

LEEWARD PLANNING COMMISSION
GENERAL PLAN SPECIAL MEETING
COUNTY OF HAWAI‘I

MINUTES
FEBRUARY 20, 2025

A video recording is made part of these minutes, which can be viewed on the YouTube County of Hawai‘i Planning Department channel at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IU8fsX1yI4>.

Timestamps are provided for reference in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 92, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes. In the event the video recording becomes unavailable at the above-mentioned URL address, the public can contact the Planning Department for assistance.

The Leeward Planning Commission met in regular session on Thursday, February 20, 2025, at 9:30 a.m., in the West Hawai‘i Civic Center, Council Chambers, Building A, 74-5044 Ane Keohokālole Highway, Kailua-Kona, Hawai‘i, with Chairperson Dean Au presiding. This meeting was streamed live on YouTube.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa, Commissioner Barbara DeFranco, Commissioner Michael Dela Cruz, Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte, Commissioner Armando Rodriguez, and Dean Au (Chair).

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT AND EXCUSED: Commissioner Clement “CJ” Kanuha III.

ALSO PRESENT: Suzanna Tiapula, Esq. (Deputy Corporation Counsel to the Commission), Jeffrey Darrow (Planning Director), and Planning Department staff.

Approximately five members of the public were present in the audience.

Chair Dean Au, before starting the meeting, expressed gratitude to outgoing Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte for over five years of service on the Leeward Planning Commission, presenting her with a certificate of appreciation. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow thanked her for her cultural, archaeological, and historical insights, noting her unique contributions and wisdom. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco also thanked Mahina for her two years as Vice Chair and her valued ability to communicate in Hawai‘i Creole English (Hawai‘i ‘Ōlelo), expressing deep appreciation for her service. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 00:24]

Chair Dean Au called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:24]

Chair Dean Au began by thanking the public for taking the time to come the Leeward Planning Commission. He then made some housekeeping announcements, requesting attendees turn off their cell phones and put them on silent. He pointed out the location of the public restrooms and drinking fountain, both accessible through the door on the right. For anyone wishing to testify in person, Chair Dean Au asked them to fill out the yellow sign-up forms at the staff table and submit any written testimony not previously sent. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:50]

A quorum was present, and Chair Au introduced the Commissioners. Staff introductions were made. He asked each Commissioner to confirm they had reviewed all materials related to the agenda items for today's meeting. The Commissioners confirmed they had. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:18]*

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Chair Dean Au explained that the public meeting aims to allow interested individuals to comment on the Hawai'i County General Plan 2045 final recommended draft (dated July 2024). The Planning Commission will review the draft and submit recommendations to the County Council by March 21, 2025. The meeting format includes a presentation by Planning Department staff, followed by public testimony, Commissioner discussions, and possible actions on the draft plan. At the November 21, 2024, meeting, the Commission passed a motion to recommend approval of the draft plan. This motion remains open, allowing Commissioners to propose changes before the final vote at the last review meeting. The draft plan and agenda are available on the Hawai'i County Planning Commission website. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 04:43]*

STAFF PRESENTATION

At 9:37 a.m., Planning Staff Janice Hata and Bethany Morrison gave the presentation. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 06:25]* Planning Staff Bethany Morrison followed up on Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa's request for the definition of Cumulative Impacts. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 24:34]*

Chair Dean Au asked the commissioners if they had any questions for staff. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 26:19]*

Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa asked about the differences between the term's standards, guidelines, and indicators in the document, noting that they sometimes seemed interchangeable. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that guidelines provide general direction for actions (based on the American Planning Association), whereas standards are more specific and regulatory (likely derived from Hawai'i Revised Statutes). Indicators, on the other hand, measure progress toward implementation goals. Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa then questioned whether there were specific performance targets for increasing native habitat coverage by a set percentage by 2045. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison clarified that while the objective is to increase biodiversity and resilience, the plan does not include specific numerical targets—only indicators to track whether habitat coverage is increasing or not. Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa noted that this approach seemed more subjective, but Planning Staff Bethany Morrison countered that it is still objective because measurable studies track habitat changes over time. However, no specific percentage goals are set in the plan. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 26:35]*

Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte asked whether the newly discussed cumulative impact definition would be added to the glossary. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison confirmed that it had not been included yet and that its inclusion would depend on future recommendations. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte also suggested broadening the definition to include positive cumulative effects rather than just mitigating negative impacts. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison welcomed this idea. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte then inquired where the

cumulative impact definition would apply within the General Plan. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that currently, it only appears in the definition of equity and is not explicitly included elsewhere, leaving future bodies to decide whether it should be further integrated into policies or actions. Shifting to implementation indicators, Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte asked how the General Plan informs functional plans and whether those plans will help define performance targets. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison confirmed that functional plans, such as community development and transportation plans, act as strategic plans that refine indicators. She gave an example where the General Plan might set an indicator for increasing mass transit ridership, while a transportation plan could set a specific target, such as a 50 percent increase. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte then questioned how impact indicators would be reviewed, adjusted, and adapted based on new data. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that the indicators listed in the plan are just examples, not a fixed or exhaustive list. Adjustments would be made through progress reports, including the mayor's annual progress report and a five-year implementation status report, which assess actions and indicators to determine whether updates are needed. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 31:33]*

STATEMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC ON AGENDA ITEMS

At 10:11 a.m. the Commission took up this item with approximately six members of the audience in the Council Chambers. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 37:57]*

Chair Dean Au reminded commissioners to be mindful when asking questions, ensuring they gather their thoughts and avoid talking over staff during presentations for recording and minute-keeping purposes. He also asked staff to avoid speaking over commissioners. He then outlined the process for public testimony, starting with in-person speakers followed by those joining via Zoom, continuing until all testimonies are heard. He emphasized the importance of respectful and aloha-spirited testimony, warning that personal attacks or disruptive behavior would not be permitted and could result in the meeting being paused or ended. Public testimony was limited to three minutes per person, and he requested speakers to respect that time limit. He also noted that written testimonies had already been reviewed, so speakers should avoid repeating them. If testimony echoed previous statements, he encouraged speakers to simply express their agreement rather than restating points to help efficiently complete the agenda. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 38:13]*

Staff member Maija Jackson announced the in-person testifiers. She instructs the testifiers to turn on the microphone, raise their right hand, and swear to tell the truth. She also clarifies that each testifier will have three minutes, with a 30-second warning, and requests that they state their name and town before beginning their testimony. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 39:44]*

Four individuals provided testimony in-person at 10:11 a.m.:

- 1) Laura Kahulamu – *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 40:40]*
- 2) Josephine Keliipio – *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 44:06]*

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco asked Josephine Keliipio if she could provide a written version of a better definition based on her knowledge. She acknowledged Keliipio's expertise and said that even a longer explanation would be helpful. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 47:20]*

- 3) Margaret Willie – [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 48:52]
- 4) Michelle Melendez – [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 52:01]

Four individuals provided zoom testimony at 10:27 a.m.:

- 1) Chuck Flaherty– [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 56:32]

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco asked testifier Chuck Flaherty for clarification on his references to different years and documents, noting difficulty in following the shifts between 2045, 2020, and the Nā Ala Hele. She specifically asked whether his references to actions, such as 4.52, were based on the 2045 General Plan or another source. Chuck Flaherty acknowledged the lack of clarity and explained that his references came from the draft General Plan 2040, as certain actions were not addressed in the 2045 version. He added that Appendix A contains language from the 2040 plan that the Nā Ala Hele Advisory Council is recommending. He also mentioned that the Sierra Club's executive committee had not yet reviewed the matter, but he would follow up to get their input. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco then expressed appreciation for his previous discussion on incorporating standards and noted her satisfaction in seeing those elements included in the document. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 59:45]

- 2) Kalei Kailikini– [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:03:24]
- 3) Millicent Cummings– [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:07:20]
- 4) Theo Matthew– [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:11:26]

Chair Dean Au announced that public testimony was closed. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:14:37]

QUESTIONS FOR STAFF/DIRECTOR

At 10:46 a.m. Chair Dean Au invited staff to the table to answer commissioners' questions. There were approximately seven public members of the audience. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:14:44]

Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that the plan, launched in November, couldn't be retracted due to an administrative transition. He provided a February 18th memorandum with minor proposed amendments, including clarifications, deletions, and additions like the scenic corridor program and updates to Ali'i Drive and Akoni Pule Highway. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow discussed adjustments to land use designations, such as removing light industrial uses from low-density urban areas and aligning density references with zoning codes. He also clarified the distinction between "standards" and "guidelines" for greater flexibility. Significant revisions were made to land use maps to match existing zoning, and overall, the plan reflects a reduction in proposed density compared to the previous version. He offered to answer any questions. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:15:08]

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco asked about proposed land use changes for the Hōkūle'a project shown on updated maps, referencing prior recommendations from the Kona Community Development Plan. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that the General Plan had proposed changing much of Hōkūle'a from agricultural to rural designation, which could potentially allow for higher density. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow also noted additional land

use changes Mauka of Halekii Street and clarified that the recreation designation for parcel 8e was updated to properly reflect the area's use as a golf course. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:25:52]*

Commissioner Armando Rodriguez, a farmer, asked whether there is or will be an agricultural committee to represent the county's farming interests. Planning staff member Janice Hata responded that while there's no mention of a formal committee, the Department of Research and Development (R&D) has an economic specialist focused on agriculture. This team collaborated on the agricultural section of the economy chapter in the General Plan and provides resources and support for those in the agricultural industry. Planning Staff Janice Hata recommended reaching out to R&D for more specific guidance. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:29:04]*

Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow added that the county has previously collaborated with the state Department of Agriculture to help preserve and protect agricultural lands. Chair Dean Au asked Commissioner Armando Rodriguez if his question had been answered. Commissioner Armando Rodriguez replied that it was answered partially but noted concerns about water availability and federal involvement, and questioned who would take the lead on such issues in the General Plan. Chair Dean Au reminded the commission that they could raise motions or further discuss topics even if questions aren't fully resolved, before moving the discussion forward. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:30:47]*

Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa questioned why the term "Urban Character Guidelines" was changed to "Urban Standard Guidelines" in the General Plan, expressing confusion over combining the terms "standard" and "guideline". Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that the intent was to provide direction without being overly rigid—hence, using the term "standard" while keeping the content flexible like a guideline. He acknowledged the tension between public testimony asking for clear standards and the desire for flexibility, and said the phrasing was a compromise. Vice Chair Donna "Kinuko" Noborikawa suggested simplifying the language by using just "guidelines" and asked whether previous plans, like the 2040 draft, had clearer standards. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow admitted he hadn't reviewed the 2040 plan and based his input on the current General Plan. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison, who worked on the 2045 draft, added that in the 2040 version, standards were mostly embedded within policy language and not in tables like the current draft, which may explain the difference in clarity. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:32:17]*

Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte asked Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow to clarify two key points. First, she questioned the change from "resort nodes" to "resort areas" in the plan. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that "resort node" was removed from the current draft to avoid confusion, as only the term "resort" is used in the new General Plan, and "resort area" better reflects intended land use. She then asked how carrying capacity—ecological, social, or otherwise—was considered in the proposed land use changes. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow responded that the changes were primarily based on aligning future growth with current zoning, proposals, and the existing General Plan, rather than explicitly evaluating carrying capacity. He cited examples where land use was adjusted to reflect zoning or long-standing community plans, such as in Volcano and Hawaiian Paradise Park. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte also asked planning staff about how population growth projections informed the plan. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that initial forecasts were based on 2015 data

and later updated with 2020 census data, which showed slower growth. These trends were used to align mapping and infrastructure planning with anticipated regional and countywide needs. Finally, Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte, referencing her role on the Hawai'i Tourism Authority board, asked how the plan addresses regenerative tourism. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison responded that they used economic forecasts, including visitor data, and drew on DMAPs (Destination Management Action Plans) to identify hotspots and inform land use strategies that support community-driven tourism management. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:38:58]*

Commissioner Armando Rodriguez asked whether infrastructure would be built before implementing proposed land use changes, given the area's significant growth. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison acknowledged this as a long-standing “chicken and egg” issue. She explained that some areas can't grow further without infrastructure improvements, but priorities often focus first on deferred maintenance due to health and safety concerns. Expanding infrastructure capacity for future growth depends on decisions by policymakers and available funding. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:49:46]*

