Hall Held March 3/25 in Calgary, Alberta

<https://rumble.com/v6q6rt2-dr-david-martin-calgary.html>

WEF article (ah, the irony if you've read Dr. David Martin's evidence) - A New York simulation showed we weren't prepared for coronavirus. But it's not too late to act

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/03/covid-19-coronavirus-new-york-simulation-lessons-not-too-late-to-act/>

[5] "You'll own nothing and you'll be happy" is a phrase from 2018 predictions for 2030 published by the World Economic Forum, cited as being based on input from members of the World Economic Forum Global Futures Councils, likely in turn based on a 2016 article in which Danish Social Democrat Ida Auken outlines her vision of the future.

[6]

Dr. David Martin is the founding CEO of M-CAM Inc. M-CAM is the international leader in intellectual property-based financial risk management. From auditing patent quality for governments and patent offices, to providing state-of-the-art actuarial risk management systems and solutions to the largest banks and insurance companies, M-CAM has established a global standard in patent quality and commercial validity assessment and management.

A spokesperson for global intellectual property accountability and quality reform, Dr. Martin has worked closely with the United States Congress, numerous trade and finance regulatory agencies in the United States, Europe and Asia, in advocating and deploying infrastructure to support growing reliance on proprietary rights in business transactions. M-CAM has supported the modernization of intellectual property, tax, and accounting laws through its work with oversight agencies and policy makers.

Dr. Martin has founded several for-profit and non-profit companies and organizations and serves on several boards. He was the founding CEO of Mosaic Technologies Inc., a company that developed and commercialized advanced computational linguistics technologies, dynamic data compression and encryption technologies, electrical field transmission technology, medical diagnostics, and stealth/anechoic technology. He was a founding member of Japan's Institute for Interface Science & Technology. He founded and served as Executive Director of the Charlottesville Venture Group. He has served as a board member for the Research Institute for Small and Emerging Business (Washington D.C.), the Academy for Augmenting Grassroots Technological Innovations (India), the IST (Japan) the Charlottesville Regional Chamber of Commerce (Virginia), and the Charlottesville Industrial Development Agency (Virginia).

As former Assistant Professor at the University of Virginia's School of Medicine, Dr. Martin founded the University's first wholly-owned, for-profit, research and development and technology transfer corporation. Engaged in domestic and international technology transfer, clinical research, and financing, this company pioneered new techniques innovation management that have become industry standards. In 1999, Dr. Martin was appointed by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia to serve on the Joint Commission on Technology and Science and has served the General Assembly and Virginia's Center for Innovative Technology on numerous occasions.

Dr. Martin's work with the Batten Institute at the Darden Graduate School of Business Administration at the University of Virginia and his related work at the Indian Institute for Management in Ahmedabad India has brought unprecedented curricular focus to areas of intangible asset risk management, finance, and accounting standards. In addition to his academic work, Dr. Martin has closely advised intellectual property based finance and investment programs in India, China, Denmark, the European Union, the United Kingdom, South Africa, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the United States, and the United Arab Emirates.

Dr. Martin has publications in law, medicine, engineering, finance and education. He maintains active research in the fields of linguistic genomics, fractal financial risk modeling, as well as continuing his over 15 years of research in cellular membrane ionic signaling.

https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/2006/scp_of_ge_06/speakers/martin.html

<https://www.m-cam.com/about-us/>

[7]

Dr. David Martin: Who “They” Are, “The Names and Faces” - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/11/20/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces

Dr. David Martin reveals Fauci’s Trail of Covid Patents’ since 2002 (includes additional links to source documents)

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/07/12/breaking-news-patents-filed-in-america-as-far-back-as-2002-concerning-sars-cov-2-coronavirus

Dr. David Martin's Covid Bioweapon Speech at 'An Injection of Truth' Town Hall Held March 3/25 in Calgary, Alberta

<https://rumble.com/v6q6rt2-dr-david-martin-calgary.html>

Dr. David E. Martin PhD. – Covid Summit – European Union Parliament May 2023

<https://rumble.com/v2ncp8w-dr-david-e.-martin-phd-covid-summit-european-union-parliament-may-2023.html>

[8]

<https://www.hawaii.edu/uho/clear/home/lawaloha.html>

§ 5-7.5 "**Aloha** Spirit". (a) "**Aloha** Spirit" is the coordination of mind and heart within each person. It brings each person to the self. Each person must think and emote good feelings to others. In the contemplation and presence of the life force, "**Aloha**", the following **unuhi laulā loa** may be used:

"**Akahai**", meaning kindness to be expressed with tenderness;

"**Lōkahi**", meaning unity, to be expressed with harmony;

"**Olu‘olu**" meaning agreeable, to be expressed with pleasantness;

"**Ha‘aha‘a**", meaning humility, to be expressed with modesty;

"**Ahonui**", meaning patience, to be expressed with perseverance.

These are traits of character that express the charm, warmth and sincerity of Hawaii's people. It was the working philosophy of native Hawaiians and was presented as a gift to the people of Hawai‘i. "**Aloha**" is more than a word of greeting or farewell or a salutation. "**Aloha**" means mutual regard and affection and extends warmth in caring with no obligation in return. "**Aloha**" is the essence of relationships in which each person is important to every other person for collective existence. "**Aloha**" means to hear what is not said, to see what cannot be seen and to know the unknowable.

(b) In exercising their power on behalf of the people and in fulfillment of their responsibilities, obligations and service to the people, the legislature, governor, lieutenant governor, executive officers of each department, the chief justice, associate justices, and judges of the appellate, circuit, and district courts may contemplate and reside with the life force and give consideration to the "**Aloha** Spirit". [L 1986, c 202, § 1]

[9]

<https://www.webfx.com/blog/internet/the-6-companies-that-own-almost-all-media-infographic/>

[10]

Cambridge Dictionary: something that you give to someone in [return](#) for them giving something back to you:

a quid pro quo - It's all [legal](#) as [long](#) as [contributions](#) aren't a [quidproquo](#) for [political favors](#).

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

The following data is being made publicly available for the Commons by M-CAM International LLC based on a series of reviews of patent literature derived from references found in:

A novel bat coronavirus reveals natural insertions at the S1/S2 2 cleavage site of the Spike protein and a possible recombinant 3 origin of HCoV-19 4 Hong Zhou^{1,8}, Xing Chen^{2,8}, Tao Hu^{1,8}, Juan Li^{1,8}, Hao Song³, Yanran Liu¹, Peihan Wang^{1 5}, Di Liu⁴, Jing Yang⁵, Edward C. Holmes⁶, Alice C. Hughes^{2,*}, Yuhai Bi^{5,*}, Weifeng Shi^{1,7,*}

The Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2 Kristian G. Andersen^{1,2*}, Andrew Rambaut³, W. Ian Lipkin⁴, Edward C. Holmes⁵ & Robert F. Garry^{6,7}

And sequences leading to the reporting of genomic epidemiology at <https://nextstrain.org/ncov>

Polybasic cleavage site for SARS CoV with novel spike protein and ACE2 RBD

US9834595 	Amino acid sequences directed against envelope proteins of a virus and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of viral diseases	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 29-Oct-15 5-Dec-17
US9193780 	Amino acid sequences directed against envelope proteins of a virus and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of viral diseases	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 5-Jun-09 24-Nov-15
US20190077847 14-Mar-19	AMINO ACID SEQUENCES DIRECTED AGAINST ENVELOPE PROTEINS OF A VIRUS AND POLYPEPTIDES COMPRISING THE SAME FOR THE TREATMENT OF VIRAL DISEASES	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 17-Oct-17 14-Mar-19
US20160152693 2-Jun-16	AMINO ACID SEQUENCES DIRECTED AGAINST ENVELOPE PROTEINS OF A VIRUS AND POLYPEPTIDES COMPRISING THE SAME FOR THE TREATMENT OF VIRAL DISEASES	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 29-Oct-15 2-Jun-16
US10550174 	Amino acid sequences directed against envelope proteins of a virus and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of viral diseases	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 17-Oct-17 4-Feb-20
US10407492 	Amino acid sequences directed against envelope proteins of a virus and polypeptides comprising the same for the treatment of viral diseases	Ablynx N.V.	5-Jun-08 17-Oct-17 10-Sep-19

ANIMATE VALUE™

O-linked glycans and SARS CoV Spike Protein Data disclosures

Document number	Title	Assignee name	Priority date File date Issue date
US9644180 [REDACTED]	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 12-Jun-15 9-May-17
US8741311 [REDACTED]	Methods and compositions for immunization against virus	Academia Sinica	27-Mar-09 26-Mar-10 3-Jun-14
US8735416 [REDACTED]	Antiviral therapies	Technische Universitaet Carolo-Wilhelmina zu Braunschweig	21-Sep-05 23-Apr-12 27-May-14
US7604960 [REDACTED]	Transient protein expression methods	Crucell Holland B.V.	15-Apr-99 1-Jun-07 20-Oct-09
US20200046826 13-Feb-20	METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR IMMUNIZATION AGAINST VIRUS	Academia Sinica	27-Mar-09 4-Jun-19 13-Feb-20
US20200040042 6-Feb-20	CHIMERIC MOLECULES AND USES THEREOF	Not Available	30-Mar-17 29-Mar-18 6-Feb-20
US20200002674 2-Jan-20	ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING PHENYLALANINE HYDROXYLASE	Not Available	18-Nov-13 16-Sep-19 2-Jan-20
US20190376034 12-Dec-19	PLATELETS COMPRISING EXOGENOUS POLYPEPTIDES AND USES THEREOF	Not Available	18-Nov-13 23-Aug-19 12-Dec-19
US20190316091 17-Oct-19	ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING ARGINASE	Not Available	18-Nov-13 30-May-19 17-Oct-19
US20190316090 17-Oct-19	ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING ARGININE DEIMINASE	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 30-May-19 17-Oct-19
US20190316089 17-Oct-19	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 10-May-19 17-Oct-19
US20190309262 10-Oct-19	ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING SERINE DEHYDRATASE	Not Available	18-Nov-13 4-Jun-19 10-Oct-19
US20190309261 10-Oct-19	ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING LYSINE OXIDASE	Not Available	18-Nov-13 4-Jun-19 10-Oct-19
US20190264177 29-Aug-19	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 10-May-19 29-Aug-19
US20190263934 29-Aug-19	FC VARIANTS WITH ENHANCED BINDING TO FCRN AND PROLONGED HALF-LIFE	Not Available	26-Jan-18 25-Jan-19 29-Aug-19
US20190144827 16-May-19	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 19-Nov-18 16-May-19



US20180265847 20-Sep-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 29-Mar-18 20-Sep-18
US20180216067 2-Aug-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 29-Mar-18 2-Aug-18
US20180208897 26-Jul-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 19-Mar-18 26-Jul-18
US20180187154 5-Jul-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 20-Feb-18 5-Jul-18
US20180187153 5-Jul-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 20-Feb-18 5-Jul-18
US20180135012 17-May-18	MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEX THERAPEUTICS	Not Available	13-May-15 13-May-16 17-May-18
US20180030411 1-Feb-18	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 13-Oct-17 1-Feb-18
US20170369843 28-Dec-17	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 29-Mar-17 28-Dec-17
US20170174783 22-Jun-17	Single-Arm Monovalent Antibody Constructs and Uses Thereof	Not Available	10-May-12 20-Oct-16 22-Jun-17
US20160257932 8-Sep-16	GENETICALLY ENGINEERED ENUCLEATED ERYTHROID CELLS COMPRISING A PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA LYASE RECEIVER POLYPEPTIDE	Not Available	18-Nov-13 17-May-16 8-Sep-16
US20150335728 26-Nov-15	METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR IMMUNIZATION AGAINST VIRUS	Academia Sinica	27-Mar-09 18-Feb-14 26-Nov-15
US20150306212 29-Oct-15	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 12-Jun-15 29-Oct-15
US20150297677 22-Oct-15	COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR INHIBITING VIRAL ENTRY	Children Medical Center Corporation	13-Dec-12 12-Dec-13 22-Oct-15
US20150182588 2-Jul-15	SYNTHETIC MEMBRANE-RECEIVER COMPLEXES	Not Available	18-Nov-13 23-Dec-14 2-Jul-15
US20150125449 7-May-15	Single-Arm Monovalent Antibody Constructs and Uses Thereof	Not Available	10-May-12 8-May-13 7-May-15
US20140113923 24-Apr-14	NOVEL ANTIVIRAL THERAPIES	Not Available	21-Sep-05 23-Apr-12 24-Apr-14
US20100247571 30-Sep-10	METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR IMMUNIZATION AGAINST VIRUS	ACADEMIA SINICA	27-Mar-09 26-Mar-10 30-Sep-10
US20090042793 12-Feb-09	Novel Antiviral Therapies	BALZARINI JAN	21-Sep-05 21-Sep-06 12-Feb-09
US20080113409 15-May-08	Transient protein expression methods	HATEBOER GUUS	15-Apr-99 1-Jun-07 15-May-08

ANIMATE VALUE™



US10557119	Erythroid cells comprising phenylalanine ammonia lyase	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 10-May-19 11-Feb-20
US10344263	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 29-Mar-17 9-Jul-19
US10329531	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 13-Oct-17 25-Jun-19
US10307475	Methods and compositions for immunization against virus	Academia Sinica	27-Mar-09 18-Feb-14 4-Jun-19
US10301594	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 19-Nov-18 28-May-19
US10301593	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 19-Mar-18 28-May-19
US10253296	Synthetic membrane-receiver complexes	RUBIUS THERAPEUTICS, INC.	18-Nov-13 28-Nov-17 9-Apr-19

1

SARS-CoV research specifically on ACE2 binding

Document number	Title	Assignee name	Priority date File date Issue date
US9415087	Compositions and methods for treating coronavirus infection	Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitaet Muenchen	11-Mar-14 8-May-15 16-Aug-16
US8541003	Vectors expressing SARS immunogens, compositions containing such vectors or expression products thereof, methods and assays for making and using	Protein Sciences Corporation	20-Jun-03 21-Jun-04 24-Sep-13
US7750123	Antibodies against SARS-CoV and methods of use thereof	Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Inc.	25-Nov-03 24-Nov-04 6-Jul-10
US7728110	Antibodies to SARS coronavirus	Amgen, Inc.	19-May-06 21-May-07 1-Jun-10
US7696330	Binding molecules against SARS-coronavirus and uses thereof	Crucell Holland B.V.	22-Jul-03 20-Jan-06 13-Apr-10
US7687475	RNA interference in respiratory epithelial cells	University of Iowa Research Foundation	9-Jul-04 16-Oct-07 30-Mar-10
US7629443	Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus	New York Blood Center, Inc.	2-Jun-04 8-Feb-06 8-Dec-09
US7604960	Transient protein expression methods	Crucell Holland B.V.	15-Apr-99 1-Jun-07 20-Oct-09

ANIMATE VALUE™



US7491489 12-Mar-20	Synthetic peptide targeting critical sites on the SARS-associated coronavirus spike protein responsible for viral infection and method of use thereof	The University of Hong Kong	22-Nov-04 28-Oct-05 17-Feb-09
US7320857 27-Feb-20	Characterization of the earliest stages of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus and uses thereof	Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai	9-Jul-04 9-Jul-04 22-Jan-08
US7297786 9-Jan-20	RNA interference in respiratory epithelial cells	University of Iowa Research Foundation	9-Jul-04 11-Jul-05 20-Nov-07
US7151163 31-Oct-19	Antiviral agents for the treatment, control and prevention of infections by coronaviruses	Sequoia Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	28-Apr-03 28-Apr-04 19-Dec-06
US20200079781 27-Feb-20	Substituted 2,4 diamino-quinoline as new medicament for fibrosis, autophagy and cathepsins B (CTSB), L (CTSL) and D (CTSD) related diseases	Not Available	5-Sep-18 1-Aug-19 12-Mar-20
US20200061185 9-Jan-20	PREFUSION CORONAVIRUS SPIKE PROTEINS AND THEIR USE	The Scripps Research Institute	25-Oct-16 25-Oct-17 27-Feb-20
US20200009244 31-Oct-19	NANOPARTICLE VACCINES WITH NOVEL STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	Not Available	13-Jun-18 13-Jun-19 9-Jan-20
US20190328865 22-Aug-19	IMMUNOGENIC COMPOSITION FOR MERS CORONAVIRUS INFECTION	Not Available	28-Jul-14 17-Nov-17 31-Oct-19
US20190256579 27-Jun-19	MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS IMMUNOGENS, ANTIBODIES, AND THEIR USE	The U.S.A., as represented by the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services	24-Feb-15 3-May-19 22-Aug-19
US20190194299 27-Jun-19	MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS NEUTRALIZING ANTIBODIES AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF	Not Available	25-Apr-14 19-Nov-18 27-Jun-19
US20190192691 22-Nov-18	REGULATED BIO-CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	Not Available	11-Apr-16 11-Apr-17 27-Jun-19
US20180334480 22-Nov-18	CORONAVIRUSES EPITOPE-BASED VACCINES	RAMOT AT TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY LTD.	17-Sep-15 15-Sep-16 22-Nov-18
US20180332885 30-Aug-18	REDUCED RISK TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND METHODS OF MAKING SAME	Not Available	11-May-05 25-May-18 22-Nov-18
US20180244756 16-Aug-18	MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS IMMUNOGENS, ANTIBODIES, AND THEIR USE	The United States of America, as Represented by the Secretary, Dept. of Health and Human Services	24-Feb-15 24-Feb-16 30-Aug-18
US20180230447 28-Jun-18	ACTIVE LOW MOLECULAR WEIGHT VARIANTS OF ANGIOTENSIN CONVERTING ENZYME 2 (ACE2)	Northwestern University	24-Jan-17 24-Jan-18 16-Aug-18
US20180177860 8-Jun-17	VACCINE CONTAINING VIRUS INACTIVATED BY GREEN TEA EXTRACT, AND PREPARATION METHOD THEREFOR	Not Available	11-Jun-15 7-Jun-16 28-Jun-18
US20170158752 2-Mar-17	MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME CORONAVIRUS NEUTRALIZING ANTIBODIES AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF	Not Available	25-Apr-14 27-Apr-15 8-Jun-17
US20170055566 29-Dec-16	REDUCED RISK TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND METHODS OF MAKING SAME	Not Available	11-May-05 22-Aug-16 2-Mar-17
US20160376321	A NOVEL SARS IMMUNOGENIC COMPOSITION	BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE	26-Nov-13 21-Nov-14 29-Dec-16

