

From: [Ken Honma](#)
To: [WPCtestimony](#); [LPCtestimony](#); [Council Testimony](#); [Kimball, Heather](#); [Kagiwada, Jennifer](#); [Onishi, Dennis](#); [Kierkiewicz, Ashley](#); [Kanealii-Kleinfelder, Matt](#); [Villegas, Rebecca](#); [Galimba, Michelle M.](#); [Inaba, Holeka](#); [Hustace, James](#); cohmayor@hawaiicounty.gov
Cc: [Marie Ruhland](#); [Stand Together Hawaii](#); [Debbie Guanzon](#); [Mark & Ruth Statler](#)
Subject: No confidence in GP2045
Date: Thursday, February 6, 2025 6:22:17 PM

2/6/2025

Dear Planning Commission members,

Watching part of the dialog between the Windward Planning Commission Chairman and the department staff today showed me that even the planning commissioners have no clear

understanding of what this general plan is actually about. We the people have almost unanimously said the same thing; "What exactly is the goal of this general plan?"

The staff mentioned other clarifying information that would be forthcoming that would help clarify some issues:

Why is pertinent information not already included in the proposed plan?

The climate change dogma that is underpinning this document (and that has been shown to be scientifically faulty) is still being used as justification by the staff by invoking past

State and County legislative action as a justification. Rather than the staff admitting that the past actions may not comport with the present scientific information,

staff used the obsolete legislation to be able to defer and deflect the Chairs' attempt at modernizing and updating the scientific basis of the document.

This exchange shows why we the people do not trust our County government and its staff to act in our best interest - the interest of we the inhabitants of the land.

The attitude of the staff representative left me with the impression that the people are being railroaded into accepting the ideological basis for this document even to disregard new scientific knowledge on the climate.

The Planning Commissioners must vote **no confidence** in this plan with the justification that the scientific basis is flawed and does not include new and important information.

Sincerely,

Ken Honma Kurtistown, HI 9676