During a discussion about removing Action 15 from the general plan, Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa asked about the original intent behind it. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that the intent was to ensure compliance with state requirements that farm dwellings be tied to actual agricultural use or income. However, he noted that this has been difficult to enforce, as many applicants are not full-time farmers but landowners with small plots trying to justify another dwelling. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow emphasized that their current system is stricter than in other counties, which often accept minimal agricultural activity as sufficient. He argued that requiring annual reports would reveal widespread noncompliance and overwhelm staff capacity. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa expressed concern that without monitoring, housing for agricultural workers might be lost. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow acknowledged this but explained that in practice, most additional dwellings are not used by hired farm workers. He advocated for broader definitions of agricultural income, including growing food for personal use, and suggested that the county reconsider its overly rigid standards. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:50:10]*

DISCUSSION AND DELIBERATION

Chair Dean Au initiated a discussion on strengthening the general plan's policies related to electricity and renewable energy, particularly in the context of wildfire-prone areas like Waikoloa. While he supports renewable energy development, he raised concerns about the vulnerability of overhead utility lines in high-hazard zones. He is considering proposing language in the plan to encourage “hardening” of utility infrastructure, but wants flexibility in how that is defined, given the potential costs and future technological advancements. Chair Dean Au emphasized he does not want to impose strict undergrounding requirements that could financially burden developers or homeowners, especially over a 45-year timeframe. Instead, he prefers general language promoting resilience in utility systems in hazardous areas. Planning Director Darrow responded that the general plan already includes a few policies encouraging underground utilities and collaboration with utility companies, and offered to help refine or add language if Chair Dean Au decides to make a motion. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 01:57:10]*

Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa shared her past experience working in public works in Washington state, where the department began addressing sustainability by exploring undergrounding power lines to reduce outages from ice and falling trees. She agreed with Chair Au’s preference for using the term “hardening” rather than specifying undergrounding, to allow for flexibility as technology evolves. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa emphasized the importance of starting somewhere, suggesting the county begin by implementing utility hardening in its own publicly funded projects (like roads and bridges), which could serve as a model for private development. She pointed out that the general plan can be updated over time to reflect new, more feasible technologies and encouraged incorporating hardening measures in the implementation tables as a recommended action. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:04:33]*

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend the main motion to add to the first sentence on page 35, under ‘Sustainable Development and Resilient Communities’, “emergency response and preparedness services for” to read: “We strategically apply progressive land use strategies incorporating indigenous and contemporary knowledge and place-based practices to direct and manage growth for the health, safety, and emergency response and preparedness services for our communities”. Commissioner Michael Dela Cruz seconded the motion. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 02:07:20]* Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte asked whether her proposed language regarding emergency response and preparedness would be better placed under the goal addressing climate change or under the “Sustainable Development and Resilient Communities” section. Planning staff Bethany Morrison clarified that the relevant content already exists under the land use goal on page 79 and specifically within Objective 12 on page 81, which addresses reducing risks from natural hazards. Morrison indicated this section is thematically appropriate for Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte’s amendment. Commissioner Paishon-Duarte confirmed the alignment, and Planning Staff Bethany Morrison affirmed it. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:10:40]* Chair Dean Au suggested adding language about emergency response and preparedness to strengthen Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte’s proposal, noting he didn’t see it clearly addressed in Objective 12. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison clarified that while natural hazard planning appears in the land use section, additional details on emergency services is found in Section 4.4, which covers public facilities and services, including emergency and protective services. Chair Dean Au acknowledged the clarification, appreciating that the topic is addressed in multiple parts of the plan. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:12:48]*

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend her motion to add to page 35 and any other sections of the plan where the goal is referenced, the additional wording of “health, safety, and emergency response and preparedness services for our communities” to read: “We strategically apply progressive land use strategies incorporating indigenous and contemporary knowledge and place-based practices to direct and manage growth for the health, safety, and emergency response and preparedness services for our communities.” Commissioner Michael Dela Cruz seconded the motion. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 02:18:20]* The motion carried with six ayes (Paishon-Duarte, Dela Cruz, DeFranco, Noborikawa, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 02:24:25]*