ANIMATE VALUE™



US20160082074 24-Mar-16	COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR TREATING CORONAVIRUS INFECTION	LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITAET MUENCHEN	11-Mar-14 8-May-15 24-Mar-16
US20150297677 22-Oct-15	COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR INHIBITING VIRAL ENTRY	Children Medical Center Corporation	13-Dec-12 12-Dec-13 22-Oct-15
US20150275183 1-Oct-15	Human Betacoronavirus Lineage C and Identification of N-Terminal Dipeptidyl Peptidase As Its Virus Receptor	Not Available	23-Sep-12 23-Sep-13 1-Oct-15
US20150150878 4-Jun-15	Methods For Inhibiting Viruses By Targeting Cathepsin-L Cleavage Sites In The Viruses' Glycoproteins	Not Available	4-Apr-12 4-Apr-13 4-Jun-15
US20140174460 26-Jun-14	REDUCED RISK TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND METHODS OF MAKING SAME	VECTOR TOBACCO INC.	11-May-05 10-Dec-13 26-Jun-14
US20130216566 22-Aug-13	Vectors expressing SARS immunogens, compositions containing such vectors or expression products thereof, methods and assays for making and using	ADAMS DANIEL	20-Jun-03 21-Jun-04 22-Aug-13
US20130196903 1-Aug-13	Multimeric Inhibitors of Viral Fusion and Uses Thereof	JV BIO SRL	11-Aug-10 11-Aug-11 1-Aug-13
US20100204286 12-Aug-10	METHOD FOR REDUCING GASTROINTESTINAL ADVERSE EFFECTS OF CYTOTOXIC AGENTS	BYRNES JOHN J	12-Feb-09 11-Feb-10 12-Aug-10
US20100172917 8-Jul-10	Binding molecules against SARS-coronavirus and uses thereof	Crucell Holland B.V.	22-Jul-03 16-Nov-09 8-Jul-10
US20100150923 17-Jun-10	FUSION PROTEINS OF RECOMBINANT SARS CORONAVIRUS STRUCTURAL PROTEINS, THEIR PRODUCTION AND USES	Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences	20-Jun-05 13-Jun-06 17-Jun-10
US20100092470 15-Apr-10	ANTIBODIES, ANALOGS AND USES THEREOF	ICB International, Inc.	22-Sep-08 21-Sep-09 15-Apr-10
US20100047767 25-Feb-10	PATHOGEN BINDING	ITI SCOTLAND LIMITED	5-Feb-07 4-Feb-08 25-Feb-10
US20100035848 11-Feb-10	THERAPY FOR DISORDERS OF THE PROXIMAL DIGESTIVE TRACT	BARNES THOMAS MICHAEL	10-Mar-08 10-Mar-09 11-Feb-10
US20090304683 10-Dec-09	Soluble Fragments of The Sars-Cov Spike Glycoprotein	DIMITROV DIMITER S	19-Jan-07 19-Jan-07 10-Dec-09
US20090186014 23-Jul-09	METHOD FOR TREATMENT OF PANCREATITIS	Ore Pharmaceuticals Inc.	10-Oct-07 9-Oct-08 23-Jul-09
US20090130691 21-May-09	SCREENING PROTEINASE MODULATORS USING A CHIMERIC PROTEIN AND SKI-I PROPROTEIN CONVERTASE SUBSTRATES AND INHIBITORS	Institut de Recherches Cliniques de Montreal	16-Sep-05 14-Sep-06 21-May-09
US20090099259 16-Apr-09	Method for regulating gene expression	ANTHONY JOSHUA	28-Feb-06 28-Feb-07 16-Apr-09
US20090098530 16-Apr-09	Cell Line For Producing Coronaviruses	CRUCCELL HOLLAND B.V.	22-Jul-05 21-Jul-06 16-Apr-09
US20090083865 26-Mar-09	Transgenic Mouse Lines Expressing Human Ace2 and Uses Thereof	CHAN TEH-SHENG	11-Jan-06 11-Jan-07 26-Mar-09

ANIMATE VALUE™



US20080248043 9-Oct-08	Antibodies to SARS coronavirus	Amgen Inc.	19-May-06 21-May-07 9-Oct-08
US20080234345 25-Sep-08	METHOD FOR REDUCING OR ALLEVIATING INFLAMMATION IN THE DIGESTIVE TRACT	Gene Logic Inc.	8-Sep-06 7-Sep-07 25-Sep-08
US20080159962 3-Jul-08	Use of Inhibitors of the Renin-Angiotensin System for the Treatment of Lung Injuries	IMBA-INSTITUTE FUR MOLEKULARE BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH	19-May-05 19-May-06 3-Jul-08
US20080113409 15-May-08	Transient protein expression methods	HATEBOER GUUS	15-Apr-99 1-Jun-07 15-May-08
US20080107650 8-May-08	METHOD FOR TREATING INFLAMMATORY DISEASES OF THE DIGESTIVE TRACT	Gene Logic Inc.	8-Sep-06 7-Sep-07 8-May-08
US20080044437 21-Feb-08	Encapsidation System for Production of Recombinant Virus-Like Particles	CHEN QUN	2-Sep-04 1-Sep-05 21-Feb-08
US20070298498 27-Dec-07	Adenoviral Amplicon and Producer Cells for the Production of Replication-Defective Adenoviral Vectors, Methods of Preparation and Use Thereof	CATALUCCI DANIELE	2-Nov-04 27-Oct-05 27-Dec-07
US20070238681 11-Oct-07	MODULATION OF ACE2 EXPRESSION	BENNETT C F	7-Nov-06 7-Dec-06 11-Oct-07
US20070203073 30-Aug-07	SARS and Ebola inhibitors and use thereof, and methods for their discovery	BATES PAUL	22-Jun-05 21-Jun-06 30-Aug-07
US20070185044 9-Aug-07	Modulation of ace2 expression	BENNETT C F	8-Mar-05 8-Mar-05 9-Aug-07
US20070185027 9-Aug-07	ANTIVIRAL AGENTS FOR THE TREATMENT, CONTROL AND PREVENTION OF INFECTIONS BY CORONAVIRUSES	SEQUOIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	28-Apr-03 9-Nov-06 9-Aug-07
US20070003577 4-Jan-07	Purified trimeric S protein as vaccine against severe acute respiratory syndrome virus infections	SUBBARAO KANTA	28-Jun-05 27-Jun-06 4-Jan-07
US20060258577 16-Nov-06	ANTIVIRAL AGENTS FOR THE TREATMENT, CONTROL AND PREVENTION OF INFECTIONS BY CORONAVIRUSES	ERICKSON JOHN W	28-Apr-03 28-Apr-04 16-Nov-06
US20060257852 16-Nov-06	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus	Chiron Corporation	10-Apr-03 9-Apr-04 16-Nov-06
US20060240551 26-Oct-06	Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus	HE YUXIAN	2-Jun-04 8-Feb-06 26-Oct-06
US20060240515 26-Oct-06	Soluble fragments of the SARS-CoV spike glycoprotein	DIMITROV DIMITER S	21-Jul-03 19-Jan-06 26-Oct-06
US20060199176 7-Sep-06	Coronavirus S peptides	CHONG PELE C S	15-Jul-04 14-Jul-05 7-Sep-06
US20060121580 8-Jun-06	Binding molecules against SARS-coronavirus and uses thereof	CRUCCELL	22-Jul-03 20-Jan-06 8-Jun-06
US20060110758 25-May-06	Synthetic peptide targeting critical sites on the SARS-associated coronavirus spike protein responsible for viral infection and method of use thereof	UNIV HONG KONG	22-Nov-04 28-Oct-05 25-May-06

ANIMATE VALUE™



US20060093616 4-May-06	Process for vaccinating eucaryotic hosts and for protecting against SARS-CoV infection	ALTMAYER RALF	29-Sep-04 28-Sep-05 4-May-06
US20050249739 10-Nov-05	Antibodies against SARS-CoV and methods of use thereof	MARASCO WAYNE	25-Nov-03 24-Nov-04 10-Nov-05
US20050203038 15-Sep-05	Modulation of ACE2 expression		10-Mar-04 10-Mar-04 15-Sep-05
US20050113298 26-May-05	Receptor binding peptides derived from the SARS S protein		15-Sep-03 13-Sep-04 26-May-05
US20050112554 26-May-05	Characterization of the earliest stages of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus and uses thereof	HENG XU RUI	9-Jul-04 9-Jul-04 26-May-05
US10443049 	Active low molecular weight variants of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)	Northwestern University	24-Jan-17 24-Jan-18 15-Oct-19
US10301377 	Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus immunogens, antibodies, and their use	The United States of America, as Represented by the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services	24-Feb-15 24-Feb-16 28-May-19
US10131704 	Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus neutralizing antibodies and methods of use thereof	DANA-FARBER CANCER INSTITUTE, INC.	25-Apr-14 27-Apr-15 20-Nov-18

ANIMATE VALUE™

Hawai'i General Plan re Proposed Changes in 2025 – Submission Number One (January 2025)

- Need to study plan's foundational topic(s) more inclusively – review scientific dissent/dialogue for a more scientific approach and better informed choices¹,
- Need to broaden the range of future scenario assumptions to include inventions already in existence and patents that will no longer remain suppressed,
- Preconceived (but unstated) alliances and their premises apparently exist in this plan, thus immediately narrowing the field of options under consideration: Is this plan on the verge of becoming a WEF Great Reset clone, based/focused on, for one example, prevalent terms like STAKEHOLDER, while neglecting to mention the essential, fundamental notion of HOMEOWNER? If our Plan is going to ally itself with an entity, let's make that choice transparent and let's choose one that values humanity and freedom, not one that seeks to dominate and control.

Sherilyn Wells
Waikoloa Village

Submission Number One January 2025

Climate change (natural climate variation) is and has always been real (reflect on the mini Ice Age of a few centuries ago; reflect on the warning in the 1970's that another such ice age was almost upon us²).

Recently, the term was narrowed by a small group of researchers to refer ONLY to the human factor, based on these underlying assumptions -

- that there is a high level of anthropogenic/human influence on climate due to burning fossil fuels,
- that that influence is uniformly negative,
- that global climate modeling can predict the future complexities of climate,
- that a continuation down that fossil fuel energy path is leading to disaster.

“The narrow and politicized framing of the climate change debate has resulted in an oversimplification of the scientific problem and its solutions.”

The three incontrovertible facts about global warming, as listed by Prof. Emeritus Judith Curry:

Average global surface temperatures have overall increased since about 1860.

¹ <https://judithcurry.com/blog-rules-and-netiquette/>

² On April 28, 1975, *Newsweek* published a provocative article, “The Cooling World,” in which writer and science editor Peter Gwynne described a **significant chilling of the world's climate, with evidence accumulating “so massively that meteorologists are hard-pressed to keep up with it.”** He raised the possibility of shorter growing seasons and poor crop yields, famine, and **shipping lanes blocked by ice, perhaps to begin as soon as the mid-1980s. Meteorologists, he wrote, were “almost unanimous” in the opinion that our planet was getting colder.** Over the years that followed, Gwynne's article became one of the most-cited stories in *Newsweek's* history.... Scores of similar articles, some with even more dire predictions of a “little ice age” to come, appeared during the 1970s in such mainstream publications as *Time*, *Science Digest*, *The Los Angeles Times*, *Fortune*, *The Chicago Tribune*, *New York Magazine*, *The New York Times*, *The Christian Science Monitor*, *Popular Science*, and *National Geographic*.

Carbon dioxide has infrared emission spectra and thus acts to warm the planet. Humans have been adding CO₂ to the atmosphere by the emissions from burning of fossil fuels.³

BUT....

1. **Degree** of human influence on climate is not settled, however⁴, despite dire pronouncements prematurely posted (a) by a highly controlled media owned by agenda-driven, vastly reduced (concentrated) ownership and (b) by editors of journals who curate publications to favor only those studies expressing one particular viewpoint. Judith Curry's five minutes of testimony to Commerce/Science/Transportation subcommittee re SR253 –

<https://x.com/TakingoutTrash7/status/1682911250437611521>

This restriction on information via “official channels” is why a scientist who co-authored the Hurricane Katrina study that was a major accelerant for the Climate Change movement in 2005 now suggests publishing online, bypassing those journal-gatekeepers. Publishing online allows for much broader peer review, often far superior in depth of analysis and more nuanced observations, along with much greater transparency regarding data sets and methodology.

“Science is a process of continuously evaluating the evidence, challenging our assumptions, and critically reassessing our conclusions, rather than a collective of decreed truths.” Dr. Judith Curry

Rather than dismiss critics of her Hurricane Katrina study, which the Climate Change community adored, Prof. Judith Curry took a deeper look at their criticisms and published a thoughtful review entitled **“Mixing Politics and Science in Testing the Hypothesis That Greenhouse Warming Is Causing a Global Increase in Hurricane Intensity.”⁵**

³ https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=stem-talk+podcast+ihmc+judith+curry

⁴ https://youtu.be/_2Bw52FjYi4

⁵ <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/87/8/bams-87-8-1025.xml>

The 2005 Atlantic hurricane season was the most active and costly season on record. Recent publications linking an increase in hurricane intensity to increasing tropical sea surface temperatures have fueled the debate on whether or not global warming is causing an increase in hurricane intensity. Because of the substantial implications of the hurricane–global warming issue for society and the immediate policy relevance associated with decision making related to Hurricane Katrina, attacks and rebuttals related to this research are being made in the media and on the World Wide Web without the rigor or accountability expected of scientific discourse. In this paper, we aim to promote a balanced and thoughtful examination of this subject by

- clarifying the debate surrounding the subject as to whether or not global warming is causing an increase in global hurricane intensity,
- illustrating a methodology of hypothesis testing to address multiple criticisms of a complex hypothesis that involves a causal chain, and
- **providing a case study of the impact of politics, the media, and the World Wide Web on the scientific process.**

2. But then the shock of CLIMATEGATE, circa 2009, reverberated throughout the climate change community. The revelation, due to an unauthorized release of HADCRU emails from the Climate Research Unit at the University of East Anglia (part of the IPCC), that some researchers were manipulating climate data to make it appear the earth was heating up dangerously, was a game-changer for ethical scientists who had previously trusted the IPCC to present honest and accurate reports.

The emails revealed that a number of IPCC authors (1) had evaded FOIA requests for data, (2) had cherry-picked data, (3) had manipulated the peer review process, (4) had downplayed uncertainty, and (5) had otherwise attempted to squash and discredit skeptics.

The IPCC had been funded to study/discover only negative, anthropogenic influences on climate change, thus **avoiding a comprehensive look** at all influences and all results, both positive and negative, which would have been the most scientific approach to the topic. And Climategate was, apparently, one result of this narrow directive.

In the aftermath of Climategate, one scientist – Judith Curry – posted this commentary⁶ “ON THE CREDIBILITY OF CLIMATE RESEARCH” on Climate Audit, an award-winning skeptics blog⁷. The Climate Audit site is worth reviewing, to read alternate professional viewpoints on issues (the essence of what science is supposed to do – discuss/debate in an arena where all information is welcomed, where all voices may be heard).

Prof. Curry points to the need for the climate scientists to do better at making data publicly available, to be completely transparent about their methods, to be honest about uncertainties, and to be more respectful to scientists critical of the research.

“..two broader issues raised by these emails that are impeding the public credibility of climate research: **lack of transparency in climate data, and “tribalism” in some segments of the climate research community that is impeding peer review and the assessment process.**”

School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia; National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Judith A. Curry, School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, 311 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332-0340, E-mail: curryja@eas.gatech.edu

⁶ <https://climateaudit.org/2009/11/22/curry-on-the-credibility-of-climate-research/>

⁷ <https://climateaudit.org/author/stevemcintyre/>

Dr. Curry's entire commentary on the aftermath of CLIMATEGATE is posted at the end of my testimony.

3. What global climate models can and can't do well:

Global climate models create a coarse grained simulation of earth's climate system using computers. These models simulate atmosphere, ocean, land surface, sea ice, and glaciers. Models use complex mathematical equations that can only be approximately solved on computers.

Some of the equations and climate models are based on laws of physics.. However, there are key processes in climate models that are approximated and not based on physical laws. **Hence, there are a LOT of "tunable parameters" in these climate models, including solar direct effects and CLOUDS.** Climate models can't tell us anything about climate sensitivity to CO₂⁸, but recent studies may be shedding more light on CO₂ saturation dynamics –

Two recently-published papers found that doubling CO₂ in the atmosphere led to minimal temperature increases. The calculated figures can be considered to be in margin of error territory and on past observational evidence they pose no threat to the climate on Earth. They also destroy the shaky scientific foundation upon which Net Zero rests.

Eight Taiwanese scientists led by Professor Peng-Sheng Wei found that the sensitivity of the climate to a rise in CO₂ atmospheric levels from 100 to 400 parts per million (ppm) was "negligibly small" at 0.3°C. The paper is complex and examines heat transfers as a function of longitude, latitude and altitude "as well as diffuse radiation determined by absorption bands based on wavelength, temperature and the concentration or pressure of carbon dioxide vapour."

The rest of the article above is posted at the end of this testimony.

And there is still a factor of 3 uncertainty in these models, as per Dr. Curry.

In addition, the effect of our solar system transiting through a highly magnetized interstellar cloud (which appears to be a factor in changes observed on ALL the planets, as per extensive David Wilcock references on Gaia to scientific articles) is not included in these models -

https://web.archive.org/web/20230604143301/https://science.nasa.gov/science-news/science-at-nasa/2009/23dec_voyager

Global models are exceedingly complex .. and are amazing tools for trying to understand how global climate works, but **are NOT fit for making future predictions, simulating regional climates or extreme weather or climate events.**

⁸ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YHhV_RY_ac
<https://mindandmatter.substack.com/p/judith-curry-climatology-climate>
<https://www.nickjikomes.com/post/judith-curry-climatology-climate-change-computer-modeling-green-energy-greenhouse-gasses-84-1>

The Global Warming Policy Foundation – Climate Models For The Layman
<https://www.thegwpf.org › content › uploads › 2017 › 02 › Curry-2017.pdf>
 Global Warming Policy Foundation – 2024 Annual GWTF Lecture (with transcript)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iqsZV8i3O1E>

The most important gaps in current understanding of climate change (Climate Uncertainty and Risk by Judith A. Curry, page 8):

- Solar impacts on climate, including indirect effects beyond solar heating
- Multi-decadal and century-scale natural internal variability associated with large-scale ocean circulations
- Mechanisms of vertical heat transfer in the ocean
- Fast thermodynamic feedbacks (water vapor, clouds, atmospheric lapse rate) that determine the climate sensitivity to increases in atmospheric greenhouse gases
- Earth's carbon budget and carbon cycle
- Ice sheet dynamics
- Geothermal heat transfer under the oceans and ice sheets

Disagreement – Causes of Recent Climate Change

Climate is generally stable/Change caused by external inputs

VERSUS

Climate is dynamic/Change primarily occurs naturally

E.G. Chart shows global sea level rise began around 1860, well before fossil carbon emissions became significant

<https://youtu.be/ 2Bw52FjYi4>

There remains a factor of 3 uncertainty in the sensitivity of the climate to increasing CO₂. For radically reducing CO₂ emissions to make any sense in terms of the climate, the climate sensitivity of CO₂ would need to be on the high end and natural climate variability would need to be discounted. On the other hand, if the climate

sensitivity to increasing CO₂ is on the low end and natural variability is dominant, then decreasing CO₂ emissions won't have much of a noticeable effect.

Further, we are unable to predict SOLAR VARIATIONS, volcanic eruptions, and multidecadal oscillations, so there's a great deal of uncertainty in the model.

There's a key difference between a scientific consensus and a consensus of scientists: Scientific consensus reflects our longstanding knowledge base about a topic about which there is, essentially, no scientific disagreement (e.g., earth orbits the sun).

On the other hand, a consensus of scientists represents a deliberate expression of collective judgment by a group of scientists on a poorly understood topic. This is often at the official request of a government or organization, ergo will have political overtones and pressures. See Dr. Judith Curry testimony to Congressional subcommittee (link on page 1).

NEW ENERGY SOURCES

The Plan fails to account for emergence of energy systems that have nothing to do with fossil fuels, that will moot the basis for the assertion of anthropogenic climate change.

Working models of patents (such as anti-gravity vehicles) already exist, according to U.S. Navy, in confirming Patent Office inquiries re whether to approve the patents submitted by Salvatore Cezar Pais, a Romanian-American scientist.

In addition to the U.S. Navy/Salvatore Pais patents, there are **thousands of suppressed patents, including clean (free, anti-gravity, zero point, etc.) energy production and propulsion systems and medical technology**, many of which President Trump is committed to releasing, as first indicated in his 2017 inaugural address⁹.

Although administrative agencies resisted his executive order and slowed the release of such patents to a mere trickle during his first term, there is every reason to believe THIS time the administrative response will be much livelier, far more in the public interest. President Trump had better luck in his first term with the category of "mysteries of space," as referenced in that address, when he oversaw the creation of Space Force.

See quote in footnote and the following screenshot.

⁹ "We stand at the birth of a new millennium, ready to **unlock the mysteries of space, to free the earth from the miseries of disease, and to harness the energies, industries, and technologies of tomorrow.**"

Regarding patents for new energy options, propulsion, etc., with some working models already in existence.

Listen to inventor Salvatore Cezar Pais (affiliated with U.S. Navy) on Curt Jaimungal's Theory of Everything.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5E6QyAhTB3o>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PE4C7OI7Frg&t=0s>

NEW STUDIES ON SATURATION OF CO₂ AND ITS PLANETARY BENEFITS

Dramatic evidence has been published in a number of recent science papers that carbon dioxide levels are already 'saturated', meaning little or no further warming is to be expected and rising CO₂ levels are all beneficial.

Half of human emissions are being quickly pushed back into the biosphere, the scientists say, causing substantial, famine-busting plant growth, while the rest is entering a 'saturated' atmosphere and having a minimal effect on global temperatures. One of the papers accepting the human involvement in rising CO₂ is published by the CO₂ Coalition, which [notes](#): "We like CO₂, so should you."

None of this work will be reported in the mainstream since it disrupts a 'settled' climate science narrative tied to the political Net Zero fantasy. But the opinion that humans control the climate thermostat by releasing CO₂, leading to runaway temperatures, belongs to a dark period in science when it was captured to promote political aims.

However, work continues in skeptical climate circles to understand how a number of gases with warming properties behave in a chaotic, non-linear atmosphere. Two recently-published papers found that doubling CO₂ in the atmosphere led to minimal temperature increases. The calculated figures can be considered to be in margin of error territory and on past observational evidence they pose no threat to the climate on Earth. They also destroy the shaky scientific foundation upon which Net Zero rests.

Eight Taiwanese scientists led by Professor Peng-Sheng Wei found that the sensitivity of the climate to a rise in CO₂ atmospheric levels from 100 to 400 parts per million (ppm) was “[negligibly small](#)” at 0.3°C. The paper is complex and examines heat transfers as a function of longitude, latitude and altitude “as well as diffuse radiation determined by absorption bands based on wavelength, temperature and the concentration or pressure of carbon dioxide vapour.”

What the scientists are looking at here is the narrow absorption bands within the infrared (IR) spectrum that allow ‘greenhouse’ gases to trap heat and warm the planet. Many argue that after a certain level the gases ‘saturate’ and lose most of their warming properties. One simple way to understand this is to observe that doubling insulation in a loft will not trap twice as much heat.

The saturation hypothesis would appear to explain how CO₂ has been 10-15 times higher in the past without runaway temperatures, while the anthropogenic warming opinion does little more than provide scientific cover for a dodgy but fashionable extreme eco scare.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change claims a climate sensitivity number based on doubling CO₂ levels of around 3°C. But many climate models ramp up mass public hysteria by using ‘pathways’ with much larger and highly improbable estimates. The latter form the basis of numerous ‘scientists say’ stories faithfully reported by unquestioning mainstream media. **The Taiwanese scientists found that ground temperature warming of 0.3°C was associated with the increase from 100 ppm to 350 ppm and there was no additional warming at all as CO₂ rose further from 350 ppm to 400 ppm. The current level of CO₂ in the atmosphere is 420 ppm.**

Seven Austrian scientists have also recently concentrated on CO₂ and the infrared spectrum, noting that a future doubling of the gas up to 800 ppm “shows no increase in the IR absorption for the 15 u-central peak”. It is concluded that this can lead to [0.5°C warming at most](#). The scientists argue that climate models and their CO₂ influences should be revised. Much more experimental evidence about IR radiation should be collected “before appointing current warming trends and climate change mechanisms monocausal to greenhouse gas theories.”

The recent papers on CO₂ saturation are not the only ones to have been published lately. **Earlier this year a group of Polish scientists led by Dr. Jan Kubicki supplied [three papers](#) arguing that above 400 ppm, “the CO₂ concentration can no longer cause any increase in temperature.” In 2023, three scientists including Atmospheric Professor Yi Huang of McGill University stated that: “in the CO₂ band centre is unchanged by increased CO₂ as the absorption is [already saturated](#).” In Chen et al. 2023, it is reported that CO₂ had a severely reduced warming effect past pre-industrial concentrations. It was also noted that water vapour and cloud influences overlap and thus dominate absorption in the CO₂ IR band. In 2022, the German Physics Professor Dieter Schildnecht set the CO₂ saturation level at [just 300 ppm](#).**

The CO₂ Coalition is an educational foundation that says it provides facts, resources and information about the “vital role” CO₂ plays in the environment. It recently published a [detailed paper](#) that accepted humans had contributed most of the CO₂ that has entered the atmosphere in industrial times. The paper is sub-titled: “How

human emissions are restoring vital atmospheric CO₂.” The coalition has long promoted the role that saturation plays in tempering the effect of a number of gases with warming properties. Attention is often drawn in its work to the part played by water vapour that makes up around 4% of the atmosphere and contributes as much as 80% of the Earth’s vital warming. It saturates over large parts of the IR spectrum, reducing the effect of other gases in their own specific bands. The coalition’s board includes the distinguished Professor William Happer, who has long argued the merits of the saturation hypothesis, and it was recently joined by the 2022 Nobel Physics Laureate Dr. John Clauser.

Levels of CO₂ have been much higher in the past, with evidence of vibrant animal and plant life. Many plants evolved to thrive with higher levels than they feed on today, a period some scientists argue is one of CO₂ denudation. In its recently published paper, the coalition observes that the higher the CO₂ content in the atmosphere, the greater the pressure from physical processes to drive CO₂ into the oceans and vegetation.

This is borne out by considerable evidence, although the recent substantial ‘greening’ of the planet is largely hidden from readers reliant on mainstream media. In fact the new ‘green revolution’ is feeding the world. The authors of a recent science paper, [Charles Taylor and Wolfram Schlenker](#), state: “We consistently find a large fertilisation effect; a 1 ppm increase in CO₂ equates to a 0.4%, 0.6%, 1% yield increase for corn, soybean and wheat respectively.” The heavy greening of the Earth can be seen in a map first published in Donohue/CSIRO 2015 and republished in another [recent paper](#) from the CO₂ Coalition. This examined the nutritive value of plants growing in enhanced CO₂ concentrations.

The map was produced from satellite leaf data and shows that greening between 1982-2012 grew by 20-30% in India, West Australia, the Sahel and the Anatolian highlands. A more recent paper [Chen et al. 2024](#) found that greening had actually accelerated in the last two decades. The increase in CO₂ was found to be the dominant driver of the positive trend of the Leaf Area Index over most of the global land surface.

Article author: Chris Morrison

Curry – On The Credibility Of Climate Research

Nov. 22, 2009

Having been riveted for the last few days by posts in the blogosphere on the HADCRU hack and the increasing attention being given to this by the mainstream media, I would

like to provide an “external but insider” assessment and perspective. My perspective is as a climate researcher that is not involved directly in any of the controversies and issues in the purloined HADCRU emails, but as one that is familiar with this research, the surrounding controversies, and many of the individuals who sent these emails. While the blogosphere has identified many emails that allegedly indicate malfeasance, clarifications especially from Gavin Schmidt have been very helpful in providing explanations and the appropriate context for these emails. However, even if the hacked emails from HADCRU end up to be much ado about nothing in the context of any actual misfeasance that impacts the climate data records, **the damage to the public credibility of climate research is likely to be significant. In my opinion, there are two broader issues raised by these emails that are impeding the public credibility of climate research: lack of transparency in climate data, and “tribalism” in some segments of the climate research community that is impeding peer review and the assessment process.**

1. Transparency. Climate data needs to be publicly available and well documented. This includes metadata that explains how the data were treated and manipulated, what assumptions were made in assembling the data sets, and what data was omitted and why. This would seem to be an obvious and simple requirement, but the need for such transparency has only been voiced recently as the policy relevance of climate data has increased. The HADCRU surface climate dataset and the paleoclimate dataset that has gone into the various “hockeystick” analyses stand out as lacking such transparency. Much of the paleoclimate data and metadata has become available only because of continued public pressure from Steve McIntyre. Datasets that were processed and developed decades ago and that are now regarded as essential elements of the climate data record often contain elements whose raw data or metadata were not preserved (this appears to be the case with HADCRUT). The HADCRU surface climate dataset needs public documentation that details the time period and location of individual station measurements used in the data set, statistical adjustments to the data, how the data were analyzed to produce the climatology, and what measurements were omitted and why. If these data and metadata are unavailable, I would argue that the data set needs to be reprocessed (presumably the original raw data is available from the original sources). Climate data sets should be regularly reprocessed as new data becomes available and analysis methods improve. There are a number of aspects of the surface climate record that need to be understood better. For example, the surface temperature bump ca. 1940 needs to be sorted out, and I am personally lacking confidence in how this period is being treated in the HADCRUT analysis. In summary, given the growing policy relevance of climate data, increasingly higher standards must be applied to the transparency and availability of climate data and metadata. These standards should be clarified, applied and enforced by the relevant national funding agencies and professional societies that publish scientific journals.

2. Climate tribalism. Tribalism is defined here as a strong identity that separates one’s group from members of another group, characterized by strong in-group loyalty and regarding other groups differing from the tribe’s defining characteristics as inferior. In the context of scientific research, tribes differ from groups of colleagues that collaborate and otherwise associate with each other professionally. As a result of the politicization of climate science, climate tribes (consisting of a small number of climate researchers) were

established in response to the politically motivated climate disinformation machine that was associated with e.g. ExxonMobil, CEI, Inhofe/Morano etc. The reaction of the climate tribes to the political assault has been to circle the wagons and point the guns outward in an attempt to discredit misinformation from politicized advocacy groups. The motivation of scientists in the pro AGW tribes appears to be less about politics and more about professional ego and scientific integrity as their research was under assault for nonscientific reasons (I'm sure there are individual exceptions, but this is my overall perception). I became adopted into a "tribe" during Autumn 2005 after publication of the Webster et al. hurricane and global warming paper. I and my colleagues were totally bewildered and overwhelmed by the assault we found ourselves under, and associating with a tribe where others were more experienced and savvy about how to deal with this was a relief and very helpful at the time.

After becoming more knowledgeable about the politics of climate change (both the external politics and the internal politics within the climate field), I became concerned about some of the tribes pointing their guns inward at other climate researchers who question their research or don't pass various loyalty tests. I even started spending time at climateaudit, and my public congratulations to Steve McIntyre when climateaudit won the "best science blog award" was greeted with a rather unpleasant email from one of the tribal members. While the "hurricane wars" fizzled out in less than a year as the scientists recovered from the external assault and got back to business as usual in terms of arguing science with their colleagues, the "hockey wars" have continued apparently unabated. With the publication of the IPCC 4th Assessment report, the Nobel Peace Prize, and energy legislation near the top of the national legislative agenda, the "denialists" were becoming increasingly irrelevant (the Heartland Conference and NIPCC are not exactly household words). Hence it is difficult to understand the continued circling of the wagons by some climate researchers with guns pointed at skeptical researchers by apparently trying to withhold data and other information of relevance to published research, thwart the peer review process, and keep papers out of assessment reports. Scientists are of course human, and short-term emotional responses to attacks and adversity are to be expected, but I am particularly concerned by this apparent systematic and continuing behavior from scientists that hold editorial positions, serve on important boards and committees and participate in the major assessment reports. It is these issues revealed in the HADCRU emails that concern me the most, and it seems difficult to spin many of the emails related to FOIA, peer review, and the assessment process. I sincerely hope that these emails do not in actuality reflect what they appear to, and I encourage Gavin Schmidt et al. to continue explaining the individual emails and the broader issues of concern.

In summary, the problem seems to be that the circling of the wagons strategy developed by small groups of climate researchers in response to the politically motivated attacks against climate science are now being used against other climate researchers and the more technical blogs (e.g. Climateaudit, Lucia, etc). Particularly on a topic of such great public relevance, scientists need to consider carefully skeptical arguments and either rebut them or learn from them. Trying to suppress them or discredit the skeptical researcher or blogger is not an ethical strategy and one that will backfire in the long run. I have some sympathy for Phil Jones' concern of not wanting to lose control of his personal research agenda by having to take the time to respond to all the queries and

requests regarding his dataset, but the receipt of large amounts of public funding pretty much obligates CRU to respond to these requests. The number of such requests would be drastically diminished if all relevant and available data and metadata were made publicly accessible, and if requests from Steve McIntyre were honored (I assume that many spurious requests have been made to support Steve McIntyre's request, and these would all disappear).

The HADCRU hack has substantially increased the relevance of Climateaudit, WUWT, etc. The quickest way for HADCRU et al. to put Climateaudit and the rest of this tribe out of business is make all climate data and metadata public and make every effort to improve the datasets based on all feedback that you receive. Do this and they will quickly

run out of steam and become irrelevant. Gavin Schmidt's current efforts at realclimate are a good step in the right direction of increasing transparency.

But the broader issue is the need to increase the public credibility of climate science. This requires publicly available data and metadata, a rigorous peer review process, and responding to arguments raised by skeptics. The integrity of individual scientists that are in positions of responsibility (e.g. administrators at major research institutions, editorial boards, major committees, and assessments) is particularly important for the public credibility of climate science. The need for public credibility and transparency has dramatically increased in recent years as the policy relevance of climate research has increased. The climate research enterprise has not yet adapted to this need, and our institutions need to strategize to respond to this need.

<https://climateaudit.org/2009/11/22/curry-on-the-credibility-of-climate-research/>

Sherilyn Wells March 2025 testimony on the proposed 2045 Plan, supplement to January 2025 testimony.

Many people have already offered expert testimony and very perceptive criticism of this Plan.

Rather than repeat their observations, for this second submission I have chosen to go beneath the surface, to reveal the nature of the underlying system, the organizations and people, that appear to be the engine behind many, if not all, of the Plan concepts.

Let's bring everything into the light: **"The phrase "sunlight is the best disinfectant" is a well-known quote by U.S. Supreme Court Justice Louis Brandeis, which he used metaphorically to emphasize the importance of transparency and openness in society and governance."**

I. RESPONSE REQUIRED as per HRS §226-109(9) – page 2

II. ARE YOU PREPARED TO ADDRESS ONE VERY REAL (SUPER WEALTHY) ISSUE? – page 3

III. PRO FORMA vs. REALLY INCORPORATING TESTIMONY – HOW WILL THIS PROCESS END? – page 3

IV. HALT THE SLIDE INTO A “WEF GREAT RESET CLONE” PLAN – page 4

V. DR DAVID MARTIN ON TRIGGERS USED TO CALL FOR THE GREAT RESET – page 5

VI. PYRAMID – page 5

VII. USING CRISES – page 6

VIII. WHERE'S HOMEOWNER? – page 6

IX. HAPPINESS, PROPERTY, AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT – page 7

X. PROPERTY AND PERSONHOOD BY MARGARET JANE RADIN – page 8

XI. CONNECTING STAKEHOLDERS TO COVID/CRISIS (WEF) – page 8

XII. WEF: COVID WAS A LITMUS TEST FOR “STAKEHOLDER CAPITALISM” – page 9

XIII. DR DAVID MARTIN'S RESEARCH – page 9

XIV. THE COVID ORCHESTRA INCLUDES WEF – NAMING NAMES. page 11

XV. MEDIA OWNERSHIP DICTATES CONTENT (Manipulation System Mouthpiece – MSM) – page 12

XVI. PHILANTHROPY.. or is it? PUBLIC RETENTION OF POWER. - page 14

XVII. ARTFUL LANGUAGE ON BEHALF OF DECEPTION – page 14

XVIII. LIFE EXPERIENCE – page 14

XIX. Hawai'i General Plan re Proposed Changes in 2025 – Submission Number One (January 2025) – page 15

XX. Article - Editor of major newspaper says he planted stories for CIA – page 28

XXI. Article - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity; Red Pill Expo, November 19, 2021 – page 31

XXII. Article - TRANSCRIPT Of Dr David E. Martin's Speech At The European Union Parliament, Covid Summit, MAY 2023 – page 39

XXIII. Article - Breaking The WEF Matrix: How To Resist The Great Reset - page 45

I. RESPONSE REQUIRED as per HRS §226-109(9)

I am including my January testimony again re **the requirement, as per HRS §226-109 (9) (a statute cited in the Plan), for a response to this new information on the topic of climate change.**

HRS §226-109(9) - Use management and implementation approaches that encourage the continual collection, evaluation, and integration of new information and strategies into new and existing practices, policies, and plans; and

- The 2045 Plan language does not reflect the broader, more scientific nature of comprehensive (not limited to alleged anthropogenic) climate change studies, as well as noting where there are uncertainties or deficiencies in the data and conclusions.
- It does not reflect where there is professional dissent (reminiscent of the censorship/exclusion of professional Covid dissent at the beginning).
- It does not address studies that show positive effects from increasing CO2.
- The Plan does not address the missing elements and inabilities of climate change modeling systems.
- The Plan does not address the emergence of new energy systems, already patented, awaiting sufficient political support for their release, systems that will NOT introduce greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. Relevant terms like Zero Point and Free Energy, etc., are nowhere in the Plan.

II. ARE YOU PREPARED TO ADDRESS ONE VERY REAL (SUPER WEALTHY) ISSUE?

Given the great transfer of wealth during Covid¹, from the lower and middle class into a growing group of (existing and) newly created super wealthy...

Where is the REAL (no holds barred) discussion re robust, community-driven solutions to -

- address affordability and availability of housing ownership **when wealthy corporations/individuals buy up properties well above market value, putting home ownership even further out of reach for the “average” local resident and significantly altering the property taxes of surrounding homeowners (a backdoor approach to gradually, financially forcing them out, too?)** - PAGE 181 – Housing Challenges;
- a discussion about how to protect the integrity and the “fabric” of communities when **local businesses who have been a part of the community, some for decades or longer, are suddenly closed down after wealthy corporations/individuals buy up the land beneath them;**
- a discussion about how much **disproportionate influence** we can then expect these **super wealthy “stakeholders”** to have on our community development and planning processes, including via their **public/private projects** (see who comprises the WEF Great Reset stakeholders in **footnote 3** – hint – it’s NOT the average person). And take the verb “improving” (quote below) with a huge grain of salt...

“One of the stated aims of the WEF is that it will be “...committed to improving the state of the world through **public-private cooperation.**”
<https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

CONCLUSION:

MISSING from the plan: this issue of the SUPER WEALTHY and their impact on our island, our ohana, our community. More below on the need for private/public partnership transparency at the inception of any process.

III. PRO FORMA vs. REALLY INCORPORATING TESTIMONY – HOW WILL THIS PROCESS END?

I’ve attended many meetings where it was clear that hearings were “pro forma,” done for the purpose of fulfilling a legal or statutory requirement, but without any intention of actually reflecting on, of incorporating or integrating, any testimony that goes contrary to the plan that’s already been chosen behind closed doors.

Donna Thompson of Waimea, whose excellent testimony I herein incorporate via reference, has made similar observations.

¹ <https://totalnews.com/another-massive-transfer-of-wealth-incoming/>

IV. HALT THE SLIDE INTO A “WEF GREAT RESET CLONE” PLAN

You can still step back from this slippery slope and **HALT THE SLIDE towards the ultimate result of creating, in “2045,” a WEF-Great-Reset-like plan (Agenda 21, as per Ms. Thompson)**, but it may well require **refusing** to let this island’s **backroom power players** have their way and empowering the people instead.

In June 2019, the **WEF** signed a **Strategic Partnership Framework** agreement with the **United Nations**. The WEF and the UN agreed to collaboratively “accelerate the implementation of the **2030 Agenda** for Sustainable Development: milestones to complete the UN’s plan for the 21st century, **also known as Agenda 21** – the UN’s vision for a **centrally managed global society**. The policies required to achieve these sustainable development goals (SDGs) are developed by the **multi-stakeholder partnership**. <https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Even in the face of growing widespread opposition, governments are pursuing WEF’s strategy as it is no secret that the international organisation has managed to infiltrate them through its Young Global Leaders. <https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

In his book, Kahn explores subverting democracy by training a certain group in society as potential leaders, with those pre-selected few groomed for power being able to define what our shared values as a society should be. Sound familiar? Today’s **World Economic Forum’s Young Global Leader scheme is the exact manifestation of his original proposal. In essence, they will hold all the cards, whilst the common people will be left with illusory pseudo-democratic processes, poverty, and constant absurd psychological operations (PSYOPs) to sow chaos and distract us all unceasingly.** <https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Sidenote – one of my Waimea friends recently asked the Mayor if this plan will go forward regardless of all the citizen opposition and the **Mayor’s reply was that we DON’T have to adopt the 2045 Plan..** (Now THERE’s a concept.)

Just remember – WEF’s oh-so-well-crafted rhetoric (the velvet glove) seldom matches their intended outcome (the iron fist inside the glove).² Focus group research and decades of mind control research by our government and universities give these elite groups “pathways into persuasion” that don’t have to reflect reality.

² Breaking The WEF Matrix: How To Resist The Great Reset - <http://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset/>

1. Velvet glove – Covid concerns.
2. Velvet glove – climate change* concerns (*the erroneous WEF-type – see my January testimony about a more comprehensive and scientific approach).
3. Iron fist – control over the public.

SMART city – Surveilling the **M**asses **A**nd **R**estricting **T**ransactions (thanks to China for showing us the reality, within a “SMART” city, of consequences for failure to follow prescribed social actions).

V. DR DAVID MARTIN ON TRIGGERS USED TO CALL FOR THE GREAT RESET

Refer to Dr. David Martin’s research and information, included below, in which he presents the evidence for **WEF’s disingenuous history with Covid and the experimental injection (which they secretly co-participated in creating, then used as a rationale for launching their Great Reset).**

WEF is NOW using “climate change” as the new, post-Covid driver to impose their control agenda.

Notice how much the deliberately limited, non-comprehensive, WEF version of “climate change” is used in this 2045 plan. Why use something so flawed, unless it was in service to an agenda, ergo NOT a commitment to best available science? See my January testimony for a more science-based consideration of climate change.

VI. PYRAMID

Therefore, this plan is a pyramid attempting to look like a level playing field, in which the pyramid’s capstone will be a small cadre of “**stakeholders**”³ (**WEF** term, used **86**

³ “A global network of **stakeholder capitalist partners** are collaborating to usher in what they claim to be a new model of enhanced democratic accountability that includes “civil society.” Continued...

However, beneath their deceptive use of the term civil society lies an ideology which **offers this network an unprecedented degree of political control that threatens to extinguish representative democracy entirely.**

”What is further obfuscated is that civil society stakeholders are selected. **“Representatives from NGOs, religious communities, unions, and philanthropic foundations are the selected stakeholders whose only role is to agree with the policies placed on the table by the public-private partnership,”** Davis adds. Their consent is deemed your consent. <http://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

times in the proposed plan) and many of the **goals (and omissions) look remarkably similar to the WEF Great Reset**. For instance, observe this Plan-consultant's profile: Consultants, Page 2; Page 86, footnote 3 – SMART GROWTH AMERICA Smart Growth America focuses on **three specific priorities: Climate change** and resilience, **advancing racial equity**, and creating healthy communities.
<https://smartgrowthamerica.org/our-work/>

Great Reset – a globalist-driven initiative to establish Schwab's vision of **stakeholder capitalism, where corporations are positioned as “trustees of society” to address the world's economic and social woes**.
<https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Therefore, **it is important to now address WEF as the background basis for 2045's characteristics**, in the hopes that the 2045 planners might want to seriously reconsider whether taking cues – covertly - from WEF is truly in the best interests of the people of this island, this state.

And here's why...

VII. USING CRISES

Unfortunately, WEF history is typically that of **pursuing more centralized control of and power over the individual**, the public, regardless of deceptive rhetoric claiming otherwise.

The **opportunistic use and/or deliberate creation of “crises”**⁴ are a common tool for expanding control (see WEF articles on **taking advantage of Covid to advance stakeholder capitalism**).

A more sinister aspect of the WEF/Covid connection is revealed by Dr. David Martin's research, which will be referenced below (see also Footnote 4).

VIII. WHERE'S HOMEOWNER?

WEF's 2018 Predictions for 2030: “You'll own nothing. And you'll be happy.”⁵

⁴Dr. David Martin: Who “They” Are, “The Names and Faces” - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/11/20/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces
 Dr. David Martin reveals Fauci's Trail of Covid Patents' since 2002 (includes additional links to source documents)

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/07/12/breaking-news-patents-filed-in-america-as-far-back-as-2002-concerning-sars-cov-2-coronavirus

Dr. David Martin's Covid Bioweapon Speech at 'An Injection of Truth' Town Hall Held March 3/25 in Calgary, Alberta

<https://rumble.com/v6q6rt2-dr-david-martin-calgary.html>

WEF article (ah, the irony if you've read Dr. David Martin's evidence) - A New York simulation showed we weren't prepared for coronavirus. But it's not too late to act

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/03/covid-19-coronavirus-new-york-simulation-lessons-not-too-late-to-act/>

It is telling that THE TERM “STAKEHOLDER” IN THE 2045 PLAN’S GLOSSARY DOES NOT EXPLICITLY INCLUDE HOMEOWNER, nor do we find HOMEOWNER elsewhere in the document. In litigation citing a statute or code, the deliberate absence of a term is considered dispositive.

In this plan, there are:

EIGHTY-SIX (86) matches for STAKEHOLDER.

ZERO (0) matches for HOMEOWNER (although Homeownership does show up twice).

There are also NO matches for **SELF SUFFICIENT**, which is a cornerstone of how people are better able to weather change and **maintain their freedom and personal power**. Coincidence?

Freedom is the greatest fruit of self-sufficiency.

Epicurus

IX. HAPPINESS, PROPERTY, AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT

This article reviews the WEF’s 2018 prediction for 2030 - “You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy” - and finds property ownership to be a cornerstone of personal happiness.

A future with no individual ownership is not a happy one: Property theory shows why.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328723001131>

The greatest fine art of the future will be the making of a comfortable living from a small piece of land.

Abraham Lincoln

Notice that “..the pursuit of happiness” was considered a self-evident truth and unalienable RIGHT, important enough by the founders of this nation to be mentioned in the preamble of one of our country’s foundational documents.

Therefore, happiness of the people is an important benchmark indicating “success” as further development of our island communities takes place.

“You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy.” This prediction, based on just one of eight made by the World Economic Forum’s (WEF) network of Global Future Councils ([Parker, 2016](#)), assumed this expression in a video produced by the WEF in November 2016. As a tool intended to disseminate its content, the video

⁵ “You’ll own nothing and you’ll be happy” is a phrase from 2018 predictions for 2030 published by the World Economic Forum, cited as being based on input from members of the World Economic Forum Global Futures Councils, likely in turn based on a 2016 article in which Danish Social Democrat Ida Auken outlines her vision of the future.

was published both to the WEF's own website⁴ and its Facebook page,⁵ and was then shared across other media platforms...

Very few of the comments⁶ could be characterised as being in any sense positive toward the prediction.

After the WEF announced its '**Great Reset**' agenda to the world in June 2020 ([Schwab, 2020](#)), reaction to the prediction became stronger and louder still.

COVID-19 was identified as the principal reason for pursuing the agenda, but the WEF claimed that it also addressed the "...climate and social crises" emerging even before the pandemic's onset ([Schwab, 2020](#)).

A diverse cross-section of concerned citizenry across the world was, however, having none of it.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328723001131>

X. PROPERTY AND PERSONHOOD BY MARGARET JANE RADIN

Margaret Jane Radin is known for her influential article "**Property and Personhood**," which was published in the Stanford Law Review in 1982.

This article has been cited over 700 times and has been recognized as one of the 100 most cited law review articles of all time.

In this article, Radin argues that individuals require secure control over certain aspects of their external environment in the form of property rights to achieve proper self-development or personhood.

She also discusses how property rights for personhood serve as a justification for property rights in general and for some current schemes of property entitlement. In the article Professor Radin makes a compelling case that proper self-development, or personhood, requires individuals to have secure control over certain things—e.g., one's home residence—in their external environment in the form of property rights.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45457879_Property_and_Personhood_Revisited

XI. CONNECTING STAKEHOLDERS TO COVID/CRISIS (WEF)

The changes we have already seen in response to COVID-19 prove that a reset of our economic and social foundations is possible.

This is our best chance to instigate stakeholder capitalism - and here's how it can be achieved.

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/06/now-is-the-time-for-a-great-reset/>

XII. WEF: COVID WAS A LITMUS TEST FOR “STAKEHOLDER CAPITALISM”

According to WEF, **Covid 19 is a litmus test for stakeholder capitalism** – with **remotely tracking people and remote teaching** lauded by WEF as great examples of how a company can meet that stakeholder capitalism goal.

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/03/covid-19-is-a-litmus-test-for-stakeholder-capitalism/>

*By contrast, other companies used profits to invest in digital transformation, talent, research and development, and their customer relations. That now gives them an ability to react that other companies lack. **Microsoft, for example, ranked first in JUST Capital’s stakeholder companies ranking, is a collaborator on Johns Hopkins’ coronavirus tracker, and is providing teachers with access and training for its Teams program to teach remotely.*** It can do so because of its business model, but also because its stakeholders expect it to step up at times such as these.

XIII. DR DAVID MARTIN’S RESEARCH

Dr. David Martin⁶ was able, thanks to his **remarkable CV and decades of investigative work for the government**, to track patents and the history of Covid.

⁶ Dr. David Martin is the founding CEO of M-CAM Inc. M-CAM is the international leader in intellectual property-based financial risk management. From auditing patent quality for governments and patent offices, to providing state-of-the-art actuarial risk management systems and solutions to the largest banks and insurance companies, M-CAM has established a global standard in patent quality and commercial validity assessment and management.

A spokesperson for global intellectual property accountability and quality reform, Dr. Martin has worked closely with the United States Congress, numerous trade and finance regulatory agencies in the United States, Europe and Asia, in advocating and deploying infrastructure to support growing reliance on proprietary rights in business transactions. M-CAM has supported the modernization of intellectual property, tax, and accounting laws through its work with oversight agencies and policy makers.

Dr. Martin has founded several for-profit and non-profit companies and organizations and serves of several boards. He was the founding CEO of Mosaic Technologies Inc., a company that developed and commercialized advanced computational linguistics technologies, dynamic data compression and encryption technologies, electrical field transmission technology, medical diagnostics, and stealth/anechoic technology. He was a founding member of Japan’s Institute for Interface Science & Technology. He founded and served as Executive Director of the Charlottesville Venture Group. He has served as a board member for the Research Institute for Small and Emerging Business (Washington D.C.), the Academy for Augmenting Grassroots Technological Innovations (India), the IST (Japan) the Charlottesville Regional Chamber of Commerce (Virginia), and the Charlottesville Industrial Development Agency (Virginia).

As former Assistant Professor at the University of Virginia’s School of Medicine, Dr. Martin founded the University’s first wholly-owned, for-profit, research and development and technology transfer corporation. Engaged in domestic and international technology transfer, clinical research, and financing, this company pioneered new techniques innovation management that have become industry standards. In 1999, Dr. Martin was appointed by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia to serve on the Joint Commission on Technology and Science and has served the General Assembly and Virginia’s Center for Innovative Technology on numerous occasions.

Dr. Martin’s work with the Batten Institute at the Darden Graduate School of Business Administration at the University of Virginia and his related work at the Indian Institute for Management in Ahmedabad India has brought unprecedented curricular focus to areas of intangible asset risk management, finance, and accounting standards. In addition to his academic work, Dr. Martin has closely advised intellectual property based finance and investment programs in India, China, Denmark, the European Union, the United Kingdom, South Africa, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the United States, and the United Arab Emirates.

See attached document - [20200403_SARS_CoV_Patent_Corpus_Lit_Review.pdf](#).

The perpetrators' (**including WEF**) shocking willingness to inflict harm via the Covid experience (see Dr. David Martin's Red Pill Expo, November 19, 2021, talk in the video link⁷) is in utter/complete antagonism to Hawai'i's values of ALOHA, as described in the state statute.⁸ And remember, WEF used Covid to trot out The Great Reset, which this 2045 Plan emulates.

As Dr. Martin points out, if any of his facts are false, he could be sued. And no one has...

The following quote should be a massive wakeup call to the public re those behind the unleashing of Covid and the experimental injections:

Dr. Martin has publications in law, medicine, engineering, finance and education. He maintains active research in the fields of linguistic genomics, fractal financial risk modeling, as well as continuing his over 15 years of research in cellular membrane ionic signaling.

https://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/2006/scp_of_ge_06/speakers/martin.html

<https://www.m-cam.com/about-us/>

⁷ **Dr. David Martin: Who "They" Are, "The Names and Faces" - Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity**

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/11/20/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces

Dr. David Martin reveals Fauci's Trail of Covid Patents' since 2002 (includes additional links to source documents)

https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/07/12/breaking-news-patents-filed-in-america-as-far-back-as-2002-concerning-sars-cov-2-coronavirus

Dr. David Martin's Covid Bioweapon Speech at 'An Injection of Truth' Town Hall Held March 3/25 in Calgary, Alberta

<https://rumble.com/v6q6rt2-dr-david-martin-calgary.html>

Dr. David E. Martin PhD. – Covid Summit – European Union Parliament May 2023

<https://rumble.com/v2ncp8w-dr-david-e.-martin-phd-covid-summit-european-union-parliament-may-2023.html>

⁸ <https://www.hawaii.edu/uho/clear/home/lawaloha.html>

§ 5-7.5 "**Aloha Spirit**". (a) "**Aloha Spirit**" is the coordination of mind and heart within each person. It brings each person to the self. Each person must think and emote good feelings to others. In the contemplation and presence of the life force, "**Aloha**", the following **unuhi laulā loa** may be used:

- "**Akahai**", meaning kindness to be expressed with tenderness;
- "**Lōkahi**", meaning unity, to be expressed with harmony;
- "**Olu'olu**" meaning agreeable, to be expressed with pleasantness;
- "**Ha'aha'a**", meaning humility, to be expressed with modesty;
- "**Ahonui**", meaning patience, to be expressed with perseverance.

These are traits of character that express the charm, warmth and sincerity of Hawaii's people. It was the working philosophy of native Hawaiians and was presented as a gift to the people of Hawai'i. "**Aloha**" is more than a word of greeting or farewell or a salutation. "**Aloha**" means mutual regard and affection and extends warmth in caring with no obligation in return. "**Aloha**" is the essence of relationships in which each person is important to every other person for collective existence. "**Aloha**" means to hear what is not said, to see what cannot be seen and to know the unknowable.

(b) In exercising their power on behalf of the people and in fulfillment of their responsibilities, obligations and service to the people, the legislature, governor, lieutenant governor, executive officers of each department, the chief justice, associate justices, and judges of the appellate, circuit, and district courts may contemplate and reside with the life force and give consideration to the "**Aloha Spirit**". [L 1986, c 202, § 1]

"So .. the fact of the matter is, **they actually stated in 2014 that this was about investors responding if they see profit at the end of the process.**"

Dr. David Martin | National Citizens Inquiry Canada | March 6th 2025 |
Edmonton, Alberta

https://rumble.com/v6qds3o-national-citizens-inquiry-with-dr.-david-martin-march-6th-2025-edmonton-alb.html?e9s=src_v1_ucp

Dr. Martin was also invited to speak at the European Union Parliament's Covid Summit in 2023. <https://rumble.com/v2ncp8w-dr-david-e.-martin-phd-covid-summit-european-union-parliament-may-2023.html>

XIV. THE COVID ORCHESTRA INCLUDES WEF – NAMING NAMES

Dr. Martin refers to the major players orchestrating the plandemic as The Covid Orchestra, his research having proved that it was accurate to designate Covid and the experimental injection as a planned event (plandemic).

Even in the face of growing widespread opposition, governments are pursuing WEF's strategy as it is no secret that the international organisation has managed to infiltrate them through its Young Global Leaders.

<https://thegeopolity.com/2023/01/26/the-wef-and-the-great-reset/>

The full article, summarizing Dr. Martin's Red Pill Expo speech and identifying the organizations, as well as the people, involved in creating the plandemic, is reproduced at the bottom of this testimony. In the meantime, **notice that WEF is represented FOUR TIMES in the "Covid Orchestra" of major players.**

Excerpt from Dr. David Martin's speech at the Red Pill Expo:

THE COVID ORCHESTRA

"But this, Ladies and Gentlemen is the slide you wanted to see. This is actually the names and faces of the people who are, in fact killing humanity. And that's ALL of them. ...Let's make sure that we don't ever forget the names and the faces of the people who decided to kill us..."

- **Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees WEF**
- **Klaus Schwab, Founder and Executive Chairman, WEF**
- **Marc Benioff, Chair and Chief Executive Officer, Salesforce, WEF Trustee, WEF Young Global Leader**
- **Luis Alberto Moreno, WEF Young Global Leader**

(Sherilyn – the remainder of the Orchestra list is at the end of this testimony, where the full article - about the extensive origins of/patents associated with Covid and the experimental injection - is reprinted).

As Dr. Martin points out, if any of his facts are false, he could be sued. And no one has...

This list is a horrifying indictment, but words alone cannot begin to impress upon us the true/ultimate consequences of following any plan mapped out, in part, by such an organization.

SO... **let's personalize what happened to humanity** as a result of that trigger for THE GREAT RESET (Great Reset being a role model this plan is following). Start by watching these links, a testament to the death and damage unleashed on humanity via all those companies and people named by Dr. David Martin.

FOLLOW THE SILENCED

<https://followthesilenced.com/>

"the filmmakers uncovered a larger story behind a successful campaign to conceal the true scope of injuries from these vaccines"

WITNESS STATEMENT

https://rumble.com/v6px9fk-witness-statement-australian-documentary-biggest-crime-in-human-history-jan.html?e9s=rel_v2_pr

Japan's most senior cancer doctor: COVID shots are 'essentially murder'

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/japans-most-senior-cancer-doctor-covid-shots-are-essentially-murder/>

Dr. Michael Goodkin - Letter to HHS Secretary RFK Jr.: 'I Urge You to Act Now. Expose the Corruption.'

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/michael-goodkin-letter-hhs-secretary-rfk-jr-expose-corruption/>

XV. MEDIA OWNERSHIP DICTATES CONTENT (Manipulation System Mouthpiece – MSM)

I add this part because, while we're looking at this WEF role model, the WEF also stressed what THEY called misinformation in the obstacles to getting their Great Reset underway.

Of course, what they called misinformation proved to be accurate information... it just did not support their WEF-control agenda.

Complicit media, whose ownership is now concentrated in six companies⁹, come in **handy for the power brokers to narrow information available to the public in order to create a preferred public response**. That is just as true here in Hawai'i as elsewhere. Cooperation with/involvement/infiltration of the intelligence agencies is also common.

William B. Bader, **former CIA intelligence officer**, in his testimony before the Senate Intelligence Committee, said:

"There is quite an incredible spread of relationships. **You don't need to manipulate Time magazine, for example, because there are [Central Intelligence] Agency people at the management level.**"

And then there's Project Mockingbird (see Church Committee testimony and report).

⁹ <https://www.webfx.com/blog/internet/the-6-companies-that-own-almost-all-media-infographic/>

German journalist Udo Ulfkotte authored a confessional titled **Presstitutes Embedded In The Pay Of The CIA**, further proving that the CIA's Project Mockingbird infiltration of mainstream media is still "alive and well."

Udo also mentions **George Soros (who is affiliated with WEF and is a regular speaker at their DAVOS meetings)**.

So when you see **SOROS/OPEN SOCIETY, also think WEF**. When it says **"American"** in the next excerpt, Udo means CIA.

*Udo: "Those dismissive of the role of the financier George Soros will be interested to learn of the prominence the Soros backed European Council of Foreign Relations plays in policy formation, something Ulfkotte experienced first hand...**how Soros was part and parcel of the political regime in Germany, and essential to efforts expanding American hegemony in Eastern and Central Europe.**"*

Udo Ulfkotte, deceased German journalist and author of the confessional, **Presstitutes Embedded In The Pay Of The CIA**.

<https://www.theburkean.ie/articles/2020/09/20/udo-ulfkotte-was-a-nationalist-journalist-murdered-in-germany>

This next article, **based on leaked internal documents (CCDH)**, poses a powerful question about censorship. Once again, notice the Soros connection, intelligence agencies, etc.

Are U.S. Taxpayers Funding 'Corrupt Dark-money Network' That Censored CHD, RFK Jr. and Others?

A new analysis of government spending shows that several U.S. taxpayer-funded organizations are linked to the Center for Countering Digital Hate.

Last year, reporting by [Thacker](#) and [Matt Taibbi](#), based on **internal documents leaked by CCDH insiders**, revealed that **CCDH planned to "kill" X**, shut down popular social media accounts on other platforms, **cancel non-establishment voices** and **"bring back" attacks on "antivaxx" voices**, among other things.

According to the documents, CCDH planned to **organize "black ops" against Kennedy**, who was a U.S. presidential candidate at the time. The group also planned to **pressure Substack to remove COVID-19 vaccine critics** [Mercola](#) and [Alex Berenson](#) from its platform.

A subsequent **investigation by Ji** traced some of the organizations that financially support CCDH, including several U.K.-based nonprofits **affiliated with legacy media organizations, the U.K. government and major philanthropic organizations such as the Open Society Foundations (Soros) and the Ford Foundation**.

“These hidden contributions reveal a coordinated pipeline of financial influence involving **U.S. intelligence-adjacent entities, UK Crown interests, and Soros-backed organizations like the Tides Foundation,**” [Ji wrote](#).

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/us-taxpayer-funds-ccdhd-dark-money-censorship-rfk-jr-chd/>

XVI. PHILANTHROPY.. or is it? PUBLIC RETENTION OF POWER.

A power/control chess move is often disguised as philanthropy, too (just look at Bill Gates as one example, whose philanthropy “somehow” seemed to routinely/exponentially increase his personal wealth – vaccine stocks bought, then sold, with remarkably prescient timing – and to increase his influence – GAVI, WHO).

SO – public/private partnerships MUST be transparent, projects required to be revealed at the inception of the process and examined VERY closely to ensure that NO such transfer of power away from the citizens is taking place as quid pro quo¹⁰.

*****How this public retention of power might be achieved is another topic worthy of soliciting deeper community input/solutions.*****

XVII. ARTFUL LANGUAGE ON BEHALF OF DECEPTION

The language employed by plans following in the footsteps of the Great Reset (whose ultimate, albeit hidden, purpose is to reduce choice/freedom) may adeptly hide the true intent, thanks in part to (1) focus group research to learn which phrases are most persuasive for the general public and (2) decades of mind control experimentation (e.g., Monarch, MKUltra, etc.), as revealed by whistleblowers like Cathy O’Brien (Access Denied For Reasons Of National Security; The Tranceformation of America).

XVIII. LIFE EXPERIENCE

I have been -

- a former public interest environmental litigator (including in favor of growth management),
- a former co-president of the Washington Environmental Council who helped craft legislative language for amendments to the state statute RCW 36.70A,
- a former Board member of the Washington Toxics Coalition,
- a co-founder of and reporter for a local newspaper that didn’t depend on external agents for its funding (ergo was not obligated to slant the news in favor of any particular monied entity),

¹⁰ Cambridge Dictionary: something that you give to someone in [return](#) for them giving something back to you:

a quid pro quo - It's all [legal](#) as [long](#) as [contributions](#) aren't a [quid pro quo](#) for [political favors](#).

- a co-founder of a local environmental organization (Watershed Defense Fund, which became the Clean Water Alliance),
- a former candidate for countywide public office (Democrat, although the office itself was non-partisan),
- a speaker within the educational system on both (1) issues of the day and (2) active citizenship,
- a mother/grandmother whose stake in a positive future is thus both personal as well as societal,
- a relative of people (1) who covertly worked for the CIA and (2) who were high-security-clearance law enforcement on an international border (and who witnessed the corruption within our system, too), and
- I was raised in countries which did not enjoy even the appearance of a democratic process, so my appreciation for a constitutional republic and genuine, accurate elections* (*which we do NOT yet have in Hawai'i – lots of evidence on that topic!) is amplified.

XIX. Hawai'i General Plan re Proposed Changes in 2025 – Submission Number One (January 2025)

- Need to study plan's foundational topic(s) more inclusively – review scientific dissent/dialogue for a more scientific approach and better informed choices¹¹,
- Need to broaden the range of future scenario assumptions to include inventions already in existence and patents that will no longer remain suppressed,
- Preconceived (but unstated) alliances and their premises apparently exist in this plan, thus immediately narrowing the field of options under consideration: Is this plan on the verge of becoming a WEF Great Reset clone, based/focused on, for one example, prevalent terms like STAKEHOLDER, while neglecting to mention the essential, fundamental notion of HOMEOWNER? If our Plan is going to ally itself with an entity, let's make that choice transparent and let's choose one that values humanity and freedom, not one that seeks to dominate and control.

Sherilyn Wells

Submission Number One January 2025

Climate change (natural climate variation) is and has always been real (reflect on the mini Ice Age of a few centuries ago; reflect on the warning in the 1970's that another such ice age was almost upon us¹²).

¹¹ <https://judithcurry.com/blog-rules-and-netiquette/>

¹² On April 28, 1975, *Newsweek* published a provocative article, "The Cooling World," in which writer and science editor Peter Gwynne described a **significant chilling of the world's climate, with evidence accumulating "so massively that meteorologists are hard-pressed to keep up with it."** He raised the possibility of shorter growing seasons and poor crop yields, famine, and **shipping lanes blocked by ice, perhaps to begin as soon as the mid-1980s. Meteorologists, he wrote, were "almost unanimous" in the opinion that our planet was getting colder.** Over the years that followed, Gwynne's article became one of the most-cited stories in *Newsweek's* history.... Scores of similar articles, some with even more dire

Recently, the term was narrowed by a small group of researchers to refer **ONLY** to the human factor, based on these underlying assumptions -

- that there is a high level of anthropogenic/human influence on climate due to burning fossil fuels,
- that that influence is uniformly negative,
- that global climate modeling can predict the future complexities of climate,
- that a continuation down that fossil fuel energy path is leading to disaster.

“The narrow and politicized framing of the climate change debate has resulted in an oversimplification of the scientific problem and its solutions.”

The three incontrovertible facts about global warming, as listed by Prof. Emeritus Judith Curry:

Average global surface temperatures have overall increased since about 1860.

Carbon dioxide has infrared emission spectra and thus acts to warm the planet.

Humans have been adding CO₂ to the atmosphere by the emissions from burning of fossil fuels.¹³

BUT....

1. **Degree** of human influence on climate is not settled, however¹⁴, despite dire pronouncements prematurely posted (a) by a highly controlled media owned by agenda-driven, vastly reduced (concentrated) ownership and (b) by editors of journals who curate publications to favor only those studies expressing one particular viewpoint.

Judith Curry’s five minutes of testimony to Commerce/Science/Transportation subcommittee re SR253 –

<https://x.com/TakingoutTrash7/status/1682911250437611521>

This restriction on information via “official channels” is why a scientist who co-authored the Hurricane Katrina study that was a major accelerant for the Climate Change movement in 2005 now suggests publishing online, bypassing those journal-gatekeepers. Publishing online allows for much broader peer review, often far superior in depth of analysis and more nuanced observations, along with much greater transparency regarding data sets and methodology.

“Science is a process of continuously evaluating the evidence, challenging our assumptions, and critically reassessing our conclusions, rather than a collective of decreed truths.” Dr. Judith Curry

Rather than dismiss critics of her Hurricane Katrina study, which the Climate Change community adored, Prof. Judith Curry took a deeper look at their criticisms and published a thoughtful review entitled **“Mixing Politics and**

predictions of a “little ice age” to come, appeared during the 1970s in such mainstream publications as *Time*, *Science Digest*, *The Los Angeles Times*, *Fortune*, *The Chicago Tribune*, *New York Magazine*, *The New York Times*, *The Christian Science Monitor*, *Popular Science*, and *National Geographic*.

¹³ https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=stem-talk+podcast+ihmc+judith+curry

¹⁴ https://youtu.be/_2Bw52FjYi4

Science in Testing the Hypothesis That Greenhouse Warming Is Causing a Global Increase in Hurricane Intensity.”¹⁵

2. **But then the shock of CLIMATEGATE, circa 2009, reverberated throughout the climate change community.** The revelation, due to an unauthorized release of HADCRU emails from the Climate Research Unit at the University of East Anglia (part of the IPCC), that some researchers were manipulating climate data to make it appear the earth was heating up dangerously, was a game-changer for ethical scientists who had previously trusted the IPCC to present honest and accurate reports.

The emails revealed that a number of IPCC authors (1) had evaded FOIA requests for data, (2) had cherry-picked data, (3) had manipulated the peer review process, (4) had downplayed uncertainty, and (5) had otherwise attempted to squash and discredit skeptics.

The IPCC had been funded to study/discover only negative, anthropogenic influences on climate change, thus **avoiding a comprehensive look** at all influences and all results, both positive and negative, which would have been the most scientific approach to the topic. And Climategate was, apparently, one result of this narrow directive.

In the aftermath of Climategate, one scientist – Judith Curry – posted this commentary¹⁶ “ON THE CREDIBILITY OF CLIMATE RESEARCH” on Climate Audit, an award-winning skeptics blog¹⁷. The Climate Audit site is worth reviewing, to read alternate professional viewpoints on issues (the essence of what science is supposed to do – discuss/debate in an arena where all information is welcomed, where all voices may be heard).

¹⁵ <https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/87/8/bams-87-8-1025.xml>

The 2005 Atlantic hurricane season was the most active and costly season on record. Recent publications linking an increase in hurricane intensity to increasing tropical sea surface temperatures have fueled the debate on whether or not global warming is causing an increase in hurricane intensity. Because of the substantial implications of the hurricane–global warming issue for society and the immediate policy relevance associated with decision making related to Hurricane Katrina, attacks and rebuttals related to this research are being made in the media and on the World Wide Web without the rigor or accountability expected of scientific discourse. In this paper, we aim to promote a balanced and thoughtful examination of this subject by

- clarifying the debate surrounding the subject as to whether or not global warming is causing an increase in global hurricane intensity,
- illustrating a methodology of hypothesis testing to address multiple criticisms of a complex hypothesis that involves a causal chain, and
- **providing a case study of the impact of politics, the media, and the World Wide Web on the scientific process.**

School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia; National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Judith A. Curry, School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, 311 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332-0340, E-mail: curryja@eas.gatech.edu

¹⁶ <https://climateaudit.org/2009/11/22/curry-on-the-credibility-of-climate-research/>

¹⁷ <https://climateaudit.org/author/stevemcintyre/>



Prof. Curry points to the need for the climate scientists to do better at making data publicly available, to be completely transparent about their methods, to be honest about uncertainties, and to be more respectful to scientists critical of the research.

“..two broader issues raised by these emails that are impeding the public credibility of climate research: **lack of transparency in climate data, and “tribalism” in some segments of the climate research community that is impeding peer review and the assessment process.**”

Dr. Curry’s entire commentary on the aftermath of CLIMATEGATE is posted at the end of my testimony.

3. What global climate models can and can’t do well:

Global climate models create a coarse grained simulation of earth’s climate system using computers. These models simulate atmosphere, ocean, land surface, sea ice, and glaciers. Models use complex mathematical equations that can only be approximately solved on computers.

Some of the equations and climate models are based on laws of physics.. However, there are key processes in climate models that are approximated and not based on physical laws. Hence, there are a LOT of “tunable parameters” in these climate models, including solar direct effects and CLOUDS. Climate models can’t tell us anything about climate sensitivity to CO₂¹⁸, but recent studies may be shedding more light on CO₂ saturation dynamics –

Two recently-published papers found that **doubling CO₂ in the atmosphere led to minimal temperature increases**. The calculated figures can be considered to be in margin of error territory and on past observational evidence they pose no threat to the climate on Earth. They also destroy the shaky scientific foundation upon which Net Zero rests.

Eight Taiwanese scientists led by Professor Peng-Sheng Wei found that the sensitivity of the climate to a rise in CO₂ atmospheric levels from 100 to 400 parts per million (ppm) was “**negligibly small**” at 0.3°C. The paper is complex and examines heat transfers as a function of longitude, latitude and altitude “as well as diffuse radiation determined by absorption bands based on wavelength, temperature and the concentration or pressure of carbon dioxide vapour.”

¹⁸ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YHhV_RY_ac
<https://mindandmatter.substack.com/p/judith-curry-climatology-climate>
<https://www.nickjikomes.com/post/judith-curry-climatology-climate-change-computer-modeling-green-energy-greenhouse-gasses-84-1>

The rest of the article above is posted at the end of this testimony.

And there is still a factor of 3 uncertainty in these models, as per Dr. Curry.

In addition, the effect of our solar system transiting through a highly magnetized interstellar cloud (which appears to be a factor in changes observed on ALL the planets, as per extensive David Wilcock references on Gaia to scientific articles) is not included in these models -

https://web.archive.org/web/20230604143301/https://science.nasa.gov/science-news/science-at-nasa/2009/23dec_voyager

Global models are exceedingly complex .. and are amazing tools for trying to understand how global climate works, but **are NOT fit for making future predictions, simulating regional climates or extreme weather or climate events.**

The Global Warming Policy Foundation – Climate Models For The Layman

<https://www.thegwvf.org/content/uploads/2017/02/Curry-2017.pdf>

Global Warming Policy Foundation – 2024 Annual GWTF Lecture (with transcript)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iqsZV8i3O1E>

The most important gaps in current understanding of climate change (Climate Uncertainty and Risk by Judith A. Curry, page 8):

- Solar impacts on climate, including indirect effects beyond solar heating
- Multi-decadal and century-scale natural internal variability associated with large-scale ocean circulations
- Mechanisms of vertical heat transfer in the ocean
- Fast thermodynamic feedbacks (water vapor, clouds, atmospheric lapse rate) that determine the climate sensitivity to increases in atmospheric greenhouse gases
- Earth's carbon budget and carbon cycle
- Ice sheet dynamics
- Geothermal heat transfer under the oceans and ice sheets

Disagreement – Causes of Recent Climate Change


Climate is generally stable/Change caused by external inputs

VERSUS

Climate is dynamic/Change primarily occurs naturally

E.G. Chart shows global sea level rise began around 1860, well before fossil carbon emissions became significant

<https://youtu.be/2Bw52FjYi4>



Disagreement: causes of recent climate change

prof. Judith Curry provides extremely cogent explanations for ca...

Climate is generally stable Change caused by external inputs	Climate is dynamic Change primarily occurs naturally
• CO₂ is the primary climate 'control knob' • CO₂ warming is amplified by known factors	• Highly complex dynamical system • No simple cause and effect • Climate shifts naturally in unexpected ways. • Key driver: large-scale ocean circulations and changes in cloudiness

1:19 / 16:27 disagreement

There remains a factor of 3 uncertainty in the sensitivity of the climate to increasing CO₂. For radically reducing CO₂ emissions to make any sense in terms of the climate, the climate sensitivity of CO₂ would need to be on the high end and natural climate variability would need to be discounted. On the other hand, if the climate

sensitivity to increasing CO₂ is on the low end and natural variability is dominant, then decreasing CO₂ emissions won't have much of a noticeable effect.

Further, we are unable to predict SOLAR VARIATIONS, volcanic eruptions, and multidecadal oscillations, so there's a great deal of uncertainty in the model.

There's a key difference between a scientific consensus and a consensus of scientists: Scientific consensus reflects our longstanding knowledge base about a topic about which there is, essentially, no scientific disagreement (e.g., earth orbits the sun).

On the other hand, a consensus of scientists represents a deliberate expression of collective judgment by a group of scientists on a poorly understood topic. This is often at the official request of a government or organization, ergo will have political overtones and pressures. See Dr. Judith Curry testimony to Congressional subcommittee (link on page 1).

NEW ENERGY SOURCES

The Plan fails to account for emergence of energy systems that have nothing to do with fossil fuels, that will moot the basis for the assertion of anthropogenic climate change.


Working models of patents (such as anti-gravity vehicles) already exist, according to U.S. Navy, in confirming Patent Office inquiries re whether to approve the patents submitted by Salvatore Cezar Pais, a Romanian-American scientist.

In addition to the U.S. Navy/Salvatore Pais patents, there are **thousands of suppressed patents, including clean (free, anti-gravity, zero point, etc.) energy production and propulsion systems and medical technology**, many of which President Trump is committed to releasing, as first indicated in his 2017 inaugural address¹⁹.

Although administrative agencies resisted his executive order and slowed the release of such patents to a mere trickle during his first term, there is every reason to believe THIS time the administrative response will be much livelier, far more in the public interest. President Trump had better luck in his first term with the category of "mysteries of space," as referenced in that address, when he oversaw the creation of Space Force.

See quote in footnote and the following screenshot.

¹⁹ "We stand at the birth of a new millennium, ready to **unlock the mysteries of space, to free the earth from the miseries of disease, and to harness the energies, industries, and technologies of tomorrow.**"




Regarding patents for new energy options, propulsion, etc., with some working models already in existence.

Listen to inventor Salvatore Cezar Pais (affiliated with U.S. Navy) on Curt Jaimungal's Theory of Everything.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5E6QyAhTB3o>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PE4C7OI7Frg&t=0s>





NEW STUDIES ON SATURATION OF CO₂ AND ITS PLANETARY BENEFITS

Dramatic evidence has been published in a number of recent science papers that carbon dioxide levels are already 'saturated', meaning little or no further warming is to be expected and rising CO₂ levels are all beneficial.

Half of human emissions are being quickly pushed back into the biosphere, the scientists say, causing substantial, famine-busting plant growth, while the rest is entering a 'saturated' atmosphere and having a minimal effect on global temperatures. One of the papers accepting the human involvement in rising CO₂ is published by the CO₂ Coalition, which [notes](#): "We like CO₂, so should you."

None of this work will be reported in the mainstream since it disrupts a 'settled' climate science narrative tied to the political Net Zero fantasy. But the opinion that humans control the climate thermostat by releasing CO₂, leading to runaway temperatures, belongs to a dark period in science when it was captured to promote political aims.

However, work continues in skeptical climate circles to understand how a number of gases with warming properties behave in a chaotic, non-linear atmosphere. Two recently-published papers found that doubling CO₂ in the atmosphere led to minimal temperature increases. The calculated figures can be considered to be in margin of error territory and on past observational evidence they pose no threat to the climate on Earth. They also destroy the shaky scientific foundation upon which Net Zero rests.

Eight Taiwanese scientists led by Professor Peng-Sheng Wei found that the sensitivity of the climate to a rise in CO₂ atmospheric levels from 100 to 400 parts per million (ppm) was “[negligibly small](#)” at 0.3°C. The paper is complex and examines heat transfers as a function of longitude, latitude and altitude “as well as diffuse radiation determined by absorption bands based on wavelength, temperature and the concentration or pressure of carbon dioxide vapour.”

What the scientists are looking at here is the narrow absorption bands within the infrared (IR) spectrum that allow ‘greenhouse’ gases to trap heat and warm the planet. Many argue that after a certain level the gases ‘saturate’ and lose most of their warming properties. One simple way to understand this is to observe that doubling insulation in a loft will not trap twice as much heat.

The saturation hypothesis would appear to explain how CO₂ has been 10-15 times higher in the past without runaway temperatures, while the anthropogenic warming opinion does little more than provide scientific cover for a dodgy but fashionable extreme eco scare.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change claims a climate sensitivity number based on doubling CO₂ levels of around 3°C. But many climate models ramp up mass public hysteria by using ‘pathways’ with much larger and highly improbable estimates. The latter form the basis of numerous ‘scientists say’ stories faithfully reported by unquestioning mainstream media. **The Taiwanese scientists found that ground temperature warming of 0.3°C was associated with the increase from 100 ppm to 350 ppm and there was no additional warming at all as CO₂ rose further from 350 ppm to 400 ppm. The current level of CO₂ in the atmosphere is 420 ppm.**

Seven Austrian scientists have also recently concentrated on CO₂ and the infrared spectrum, noting that a future doubling of the gas up to 800 ppm “shows no increase in the IR absorption for the 15 u-central peak”. It is concluded that this can lead to [0.5°C warming at most](#). The scientists argue that climate models and their CO₂ influences should be revised. Much more experimental evidence about IR radiation should be collected “before appointing current warming trends and climate change mechanisms monocausal to greenhouse gas theories.”


The recent papers on CO₂ saturation are not the only ones to have been published lately. **Earlier this year a group of Polish scientists led by Dr. Jan Kubicki supplied [three papers](#) arguing that above 400 ppm, “the CO₂ concentration can no longer cause any increase in temperature.” In 2023, three scientists including Atmospheric Professor Yi Huang of McGill University stated that: “in the CO₂ band centre is unchanged by increased CO₂ as the absorption is [already saturated](#).” In Chen et al. 2023, it is reported that CO₂ had a severely reduced warming effect past pre-industrial concentrations. It was also noted that water vapour and cloud influences overlap and thus dominate absorption in the CO₂ IR band. In 2022, the German Physics Professor Dieter Schildnecht set the CO₂ saturation level at [just 300 ppm](#).**

The CO₂ Coalition is an educational foundation that says it provides facts, resources and information about the “vital role” CO₂ plays in the environment. It recently published a [detailed paper](#) that accepted humans had contributed most of the CO₂ that has entered the atmosphere in industrial times. The paper is sub-titled: “How

human emissions are restoring vital atmospheric CO₂.” The coalition has long promoted the role that saturation plays in tempering the effect of a number of gases with warming properties. Attention is often drawn in its work to the part played by water vapour that makes up around 4% of the atmosphere and contributes as much as 80% of the Earth’s vital warming. It saturates over large parts of the IR spectrum, reducing the effect of other gases in their own specific bands. The coalition’s board includes the distinguished Professor William Happer, who has long argued the merits of the saturation hypothesis, and it was recently joined by the 2022 Nobel Physics Laureate Dr. John Clauser.

Levels of CO₂ have been much higher in the past, with evidence of vibrant animal and plant life. Many plants evolved to thrive with higher levels than they feed on today, a period some scientists argue is one of CO₂ denudation. In its recently published paper, the coalition observes that the higher the CO₂ content in the atmosphere, the greater the pressure from physical processes to drive CO₂ into the oceans and vegetation.

This is borne out by considerable evidence, although the recent substantial ‘greening’ of the planet is largely hidden from readers reliant on mainstream media. In fact the new ‘green revolution’ is feeding the world. The authors of a recent science paper, [Charles Taylor and Wolfram Schlenker](#), state: “We consistently find a large fertilisation effect; a 1 ppm increase in CO₂ equates to a 0.4%, 0.6%, 1% yield increase for corn, soybean and wheat respectively.” The heavy greening of the Earth can be seen in a map first published in Donohue/CSIRO 2015 and republished in another [recent paper](#) from the CO₂ Coalition. This examined the nutritive value of plants growing in enhanced CO₂ concentrations.



The map was produced from satellite leaf data and shows that greening between 1982-2012 grew by 20-30% in India, West Australia, the Sahel and the Anatolian highlands. A more recent paper [Chen et al. 2024](#) found that greening had actually accelerated in the last two decades. The increase in CO₂ was found to be the dominant driver of the positive trend of the Leaf Area Index over most of the global land surface.

Article author: Chris Morrison

Curry – On The Credibility Of Climate Research

Nov. 22, 2009

Having been riveted for the last few days by posts in the blogosphere on the HADCRU hack and the increasing attention being given to this by the mainstream media, I would

like to provide an “external but insider” assessment and perspective. My perspective is as a climate researcher that is not involved directly in any of the controversies and issues in the purloined HADCRU emails, but as one that is familiar with this research, the surrounding controversies, and many of the individuals who sent these emails. While the blogosphere has identified many emails that allegedly indicate malfeasance, clarifications especially from Gavin Schmidt have been very helpful in providing explanations and the appropriate context for these emails. However, even if the hacked emails from HADCRU end up to be much ado about nothing in the context of any actual misfeasance that impacts the climate data records, **the damage to the public credibility of climate research is likely to be significant. In my opinion, there are two broader issues raised by these emails that are impeding the public credibility of climate research: lack of transparency in climate data, and “tribalism” in some segments of the climate research community that is impeding peer review and the assessment process.**

1. Transparency. Climate data needs to be publicly available and well documented. This includes metadata that explains how the data were treated and manipulated, what assumptions were made in assembling the data sets, and what data was omitted and why. This would seem to be an obvious and simple requirement, but the need for such transparency has only been voiced recently as the policy relevance of climate data has increased. The HADCRU surface climate dataset and the paleoclimate dataset that has gone into the various “hockeystick” analyses stand out as lacking such transparency. Much of the paleoclimate data and metadata has become available only because of continued public pressure from Steve McIntyre. Datasets that were processed and developed decades ago and that are now regarded as essential elements of the climate data record often contain elements whose raw data or metadata were not preserved (this appears to be the case with HADCRUT). The HADCRU surface climate dataset needs public documentation that details the time period and location of individual station measurements used in the data set, statistical adjustments to the data, how the data were analyzed to produce the climatology, and what measurements were omitted and why. If these data and metadata are unavailable, I would argue that the data set needs to be reprocessed (presumably the original raw data is available from the original sources). Climate data sets should be regularly reprocessed as new data becomes available and analysis methods improve. There are a number of aspects of the surface climate record that need to be understood better. For example, the surface temperature bump ca. 1940 needs to be sorted out, and I am personally lacking confidence in how this period is being treated in the HADCRUT analysis. In summary, given the growing policy relevance of climate data, increasingly higher standards must be applied to the transparency and availability of climate data and metadata. These standards should be clarified, applied and enforced by the relevant national funding agencies and professional societies that publish scientific journals.

2. Climate tribalism. Tribalism is defined here as a strong identity that separates one’s group from members of another group, characterized by strong in-group loyalty and regarding other groups differing from the tribe’s defining characteristics as inferior. In the context of scientific research, tribes differ from groups of colleagues that collaborate and otherwise associate with each other professionally. As a result of the politicization of climate science, climate tribes (consisting of a small number of climate researchers) were

established in response to the politically motivated climate disinformation machine that was associated with e.g. ExxonMobil, CEI, Inhofe/Morano etc. The reaction of the climate tribes to the political assault has been to circle the wagons and point the guns outward in an attempt to discredit misinformation from politicized advocacy groups. The motivation of scientists in the pro AGW tribes appears to be less about politics and more about professional ego and scientific integrity as their research was under assault for nonscientific reasons (I'm sure there are individual exceptions, but this is my overall perception). I became adopted into a "tribe" during Autumn 2005 after publication of the Webster et al. hurricane and global warming paper. I and my colleagues were totally bewildered and overwhelmed by the assault we found ourselves under, and associating with a tribe where others were more experienced and savvy about how to deal with this was a relief and very helpful at the time.

After becoming more knowledgeable about the politics of climate change (both the external politics and the internal politics within the climate field), I became concerned about some of the tribes pointing their guns inward at other climate researchers who question their research or don't pass various loyalty tests. I even started spending time at climateaudit, and my public congratulations to Steve McIntyre when climateaudit won the "best science blog award" was greeted with a rather unpleasant email from one of the tribal members. While the "hurricane wars" fizzled out in less than a year as the scientists recovered from the external assault and got back to business as usual in terms of arguing science with their colleagues, the "hockey wars" have continued apparently unabated. With the publication of the IPCC 4th Assessment report, the Nobel Peace Prize, and energy legislation near the top of the national legislative agenda, the "denialists" were becoming increasingly irrelevant (the Heartland Conference and NIPCC are not exactly household words). Hence it is difficult to understand the continued circling of the wagons by some climate researchers with guns pointed at skeptical researchers by apparently trying to withhold data and other information of relevance to published research, thwart the peer review process, and keep papers out of assessment reports. Scientists are of course human, and short-term emotional responses to attacks and adversity are to be expected, but I am particularly concerned by this apparent systematic and continuing behavior from scientists that hold editorial positions, serve on important boards and committees and participate in the major assessment reports. It is these issues revealed in the HADCRU emails that concern me the most, and it seems difficult to spin many of the emails related to FOIA, peer review, and the assessment process. I sincerely hope that these emails do not in actuality reflect what they appear to, and I encourage Gavin Schmidt et al. to continue explaining the individual emails and the broader issues of concern.

In summary, the problem seems to be that the circling of the wagons strategy developed by small groups of climate researchers in response to the politically motivated attacks against climate science are now being used against other climate researchers and the more technical blogs (e.g. Climateaudit, Lucia, etc). Particularly on a topic of such great public relevance, scientists need to consider carefully skeptical arguments and either rebut them or learn from them. Trying to suppress them or discredit the skeptical researcher or blogger is not an ethical strategy and one that will backfire in the long run. I have some sympathy for Phil Jones' concern of not wanting to lose control of his personal research agenda by having to take the time to respond to all the queries and

requests regarding his dataset, but the receipt of large amounts of public funding pretty much obligates CRU to respond to these requests. The number of such requests would be drastically diminished if all relevant and available data and metadata were made publicly accessible, and if requests from Steve McIntyre were honored (I assume that many spurious requests have been made to support Steve McIntyre's request, and these would all disappear).

The HADCRU hack has substantially increased the relevance of Climateaudit, WUWT, etc. The quickest way for HADCRU et al. to put Climateaudit and the rest of this tribe out of business is make all climate data and metadata public and make every effort to improve the datasets based on all feedback that you receive. Do this and they will quickly

run out of steam and become irrelevant . Gavin Schmidt's current efforts at realclimate are a good step in the right direction of increasing transparency. But the broader issue is the need to increase the public credibility of climate science. This requires publicly available data and metadata, a rigorous peer review process, and responding to arguments raised by skeptics. The integrity of individual scientists that are in positions of responsibility (e.g. administrators at major research institutions, editorial boards, major committees, and assessments) is particularly important for the public credibility of climate science. The need for public credibility and transparency has dramatically increased in recent years as the policy relevance of climate research has increased. The climate research enterprise has not yet adapted to this need, and our institutions need to strategize to respond to this need.

<https://climateaudit.org/2009/11/22/curry-on-the-credibility-of-climate-research/>

XX. Editor of major newspaper says he planted stories for CIA

<https://www.digitaljournal.com/world/editor-of-major-german-newspaper-says-he-planted-stories-for-cia/article/424470>

By Ralph Lopez
Published
January 26, 2015

Saying he believes a medical condition gives him only a few years to live, and that he is filled with remorse, **Dr. Udo Ulfkotte**, the editor of Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, one of Germany's largest newspapers, said in an interview that he allegedly **accepted news stories written and given to him by the CIA and published them under his own name. Ulfkotte said he gathered the aim of much of the deception was to drive nations toward war.**

Dr. Ulfkotte says **the corruption of journalists and major news outlets by the CIA is routine, accepted, and widespread in the western media**, and that journalists who do not comply either cannot get jobs at any news organization, or find their careers cut short.

Dr. Ulfkotte is the author of a book currently available only in German, "[Bought Journalists](#)" (Kopp 2014. – *now available in the U.S. as Presstitutes Embedded In The*

Pay Of The CIA.) Aged 55, he was also once an advisor to the government of German Chancellor Helmut Kohl.

Among the stories Ulfkotte says he was ordered to plant in his newspaper over the years was a story that Libyan President Muammar Gaddafi was building poison gas factories in 2011. Ulfkotte also claims he was an eyewitness to Saddam Hussein's use of poison gas against Iranians in the war between Iran and Iraq, but that the editors he worked for at the time were not interested, because Iraq was a US ally at the time.

It should be noted his allegations have not been corroborated by a third party.

Ulfkotte says he is better positioned to come forward than many journalists because he does not have children who could be threatened. Ulfkotte told the [Russian newspaper Russian Insider \(RI\)](#):

“When I told the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (Ulfkotte's newspaper) that I would publish the book, their lawyers sent me a letter threatening with all legal consequences if I would publish any names or secrets – but I don't mind. You see, I don't have children to take care of. **And you must know I was severely injured during the gas attack I witnessed in Iran in 1988. I'm the sole German survivor from a German poison gas attack. I'm still suffering from this. I've had three heart attacks. I don't expect to live for more than a few years.**”

Ulfkotte says that **remorse of having “lied” to mass audiences over the years drove him to come forward.** He told RI that he was:

“taught to lie, to betray and not to tell the truth to the public.”

Ulfkotte says:

“I'm ashamed I was part of it. Unfortunately I cannot reverse this.”

Among the admissions that Ulfkotte makes in the interview are putting his own name to articles completely written by intelligence agencies. He said:

“I ended up publishing articles under my own name written by agents of the CIA and other intelligence services, especially the German secret service.”

Ulfkotte detailed the **pattern of cajolery and outright bribery used by the CIA and other US-allied intelligence agencies, for the purpose of advancing political agendas.** Ulfkotte said:

“once you're connected, you make friends with selected Americans. You think they are your friends and you start cooperating. They work on your ego, make you feel like you're important. And one day one of them will ask you ‘Will you do me this favor’...”

Ulfkotte noted that a journalists on international press trips paid for by organizations close to the government are unlikely to submit a storyline not favorable to the sponsor.

Dr. Udo Ulfkotte
hungarytoday.hu

Of the gassing of Iranians he had witnessed in the Eighties, Ulfkotte said:

“they asked me to hand over the photos that I had made to the German association of chemical companies in Frankfurt, Verband der Chemischen Industrie. **This poison gas that had killed so many Iranians was made in Germany.**”

In an interview with [Russia Today](#), Ulfkotte said that it was “not right” what he had done, and that **his fear was that politicians were actively driving the world toward war:**

“it is **not right what I have done in the past, to manipulate people, to make propaganda against Russia**, and it is not right what my colleagues do, and have done in the past, **because they are bribed to betray the people not only in Germany, all over Europe. ... I am very fearful of a new war in Europe**, and I don’t like to have this situation again, because war is never coming from itself, there is always people who push for war, and this is not only politicians, it is journalists too. ... We have betrayed our readers, just to push for war. ... I don’t want this anymore, **I’m fed up with this propaganda. We live in a banana republic, and not in a democratic country where we have press freedom...**”

In his book [“The CIA and the Media,”](#) Watergate reporter Carl Bernstein quotes **William B. Bader, former CIA intelligence officer, in his testimony before the Senate Intelligence Committee. Baeder said:**

“There is quite an incredible spread of relationships. You don’t need to manipulate Time magazine, for example, because there are [Central Intelligence] Agency people at the management level.”

Bernstein writes:

“The Agency’s relationship with the Times was by far its most valuable among newspapers, according to CIA officials. From 1950 to 1966, about ten CIA employees were provided Times cover under arrangements approved by the newspaper’s late publisher, Arthur Hays Sulzberger. The cover arrangements were part of a general Times policy—set by Sulzberger—to provide assistance to the CIA whenever possible.”

Ulfkotte was on the staff of the Konrad Adenauer Foundation from 1999 to 2003, according to his [Wikipedia entry](#). He won the [civic prize from the Annette Barthelet Foundation](#) in 2003.

XXI. Dr. David Martin Exposes the Names and Faces of the People Who Are Killing Humanity; Red Pill Expo, November 19, 2021

<https://www.jamesjpn.net/conspiracy/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces-behind-the-criminal-coronavirus-conspiracy/>
https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2021/11/20/dr-david-martin-who-they-are-the-names-and-faces

If you thought the last Dr David Martin talk was exciting, this one, which he gave at the Red Pill Expo is positively electrifying.

He says that this is “final” speech but that we will be hearing from him, only it will be a new version: **No more Mr Nice Guy. We get to see a bit of that in this presentation. He is angry.**

David has put the names and faces of all of the major players in the theater of the COVID War onto one slide, which he says is important, because “We energize the forces of darkness when we anonymize them and when we see their faces on a screen, we realize that they’re merely individuals that have lost the social contract with humanity.”

The purpose of this talk is to de-mystify the “they” of the COVID War.

THE COVID PRIVATEERS

“How many of you are familiar with the company, Anser? I love having this moment. Do you know they are the single largest contractor in the entire COVID terrorism campaign?...

“The companies on the right, that you think are the ones running this show [Pfizer, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson, Merck Ridgeback, Gilead Sciences], are in fact a front [for the companies on the left, Anser, Fors-Marsh, Palantir, Publicis Sapien].

“And you know what a front organization is? They’re the ones that are supposed to take the flack and take the heat. The ones on the left are the ones that actually got the money. Operation Warp Speed went to Anser.

“You didn’t know that, because you were told by the media that it went to Pfizer, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson and all that kind of stuff. That’s not true. The prime contract of Operation Warp Speed went through Anser, a company none of you had heard of!

“And you didn’t hear about them because the contract was signed by ATI, a company based in South Carolina, a company whose history has been government defense contracts for the purposes of propaganda!

I wish I made that up. The prime contractor selected to run Operation Warp Speed was a propaganda expert for the US Department of Defense...

“Anser is the way for the Federal Government never to be liable for the criminal conspiracy they know they ran. They are now one of the top ten Federal Contractors in history, below Lockheed-Martin, Raytheon and all those guys.

“Those names you know. You don’t know Anser, the single company that, by COVID rose – the highest-ranked rise in the history of federal contracting; the highest single-year rise, ever was Anser – and none of you know who they are!...

“Anser Corporation, they’re the ones running Operation Warp Speed and they’ve been set up to shield these manufacturers from ever taking the financial liability for their willful misconduct.

And one day, everybody’s gonna go, ‘Let’s sue Pfizer! Let’s go sue everybody else! And the only problem is when we go to sue them, they say, ‘Hey! It wasn’t us!’ And they’re right and all of us were asleep. And I’m talking to a woke crowd, here and all of you were asleep.

“Don’t tell me you’re awake if you’re still sleeping, because if you didn’t know who Anser is, you’re still asleep!

IT GETS WORSE...

“It gets worse. Fors-Marsh, anybody know Fors-Marsh?... They’re the branding agency that branded COVID. They’re the ones who make sure we find hospitals that are overrun with people. They’re the ones who find kids that died of COVID right before the FDA needs to vote on giving kids injections. They’re the ones that go around the world, making sure every message is always the same: ‘We will not return to normal until we have a vaccine.’

Thank you, Justin Trudeau! Where’d you get the script? From Fors-Marsh! And how many of you knew that? None of ya!

“How about Palantir? Hey, that’s a weird one, isn’t it? Peter Thiel, who has successfully run a company that has lost \$200 million plus, every year for about seven or eight years, goes public in the middle of COVID. Isn’t timing interesting? Isn’t it fascinating that a company that’s done nothing but lose billions of dollars goes public in the middle of the worst economic cycle we’ve had? Isn’t that funny? And did you actually go back and read their public offering? Hah! Funny! I know you didn’t, because there really wasn’t one, that’s why you didn’t read it!

“Because they went public in this very bizarre, backdoor way of actually selling founder’s stock into the market, so we got personally-enriched – personally-enriched – using the public market as the laundering facility. Isn’t that brilliant?

“But let’s look at what they did for our COVID scandal. They actually came up with a thing called **Gotham Data Tracking**...you know what that does? That’s making sure that every time you turn your phone on, when you get off the plane, when you cross the state line, it gives you a little tag that goes, ‘Hey, do you want a COVID alert in your neighborhood?’ You know why? Because **you are being monitored. Your phone is being monitored. Your transactions are being monitored, your credit cards are being monitored, your health behavior’s being monitored, your vaccine status is being monitored and it’s all done under the contract run by Gotham Data Sciences, the company that went public during COVID – and none of you knew about this.**

“And you’ve been to Red Pills! And you still haven’t taken the Red Pill! And by the way, I’m not even to the good slide, yet. So be depressed! ‘Cause it’s getting worse!

“Publicis Sapient, the Health and Human Services’ IT contract. Have you ever wondered how the data never seems to add up? Somebody always has allegedly the same reportable data?

“Publicis Sapient has the Health and Human Services’ IT contract to consolidate all of the data, so guess what happens? Everybody has the same number of COVID cases to report, when somebody from the media calls and says, ‘Hey, how many cases do we have?’ ‘Oh, 40,000’. ‘Oh, round number, 40,000’...

“When, in the course of human history has a round number involving the word ‘thousand’ ever happened? There’s never been a 10,000 heart day, there’s never been a round number day – until you actually control the Department of Health and Human Services’ entire IT platform and not one of you knows that that’s a single contract, run by Publicis Sapient...

“You’ve been focused on the right hand side the whole time and the left hand side is doing the dirty work.

THE COVID PIRATES

“Now, why do I call them ‘privateers’? How many are familiar with the difference between a pirate and a privateer?

Pirates go rape and marauding and stealing and...a privateer is the same thing, that has permission to do it by a government that’s gone corrupt.

That’s what these are (points to the slide of COVID contractors). They’re the privateers. But hey, since we have privateers, it feels only appropriate that if we have a world of privateers, we should also...have a world of pirates. And here’s our pirates.”

New slide shows the universities who’ve been involved in the COVID War: UNC Chapel Hill, Vanderbilt, Emory, Johns Hopkins, University of California System, MIT, NYU Langone, DZIF, Imperial College, IHME, Erasmus Medical Center.

“Pirates. UNC Chapel Hill, I talked about that. That’s the guy who actually made the weapon, Ralph Baric. Since 1999, \$100 million to weaponize the particles of coronavirus. Over \$100 million. You’ve heard about \$3.7 million going to Wuhan – ohhhh – 3.7 million, that feels like a bad number.

“And how ’bout \$28 billion of that coming from DARPA for their bioweapons initiative?

Anybody heard of \$28 billion that went through Anthony Fauci at NIAID?

Anyone hear about the \$20 billion that went directly to UNC Chapel Hill, that weaponized spike protein?

“You haven’t heard about that, you haven’t heard about that because we’ve been talking about \$3.7 million going to Wuhan. Stop being distracted by the cover story!...Because the distraction is where the interesting thing is.

“UNC Chapel Hill, Vanderbilt, Emory, Johns Hopkins and University of California: those are the pirates that have made the most money on federally-granted, disclosed money going into the university sector. I’m calling them ‘pirates’ for a very good reason. They justify all of this in the name of science and education...

“I don’t even care whether these people pretend to hide behind the ‘It’s an academic research project’ to try and get out of the bioweapons definition.

The bioweapons definition says that if you enable a foreign entity to build something known to harm humanity, you have already created a felony, you are going to jail for the rest of your life and you are liable for \$100 million penalty.

“So guess what? Welcome to Hell, all five of these universities! Because they’re all felons! All of ’em!

“And how ’bout the right hand side? MIT, New York University Langone – hey, by the way, Langone? Where did that name come from? Ken Langone? Anybody? Oh! I’m not supposed to say that name out loud, Ken Langone, except I just did, didn’t I? Ken Langone...

“They’re actually putting their name on the letterhead and you don’t know who to look for! You’re still being told, ‘Oh, it’s the Rothschilds and it’s the Rockefellers!

“No, it’s not! It’s the guy who put his name on the facility! How many of you know who Ken Langone is? Guess what? Look it up! Because that’s a pretty big thing that you should be aware of and unfortunately, you’re not aware of it, for a very good reason because he hid it in plain site, on the name of the medical center. New York University Langone, like that’s a really hard thing to find.

“DZIF Charité...you’ve heard of Dr Christian Drosten, the Crazy-in-Chief in Germany who’s kind of Anthony Fauci and Ralph Baric’s evil stepchild, Imperial College, the criminal conspirators who came up with the fear porn of how many people were going to die, IHME, the University of Washington program, but the one I want to bring your attention to is the one at the bottom, Erasmus Medical Center.

“Bart Haagmans...**In 2002, Bart Haagmans was an interesting dude, because he actually figured out a way to build a bunch of patents around the vaccines for the coronavirus.** Mysteiously, the European Union in 2012 started giving him massive, massive grants to run a thing called Zapi.

“And Zapi was the zoonotic disease transmission laboratory for the European Union. And Bart seemed to always get the money. Now, this is fascinating because Bart was also the one who decided to patent MERS. The Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome, remember that one? The one that never really happened but kinda happened in 2012-13? Bart was the one that patented MERS...

“Erasmus Medical Center, actually, in their public statement, when they were confronted with the lie, where they said that they hadn’t filed a patent on the actual genome – kinda like the CDC said in 2007 – when confronted with the lie, they said in public – and you can’t make this sh!t up, People, it’s so funny! They said, ‘Well, what we said was not entirely false in all jurisdictions in the world.’

THE COVID ORCHESTRA

“But this, Ladies and Gentlemen is the slide you wanted to see. This is actually the names and faces of the people who are, in fact killing humanity. And that’s ALL of them. Now, here’s the bad news: There’s a lot of people on that slide, aren’t there? Here’s the better news...I’ll actually give you all this slide, because why not? Lets make sure that we don’t ever forget the names and the faces of the people who decided to kill us...”

Here is a list of all the people in that slide:

- Mukesh D. Ambani, Chairman, Reliance Industries
- **Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees WEF**
- Mark Carney, UN Special Envoy for Climate Action
- Chrystia Freeland, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance, Canada
- Kristalina Georgieva, Managing Director of the IMF
- Queen Rania of Jordan
- David M. Rubenstein, Co-Founder and Executive Chairman, Carlyle Group
- **Klaus Schwab, Founder and Executive Chairman, WEF**
- **Marc Benioff, Chair and Chief Executive Officer, Salesforce, WEF Trustee, WEF Young Global Leader**
- Thomas Buberl, CEO, AXA
- Laurence Fink, Chairman & CEO, BlackRock
- Orit Gadiesh, Chairman, Bain & Company
- Fabiola Gianotti, Director General, CERN

- L. Rafael Reif, President of MIT
- Mark Schneider, CEO, Nestlé
- Tharman Shanmugaratnam, Defense Minister, Singapore
- Robert Mercer, Renaissance Fund
- Larry Page, Google
- Al Gore, Environmentalist
- Angel Gurría, Secretary General OECD
- Paula Ingabire, Minister of Information Communication Technology, Rwanda
- Yo-Yo Ma, Cellist
- **Luis Alberto Moreno, WEF Young Global Leader**
- Jim Hagemann Snabe, Chairman of Siemens and of Maersk
- Feike Sijbesma, Philco
- Zhu Min, Deputy Managing Director, IMF
- Mark Zuckerberg, Facebook/Meta
- Bill Gates, Microsoft
- Herman Gref, CEO, Sberbank
- André Hoffmann, Vice-Chairman Hoffman-La Roche
- Christine Lagarde, President, European Central Bank
- Peter Maurer, President, Red Cross
- Patrice Motsepe, Chairman, African Rainbow Minerals
- Julie Sweet, CEO, Accenture
- Heizo Takenaka, Economist
- Dustin Moskovitz, Open Philanthropy

David continues, “I want you to have some looks on there. Some are kind of interesting, like cellist Yo-Yo Ma. Did you hear me say that? Cellist, Yo-Yo Ma.

“How about the head of the Wellcome Trust? Not surprising, there, right? How about Princess Rania of Jordan? Ooh, that’s weird.

“How about the woman who happens to be sitting at the helm of the leadership of the government of Canada but conveniently out of sight but running 100% of the money for the government of Canada.

“How about all of these interesting people like, Jim Hagemann Snabe, how about Zhu Min, Chairman of the National Institute of Financial Research in China?

“And what makes these individuals interesting is that when you look at them, you find out something very important. Almost none of them have sought public visibility. Isn’t that funny?

Which makes me pick on one of them. The guy I have here, at the bottom corner. And I have to give him credit. He has done so much to stay out of sight.

“I’ve got 12 minutes left. I’ve got to spend a couple of minutes on the guy who’s paid

every search engine optimization to keep his name out of search engines and I'm doing it so that it costs him sh!tloads to keep all of you silent.

DUSTIN MOSKOVITZ: EDITING THE HUMAN GENOME

“So let's get really clear on Dustin Moskovitz. Shall we, **Dustin Moskovitz?**... You little piece of sh!t! Let's talk about him for a minute. The Co-Founder of Facebook that you've never heard of... also the guy who founded Open Philanthropy, **who was the actual check-writer for Event 201. You were told that it was the World Economic Forum. You were told it was the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. You were told it was Johns Hopkins University. But the actual check that cleared for the program was signed by none other than Dustin Moskovitz...**

“Now, I'm picking on him for a good reason. He's a felon, he's a criminal. He is one of the most sociopathic, psychopathic, crazy people walking the planet and he's paid to keep his name in private. So guess what? Don't let him!

The reason why I want to give you this slide is because I want every one of them named. I want them all publicly named.

“Because it's time that we start going through the reality of saying, ‘We the People are not going to let mass-murderers get away anonymously mass-murdering people. We are not going to allow that to happen! Not on our watch! And that's why you have this slide...

“But let's go back to Dustin. Isn't it interesting that **Dustin** conveniently decided to shroud this entire public health crisis in a self-serving, self-interested investment objective? **He owns Sherlock biosciences. Sherlock Biosciences happens to be the company that owns the CRISPR technology that is the joint venture between the United States and China on gene editing the human genome...**

“Dustin Moskovitz knew that if he actually tried to take this technology into the public, nobody would be willing to do it, particularly, given the fact that **it's a JV [joint venture] between him and the government of China.** That's the reason why we'd have a problem with it. Because it feels like eugenics. You know why it feels like eugenics? Because it is eugenics, that's why it feels like it! That's why it feels like Cold Spring Harbor Labs...

“The only way we could get gene editing technology approved was with an **Emergency Use Authorization. Not surprisingly, once everybody was distracted on vaccines and everybody was distracted on RTPCR and everybody was distracted on everything else, Sherlock Biosciences slipped their Emergency Use Authorization application into the FDA – and got it.**

In other words, using the cover of COVID, which all of us are pretending to talk about, the editing of the human genome was approved and not one of us said a thing.

“Now, if you were going to edit the human genome, do you think you’d need a good cover story to actually hide what you’re really doing? You’d probably find the guy who has the biggest financial interest in doing it and make sure, that while everyone is looking over at coronavirus and COVID and trying to figure out these Lab Leak Hypothesis – there’s no Lab Leak Hypothesis, because there’s no lab leak!

“So stop taking about lab leaks! there is no lab leak. **This is the willful weaponization of a spike protein, that’s what it is. It’s an act of war, it’s not a leak.** We need to start calling it what it is. It’s an act of war. It’s an act of war against humanity.

“We stop pretending to take their bait and follow their stupid rabbit trails and follow stupid rabbit trails into stupid rabbit holes and wonder why there’s a bunch of pee and piss and poo that smells like rabbit warrens. Well, it smells like it because that’s what you find at the end of a rabbit trail.

“We need to be focused on the point and people like Dustin Moskovitz and this slide is going to be shared with everyone in this room, because it is incumbent on you. Now you know. Now you must act. Because when we talk about the ‘they’, we empower the ‘they’.

“But when we talk about the names of people, we humanize the sociopathic behavior. We humanize the fact that there are individuals and organizations that are willfully murdering the humanity that we know and love and we cannot let that happen on our watch. It is incumbent on all us to get those words out.”

THE COVID CONSPIRING STATES

The next slide shows the countries of the US, Canada, UK, Germany, South Africa, China and Australia and the logos of the following corporations: BlackRock, AXA, HSBC, International Monetary Fund, United Healthcare, Insurance Corporation of British Columbia.

“The most important part of this slide is what I put in the Atlantic Ocean, because the real nation-state isn’t a nation-state. Listen!...The Treaty of Westphalia, the stupid idea of drawing lines on maps and calling them countries has long been dead.

“The real control is that,” (points to the slide), “what I call the ‘Atlantic Coalition of Doom.’ The **Atlantic Coalition of Doom: BlackRock, AXA, the International Monetary Fund, HSBC, ICBC and you guessed it, United Healthcare...**

“United Healthcare is a corrupt organization. It is a corrupt organization. It must be called what it is. It is actually the most manipulative corporate structures known to humanity, because what it does is **it matches life insurance and insurance products with the delivery of healthcare**, so they can so what? Manage your health? Oh-ho-ho no! Bet against the timing of your death.

“That’s the internal arbitrage. It is the wet dream of the Lloyd’s of London syndicate.

They would have loved to have had this opportunity. But guess what? They didn't. United Healthcare did. **They put two things together, which means they get to manage your life so they can time your death, so they can profit on both."**

XXII. TRANSCRIPT Of Dr David E. Martin's Speech At The European Union Parliament, Covid Summit, MAY 2023

<https://rumble.com/v2ncp8w-dr-david-e.-martin-phd-covid-summit-european-union-parliament-may-2023.html>

It is a, it is a particularly interesting location for me to be sitting today, given that over a decade ago I sat in this very chair right here in the European Union Parliament.

And at that time I warned the world of what was coming, during that conversation that was hosted at the time by the Green and EFA and a number of the other parties of the European Unions, of various representations.

We were having a conversation on whether Europe should adopt the United States policy of allowing for the patents on biologically derived materials.

And at the time I urged this body and I urged people around the world that the weaponization of nature against humanity had dire consequences.

Tragically, I sit here today, with that unfortunate line that I don't like to say, which is "I told you so."

But the fact of the matter is, we're here not for a reprisal on past decisions. We're here to actually, once again, come to the face of the human condition and ask the question, who do we want to be?

What do we want humanity to look like?

And rather than seeing this as an exercise in futility, which is very easy from time to time when you're in the position I'm in, I actually see this not as an exercise in futility.

I see this as one of the greatest opportunities that faces us because we now have a public conversation, which is now front and center in people's minds.

When this was an esoteric conversation about biological patents, nobody cared.

But when that conversation came home, then it became something people can care about.

So I'm actually quite grateful for this opportunity.

I thank the members of Parliament for hosting this.

I thank all of the translators who I apologize in advance.

I will use terminology that is probably very difficult to translate, so my apologies, and I'd also like to acknowledge the fact that many of you are aware of my involvement with this in large part due to the amazing work of my wonderful wife, Kim Martin, who encouraged me at the very early days of this pandemic to get on front of the camera and talk about all the information that I had been sharing among very small groups around the world.

And it was in fact her encouragement that put me in a place where many of you have heard what I have to say.

Ironically, the world that I came from that used to be very popular, my CNBC and Bloomberg presentations, which were televised on mainstream media around the world, was an audience that I lost.

I can confidently say Covid diminished my fame, but I can also confidently say that I'd rather stand among the people with whom I'm standing today than any of the

folks that were part of that previous world.

So, this is a much better place to be.

My role today is to set the stage for this conversation in a historical context, because **this did not come in the last three years.**

This did not come in the last five or six years.

This actually is an ongoing question that probably began here in Europe in the early stages of the mid 19 hundreds, but certainly by 1913, 1914, this conversation started right here in Central Europe.

The pandemic that we alleged to have happen in the last few years also did not happen overnight.

In fact, the very specific pandemic using coronavirus began in a very different time.

Most of you don't know that Coronavirus as a model of a pathogen was isolated in 1965.

Coronavirus was identified in 1965 as one of the first infectious, replicatable viral models that could be used to modify a series of other experiences of human condition.

It was isolated once upon a time associated with the common cold.

But what's particularly interesting about its isolation in 1965 was that it was immediately identified as a pathogen that could be used and modified for a whole host of reasons.

And you heard me correctly, that was 1965.

And by the way, these slides are public domain.

You're welcome to look at every single reference.

Every comment that I made is based on published material.

So do make sure that you look at those references.

But in 1966, the very first COV Coronavirus model was used as a transatlantic biological experiment in human manipulation, and you heard the date 1966.

I hope you're getting the point of what I'm saying.

This is not an overnight thing.

This is actually something that's been long in the making.

A year before I was born, we had the first Trans-Atlantic coronavirus data sharing experiment between the United States and the United Kingdom.

And in 1967, the year I was born, we did the first human trials on inoculating people with modified coronavirus.

Isn't that amazing?

56 years ago, the overnight success of a pathogen that's been 56 years in engineering, and I want that to chill with all of you.

Where were we when we actually allowed in violation of biological and chemical weapons treaties?

Where were we as a human civilization when we thought it was an acceptable thing to do to take a pathogen for the United States and infect the world with it?

Where was that conversation and what should have been that conversation in 1967?

That conversation wasn't had. Ironically, **the common cold was turned into a chimera in the 1970s, and in 1975, 1976 and 1977, we started figuring out how to modify coronavirus by putting it into different animals.**

Pigs and dogs.

And not surprisingly, by the time we got to 1990, we found out that coronavirus as a infectious agent was an industrial problem for two primary industries, the industries of dogs and pigs.

Dog breeders and pigs found that Coronavirus created gastrointestinal problems, and that became the basis for Pfizer's first spike protein vaccine.

Patent filed. Are you ready for this In 1990?

Did you hear what I just said?

1990.

Operation Warpspeed.

I'm sorry.

Where's the warp and the speed?

Pfizer 1990.

The very first spike protein vaccine for Coronavirus.

Isn't that fascinating?

Isn't it fascinating that we were, we were told that, well, the spike protein is a new thing.

We just found out that that's the problem.

No.

As a matter of fact, we didn't just find out it was not just now.

Now the problem, we found that out in 1990 and filed the first patents on vaccines in 1990 for the spike protein of Coronavirus.

And who would've thought Pfizer?

Clearly the innocent organization that does nothing but promote human health.

Clearly, Pfizer, the organization that has not bought the votes in this chamber, in every chamber of every government around the world, not that Pfizer, certainly they wouldn't have had anything to do with this, but oh yes, they did.

And in 1990 they found out that there was a problem with vaccines.

They didn't work.

You know why they didn't work?

It turns out that Coronavirus is a very malleable model.

It transforms and it changes, and it mutates over time.

As a matter of fact, every publication on vaccines for Coronavirus from 1990 until 2018, every single publication concluded that Coronavirus escapes the vaccine impulse because it modifies and mutates too quickly for vaccines to be effective.

And since 1990 to 2018, that is the published science ladies and gentlemen, that's following the science, following the science is their own indictment of **their own programs that said, it doesn't work.**

And there are thousands of publications to that effect, not a few hundred. And not paid for by pharmaceutical companies.

These are publications that are **independent scientific research that shows unequivocally including efforts of the chimera modifications made by Ralph Bair in the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill.**

All of them show vaccines do not work on coronavirus.

That's the science, and that science has never been disputed.

But then we had an interesting development in 2002, and this date is most important because **in 2002, the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill patented, and I quote, an infectious replication defective clone of coronavirus.**

Listen to those words ...

Infectious replication, defective.

What does that phrase actually mean?

For those of you not familiar with language, let me unpack it for you.

Infectious replication.

Defective means a weapon.

It means something meant to target an individual but not have collateral damage to other individuals.

That's what infectious replication defective means.

And that patent was filed in 2002 on work funded by NIAID's Anthony Fauci from 1999 to 2002, and that work patented at the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill mysteriously preceded SARS 1.0 by a year.

"Dave, are you suggesting that SARS 1.0 wasn't from a wet market in Wuhan?"

"Are you suggesting it might have come from a laboratory in the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill?"

No, I'm not suggesting it.

I'm telling you that's the facts we engineered SARS.

SARS is not a naturally occurring phenomenon.

The naturally occurring phenomenon is called the common cold.

It's called influenza-like illness.

It's called gastroenteritis.

That's the naturally occurring coronavirus.

SARS is the research developed by humans weaponizing a life system model to actually attack human beings, and they patented it in 2002.

And in 2003, giant surprise, the CDC filed the patent on Coronavirus isolated from humans in violation once again of biological and chemical weapons, treaties and laws that we have in the United States, and I'm very, very precise on this.

United States likes to talk about its rights and everything else, and the rule of law and all the nonsense that we like to talk about, but we don't ratify treaties about, I don't know, defending humans.

We conspicuously avoid that we actually have a great track record of advocating for human rights and then denying them when it comes to actually being part of the international community, which is a slightly problematic thing.

But let's get something very clear.

When the CDC, in April of 2003 filed the patent on SARS Coronavirus isolated from humans, what did they do?

They downloaded a sequence from China, and filed a patent on it in the United States.

Any of you familiar with biological and chemical weapons treaties knows that's a violation.

That's a crime.

That's not an innocent, oops; that's a crime.

And the United States Patent Office went as far as to reject that patent application on two occasions until the CDC decided to bribe the patent office to override the patent examiner to ultimately issue the patent in 2007 on SARS Coronavirus.

But let's not let that get away from us, because it turns out that the RT PCR, which was

the test that we allegedly were going to use to identify the risks associated with coronavirus, was actually identified as a bioterrorism threat by me in the European Union sponsored events in 2002 and 2003, 20 years ago that happened here in Brussels and across Europe.

In 2005, this particular pathogen was specifically labeled as a bioterrorism and bioweapon platform technology, described as such.

That's not my terminology that I'm applying to it.

It was actually described as a bioweapons platform technology in 2005.

And from 2005 onwards, it was actually a bio warfare enabling agent.

It's official classification from 2005 forward.

I don't know if that sounds like public health to you, does it?

Biological warfare enabling technology that feels like not public health, that feels like not medicine, that feels like a weapon, designed to take out humanity.

That's what it feels like, and it feels like that because that's exactly what it is.

We have been lured into believing that EcoHealth Alliance and DARPA and all of these organizations are what we should be pointing to.

But we've been specifically requested to ignore the facts that over \$10 billion have been funneled through black operations, through the check of Anthony Fauci and a side-by-side ledger where NIAD has a balance sheet, and next to it is a biodefense balance sheet.

Equivalent dollar for dollar matching that no one in the media talks about, and it's been going on since 2005.

Our gain of function moratorium.

The moratorium that was supposed to freeze any efforts to do gain of function research. Conveniently, in the fall of 2014, the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill received a letter from NIAD saying that while the gain of function moratorium on coronavirus in vivo should be suspended, because their grants had already been funded, they received an exemption.

Did you hear what I just said?

A biological weapons lab facility at the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill received an exemption from the gain of function moratorium so that by 2016 we could publish the journal article that said SARS Coronavirus is poised for human emergence in 2016 and what, you might ask Dave, was the coronavirus poised for human emergence?

It was WIV ONE.

Wuhan Institute of Virology Virus One.

Poised for human emergence in 2016 at the proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, such that by the time we get to **2017 and 2018, the following phrase entered into common parlance among the community, there is going to be an accidental or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen.**

The operative word, obviously in that phrase, the word release, does that sound like leak?

Does that sound like a bat and a Pangolin went into a bar in the Wuhan market and hung out and had sex?

And, and lo and behold, we got SARS Cov-2.
No, accidental or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen was the terminology used.

And four times in April of 2019, seven months before the allegation of patient number one, four patent applications of Moderna were modified to include the term accidental or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen as the justification for making a vaccine for a thing that did not exist.

If you have not done so, please make sure that you make reference in every investigation to the premeditation nature of this, because it was in September of 2019 that the world was **informed**.

That we were going to have an accident or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen so that by September, 2020 there would be a worldwide acceptance of a universal vaccine template.

That's their words right in front of you on the screen.

The intent was to get the world to accept a universal vaccine template, and the intent was to use coronavirus to get there.

Let's, let's read this because we have to read this into the record everywhere I go.

“Until an infectious disease crisis is very real present and at the emergency threshold that is often largely ignored to sustain the funding base beyond the crisis.”

He said, “we need to increase the public understanding for the need for medical countermeasures, such as a pan influenza or pan coronavirus vaccine.”

“A key driver is the media and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of the process.”

Sounds like public health.

Sounds like the best of humanity.

No.

Ladies and gentlemen, this was **premeditated domestic terrorism stated at the proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in 2015**, published in front of them. This is an act of biological and chemical warfare perpetrated on the human race, and it was admitted to in writing that this was a financial heist and a financial fraud.

“Investors will follow if they see profit at the end of the process.”

Let me conclude by making five very brief recommendations.

The last slide, nature was hijacked.

This whole story started in 1965 when we decided to hijack a natural model and decide to start manipulating it.

Science was hijacked when the only questions that could be asked were questions authorized under the patent protection of the CDC, the FDA, the NIH, and their equivalent organizations around the world.

We didn't have independent science.

We had hijacked science, and unfortunately there was no moral oversight in violation of

all of the codes that we stand for.

There was no independent, financially disinterested independent review board ever empanelled around coronavirus.

Not once, not once, not since 1965.

We do not have a single independent IRB ever empanelled, around Coronavirus.

So, morality was suspended for medical countermeasures, and ultimately humanity was lost because we decided to allow it to happen.

Our job today is to say, no more gain of function research period.

No more weaponization of nature period.

And most importantly, no more corporate patronage of science for their own self-interest unless they assume 100% product liability for every injury and every death that they maintain.

Thank you very much.

Dr David E. Martin

Speaker - Covid Summit – European Union Parliament – May 2023

XXIII. Breaking The WEF Matrix: How To Resist The Great Reset

<https://yfsmagazine.com/2023/07/30/wef-resist-the-great-reset>

Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum, in lock-step with the UN, is marching the world toward an economic and societal "great reset." Did you vote for this?

By Staff Writers

Have you heard of “The Great Reset,” powered by a select group of Davos “global elite?” They convene at annual meetings in the Swiss alpine ski resort of Davos, to legitimize and normalize their influence on democratic nations. Their objective is simple: total control of humanity using the private sector, science, and technology while reshaping democracies to form a global government.

If it sounds conspiratorial and Orwellian, that’s because it is – and it’s happening right in front of your eyes in plain sight.

Global foreign policy brought to you by the World Economic Forum

Born out of a CIA-funded Harvard program headed by Henry Kissinger and driven to fruition by economist John Kenneth Galbraith and nuclear strategist Herman Kahn – in 1971 German engineer Klaus Schwab, with the help of well-connected American policymakers, would create what would become the most influential European public policy institute, the European Management Forum (EMF), continuing, from 1987 onwards, as the World Economic Forum (WEF).

In 1971, the first meeting of the European Management Forum – then called the European Management Symposium – convened in Davos, Switzerland. Around 450 participants from 31 countries would take part in Schwab’s first European Management

Symposium, mostly made up of managers from various European companies, politicians, US academics and media representatives.

Several years later, Henry Kissinger, in an opening address at the 1980 World Economic Forum (WEF) conference told Davos “elites”: “For the first time in history, foreign policy is truly global.” Twenty years later, in 2000, Bill Clinton became the first sitting American president to attend Davos.

What is ‘The Great Reset’ and who’s pulling the strings?

The WEF is led by founder and Executive Chairman Klaus Schwab. The seemingly innocuous Davos crowd describes itself as an “international organization for public-private cooperation” that engages political, business, and industry leaders of society to **shape global, regional, and industry agendas**.

The international lobbying organization has caught the world’s attention – and ire – in recent years. Particularly, the **WEF’s partnership with the United Nations, their lockstep Agenda 2030, and Klaus Schwab’s Great Reset – a globalist-driven initiative to establish Schwab’s vision of stakeholder capitalism, where corporations are positioned as “trustees of society” to address the world’s economic and social woes.**

Stakeholder Capitalism: A failed idea repackaged by Klaus Schwab

Independent investigative journalist, and author, Ian Davis posits a more nuanced view of Schwab’s stakeholder capitalism (a failed concept that’s been around since 1932):

*“A global network of stakeholder capitalist partners are collaborating to usher in what they claim to be a new model of enhanced democratic accountability that includes “civil society”. However, **beneath their deceptive use of the term civil society lies an ideology which offers this network an unprecedented degree of political control that threatens to extinguish representative democracy entirely.**”*

What is further obfuscated is that **civil society stakeholders are selected.**

“Representatives from NGOs, religious communities, unions, and philanthropic foundations are the selected stakeholders whose only role is to agree with the policies placed on the table by the public-private partnership,” Davis adds. Their consent is deemed your consent.

“The conflict of interest is obvious... Supposedly, a G3P-led system of global governance is somehow beneficial for us. Believing this requires a considerable degree of naïveté.” *Iain Davis*

If you look behind the curtain, mounting evidence suggests the notorious Wizard: de facto ruler of Davos who aims to “improve the state of the world,” is peddling do-gooder

rhetoric to mask a more **sinister brand of stakeholder capitalism**. A model that will **ultimately transfer power away from true democratic processes into a system of governance by a small elect group, trained to continue the agenda set for them** by the previous generation, as predicted by Herman Kahn.

In 1967, Herman Kahn wrote one of the most significant futurist works of the 20th century, The Year 2000: A Framework for Speculation on the Next Thirty-Three Years. In his book, **Kahn explores subverting democracy by training a certain group in society as potential leaders, with those pre-selected few groomed for power being able to define what our shared values as a society should be. Sound familiar? Today's World Economic Forum's Young Global Leader scheme is the exact manifestation of his original proposal.**

In essence, they will hold all the cards, whilst the common people will be left with illusory pseudo-democratic processes, poverty, and constant absurd psychological operations (PSYOPs) to sow chaos and distract us all *unceasingly*.

“You will own nothing and be happy.”⁸ predictions for the world in 2030, World Economic Forum (Video)

Upon further inspection of the WEF founding member dogmas, such as Herman Kahn's prospective aim to create a unified American and European superstate, to **today's WEF think pieces on 15-minute cities, reduced private car ownership by 75%, advancing cashless society driven by programmable Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC), and the infamous and hastily deleted “You will own nothing and be happy” propaganda piece (archived here) – their agendas and reforms suggest intentions that are not so benign.**

Instead, what lurks beneath noble jargon is a complicated and disingenuous “Build Back Better” tagline for The Great Reset carried out with globalist lock-step synergy as a distinct flavor of centralized Orwellian control slowly takes hold.

Neo-Marxist Schwab has also drawn scrutiny for his comments **lauding the WEF's ability to “penetrate cabinets” of world governments and praise for the Chinese “social credit score” system (reinforced by mass surveillance, and predictive policing) declaring it as a model for the West**. In 2016, Schwab griped to then U.S. Vice President Joseph Biden about how the American middle class is holding back his Fourth Industrial Revolution.

“The fourth industrial revolution is not only changing what we do but also who we are. The impact it will have on us as individuals is manifold, affecting our identity and its many related facets – our sense of privacy, our notions of ownership, our consumption patterns, the time we devote to work and leisure, how we develop our careers, cultivate our skills.” – The Fourth Industrial Revolution, by Klaus Schwab, Pg. 92

Meanwhile, a key advisor to Schwab, Israeli transhumanist (fundamentally a hi-tech rendition of eugenic engineering advocacy) and historian Yuval Noah Harari says, “**Free will... that’s over!**”

A frequent keynote speaker at Davos WEF annual summits, Harari readily discusses the “**useless class**” of humans who can be hacked and manipulated using digital surveillance under their skin, the rise of digital dictatorships where “**humans are now hackable animals**,” and the creation of a **global surveillance state**.

Harari also believes in culling the population. In a 2015 TEDGlobal Talk, he asks: “**What do we need so many humans for?**”

The Great Reset trojan horse

In June 2019, the WEF signed a **Strategic Partnership Framework** agreement with the United Nations. The WEF and the UN agreed to collaboratively “accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: milestones to complete the UN’s plan for the 21st century, also known as **Agenda 21** – the UN’s vision for a centrally managed global society. The policies required to achieve these sustainable development goals (SDGs) are developed by the **multi-stakeholder partnership**.”

A closer look at UN Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030.

The original Agenda 21, a 351 page document, outlines the United Nations’ plan for a centrally managed global society. This contract binds governments around the world to the UN plan for controlling the way we live, eat, learn, move and communicate – all under the noble banner of saving the earth.

Unlike Agenda 21, which operates under the guise of saving the environment, Agenda 2030 is truly a template for global governance. In addition to addressing climate change, it also sets ambitious goals for areas such as economics, health, energy, education, agriculture, gender equality, and a whole host of other issues. Billed as a “new universal Agenda” for humanity it is literally a blueprint for transforming global society.

Solidly rooted in the Agenda 21 document, adopted by the United Nations in 1992, Agenda 2030’s “sustainable development” mission is **a call for the micromanagement of the lives of every man, woman and child across the world. If fully implemented, Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 would have the government involved in every aspect of life of every human on earth.**

World Economic Forum: The Net Zero Transition

With this strategic partnership in place, the WEF and the corporations they represent are now engaged in “effective collaboration” with the 193 national governments represented at the UN, also known as The Global Public-Private Partnership (G3P). As a result, the WEF, an unelected globalist organization, is directly partnering with supposedly sovereign governments in the development of global policy agendas.

Globalists want to fundamentally transform virtually everything about today's society. The Great Reset, as described in Schwab's book, calls for a "reset of our economic and social foundations" to "instigate stakeholder capitalism."

The now-defunct Great Reset microsite briefly described the initiative: *"There is an urgent need for global stakeholders to cooperate in simultaneously managing the direct consequences of the COVID-19 crisis. To improve the state of the world, the World Economic Forum is starting The Great Reset initiative."*

On June 3, 2020, then Prince Charles, became the official patron of the WEF's Great Reset and officially launched the project, tweeting out #TheGreatReset, after delivering a Great Reset address at Davos that same day.

Author Matthew Ehret explains how the Great Reset is a trojan horse designed to subvert democracies. "When one reviews the nature of those reforms on the World Economic Forum's websites which are intended to replace the policies of the pre-COVID era, it becomes crystal clear that this Great Reset (which combines full spectrum remedies to the dual crises of COVID and Global Warming), is merely another attempt to steer humanity into a techno-feudal, depopulated cage under a system of global governance managed by social engineers and their oligarchical patrons."

How to resist the 'Great Reset'

As the specter of WEF initiatives and reforms are now snaking their way into mainstream discourse and government-backed policies, those opposed to the World Economic Forum, UN Agenda 2030, and Schwab's Great Reset say it is essential for people to stay vigilant, as what some describe as Orwellian and dystopian "solutions" are gradually foisted upon the global population.

"What we have to confront is a deep systemic and structural restructuring of our world," WEF Chairman Schwab told attendees at the 2022 G20 Bali Summit, which also features the "B20," a gathering of global corporate business leaders. "This will take some time," Schwab continued. "And the world will look differently after we have gone through this transition process."

As World Economic Forum globalists push ahead with their "Great Reset," there are measures you can take to repudiate and hinder their plans.

Dr. Simon Goddek, a biotechnologist, entrepreneur and the founder of Sunfluencer, a vocal critic of the World Economic Forum, recently outlined 25 steps you can take to resist Schwab's Great Reset – an agenda that aims to forcibly transition the world into Klaus Schwab's image. Here's a look at 12 of the 25 recommended courses of action.

1. Turn off your TV.

Or even better – throw it out of your window. There is hardly anything decent on TV. Mainstream media worldwide reports the same propaganda resulting in mass anxiety. By the way, it has been known since the 80s that television can harm mental health. In the last three years, they were shamelessly trying to make us believe that watching TV is good for you when sitting home alone due to unscientific and arbitrary lockdowns.

2. Eat lots of pasture meat, and not bugs.

Klaus Schwab and the WEF want us to “eat ze bugs.” A fried locust is *definitely* not the future of our diet, even though the media is pushing for it. Instead, we should eat as our forefathers did: meat, fish, shellfish, roots, eggs, vegetables, and fruits. Skip the bugs – feed them to the chicken.

3. Consume alternative and objective media.

There are many great alternative media sources such as Telegram, Substack newsletters, thematic blogs, critical Twitter accounts, and general news such as the Off Guardian or TNT Radio. Also, try to learn how to read scientific studies, including their methodology (which is almost always biased). This will improve your decision-making processes.

4. Reject vaccination and CO2 passports, and digital IDs.

The German Green Party, currently part of the government, already proposed climate passports before the “pandemic.” The CO2 credit and the social credit score will come; it is only a matter of time. Fortunately, they won’t succeed if insufficient people comply. I know many people that took the vaccine only to be able to travel. This degree of gaslighting and blackmailing mustn’t happen again.

5. Be self-sufficient regarding water, food, and electricity.

Governments fear nothing more than self-sufficient and independent citizens. If you grow and produce everything yourself, the government cannot impose taxes on it. It also makes you less susceptible to blackmail. Even if you only own a balcony or roof terrace in a city, chances are you have enough space to grow pesticide-free vegetables and soak up some sun rays. Alternatively, join an allotment association.

6. Own your land and farm it.

Owning some land is crucial these days. Before growing anything there, I strongly advise improving the soil of your land. Rumble and Odysee provide many videos about composting and mulching. You also might want to look into the principles of agroforestry and permaculture. Typically, an area as small as 1,000 sqm is enough to provide your family (including grandparents, siblings and cousins) with meat, eggs, fruits, and vegetables.

7. Insist on your tech privacy.

Man is a creature of habits, so I know this step can be difficult. But for the sake of your privacy, this step is quite crucial. Big Tech is one of our main enemies, and you don't want Google, Zuckerberg, and TikTok to know more about you than you do. I personally like Ubuntu or Mint Linux operating systems, as they are beautiful and user-friendly. CalyxOS is an Android operating system that puts privacy into your hands. A de-Googled phone is a safer phone.

8. Protect your online privacy and ditch Google.

SearX and Brave, but don't Google. We all Google, and I must admit that Google offers excellent features. However, Google is a dangerous data miner that also manipulates search algorithms. SearX is a brilliant alternative. So is Brave, which uses its own search engine.

9. Pay with cash, and avoid bonus programs.

Cash is power and especially anonymous: It allows for transactions to be made without any digital footprint or trace, preserving your privacy. Pay with it whenever possible. I have been without a bank account for one year because of expressing my opinion online. Other dissidents have been debanked and their assets have also been frozen and blocked. Doing everything digitally makes you the perfect slave: resist! Also, do not participate in payback programs. They use your data to generate money; nobody gives money away for free.

10. Diversify your investments.

Allocate portions of your money into assets like gold, cryptocurrencies, land, and more. Don't put all your trust in big banks or digital currencies, especially CBDCs controlled by governments. The increasing push towards state-controlled digital currencies can pose a threat to your financial independence due to potential issues like lack of privacy, manipulation, and even outright control of your financial resources. Personally, I consider tangible assets like metals and land to be safe investments. It's always wise to hedge your bets, particularly considering the looming possibility of a global crisis, unlike anything we've seen before.

11. Prepare for the unexpected.

Learn basic survival skills such as starting a fire, purifying water, and basic first aid. This doesn't only prepare you for possible future crises, but also enhances your self-reliance and confidence.

12. Avoid unnecessary debt.

Minimize your reliance on credit and strive to live within your means. High levels of debt essentially make you owned by banks or the government, as they possess financial leverage over your decisions and actions. This debt culture lays the foundation for a social credit system, where your credit history could potentially influence your access to various societal privileges. Avoid this by cutting unnecessary expenses, focusing on savings, and investing wisely.

Build parallel systems to resist global governance and the ‘Great Reset’

From admittedly penetrating governments, proposed regulation of mind control technologies by WEF-member corporations, recalibrating freedom of speech, implanting smartphones into your body, and compliance tracking pills that contain microchips; to praise for compulsory pandemic measures stating lockdowns are quietly improving cities around the world, and a Great Reset to restructure society marking the death of capitalism – their ambitions – dressed up in sustainable development garb – are decidedly Orwellian.

At the 2022 WEF Davos annual meeting, Alibaba Group president J. Michael Evans boasted about the development of an “individual carbon footprint tracker” to monitor what you buy, what you eat, and where/how you travel. Do you see where this is going?

Finally, as it pertains to policy, elect – at all levels of government – representatives that reject WEF agendas and reforms. If your elected officials treat the WEF as the rogue, unelected, illegitimate organization that it is, its influence would be greatly diminished.

Are your leaders members of the WEF? To find out, conduct a Brave site search using the politician’s name as the search phrase.

Example: site:weforum.org/people justin trudeau

Identify local, state, and federal WEF state actors (Manchurian candidates including politicians, lobbyists, and activists) who craft ideas and solicit government authorities to garner significant influence on laws and regulations that subvert core democratic values and constitutional rights.

- Contact your U.S. House and Senate representatives.
- Leverage AI chatbots like Resistbot for civic engagement to contact your officials in two minutes or less.
- Browse bills in the U.S. Congress with GovTrak, relating to a specific subject and find legislation that impacts you.
- Search the Climate Change Laws of the World database to review climate laws and policies globally.

“The real core of the Great Reset strategy is to seize control ... The objective is to take private-interest profit-making producers of goods and services and convert them into public institutions that will fulfill various other public purposes in the public interest. The

labels for such activity are now familiar, including CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility), ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance), Impact investing, sustainability and the like.” – Financial Post

Build parallel systems to counter those imposed by multinational WEF-groomed corporations. If you stop giving them your money, they’ll stop using your money to poison the well of democracy.

Then, they’ll own nothing. And we’ll all be happy.

Read the full list [here](#).