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco raised concerns about repeated public claims that the General Plan aims to tax water, asking for clarification on the source of this misunderstanding. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow and Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that the likely source is

a long-standing policy (dating back to the 2005 General Plan) regarding the development of health standards for rainwater catchment systems, aimed at protecting public health—not taxing or regulating personal water use. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow emphasized that the plan does not impose taxes, take land, or change zoning, and that fears may be rooted in national issues rather than local intent. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco also asked for clarification on Hawaiian homelands, to which Darrow responded that while they are briefly mentioned in the plan, they are under separate jurisdiction and not directed by the county’s General Plan. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:25:28]*

Chair Dean Au noted that most public testimony has been strongly opposed to the current draft of the 2045 General Plan. He asked for clarification on what would happen if the plan is not adopted. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison confirmed that if the County Council does not adopt the 2045 plan, the existing 2005 General Plan remains in effect. Chair Dean Au concluded by emphasizing that unless an alternative is proposed or the draft is adopted, the county will continue operating under the 2005 plan. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:31:07]*

****LUNCH BREAK 12:04 P.M. to 12:47 P.M.**** *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 02:32:50]*

Chair Dean Au called the meeting back to order at 12:47 p.m. with approximately zero members of the audience in the Council Chambers. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:15:40]*

Chair Dean Au reopened a prior discussion on infrastructure “hardening”, particularly concerning its high cost and relevance for communities with limited access points, such as Waikoloa and Hāwī. He emphasized that hardening utilities—like burying power lines or reinforcing buildings—is a costly but crucial safety measure, especially in disaster-prone areas. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco asked for clarification of the chair's earlier remarks, expressing support for considering hardening while noting issues like maintenance, visual impacts, and the challenges of underground infrastructure. She also mentioned the potential benefits of innovation and alternative energy options and suggested learning from other communities’ experiences. Commissioner Armando Rodriguez stressed the urgent need for better infrastructure in fast-growing regions like Ocean View, citing the lack of water and emergency planning, especially highlighted during the recent Mauna Loa activity. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte linked the topic to her experience supporting recovery efforts in Lahaina and Kula after the fires, raising concerns about who bears the long-term financial burden of hardening infrastructure. Chair Dean Au acknowledged that costs typically fall on developers, who pass them on to future landowners or users. He explained that consolidating utility lines into a shared underground trench could offer cost-sharing advantages but clarified that compliance with public works and county codes ultimately determines feasibility. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco noted uncertainty about whether water and electric lines can legally share a trench, to which Chair Dean Au responded that those decisions depend on county codes and permitting. While generally supportive, Commissioner Barbara DeFranco cautioned against making hardening a mandatory standard, suggesting it should be an optional guideline based on community choice and financial willingness. Commissioner Armando Rodriguez added that Hawai‘i’s hard lava and seismic activity also pose technical challenges to underground installations. Chair Dean Au concluded by acknowledging the balance needed between safety, cost, and visual improvement, referencing successful undergrounding in places like Mililani and parts of Kona. He affirmed his intent to

further consider the issue and eventually propose a motion with appropriate language. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:15:52]

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco shifted the discussion to address concerns raised during public testimony, particularly one speaker's emotional comments about artificial intelligence and smart cities. She sought clarification from staff on whether these topics were included in the current draft of the General Plan. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison confirmed that neither AI nor smart cities are referenced in the draft. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco then expressed concern about the existing definition of “*Ahupua‘a*” in the plan, describing it as inadequate and not reflective of its traditional role as a comprehensive, self-sustaining land management system in Hawaiian culture. She proposed that the Commission consider redefining the term and possibly amend the General Plan accordingly. In response, Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte agreed and committed to drafting expanded definitions for “*Ahupua‘a*,” as well as “*‘Āina*” and “*Wahi Pana*”, to present at the next meeting if the discussion is extended to Monday the 24th. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:27:58]

Commissioner Armando Rodriguez responded to concerns raised about sustainability by sharing his personal background growing up on a farm in Mexico and continuing a self-sufficient lifestyle in Hawai‘i. He emphasized that the General Plan does not prevent people from living sustainably and suggested that more education might help residents reconnect with traditional, self-reliant practices. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco agreed, adding that the General Plan actively supports and encourages farming, home gardening, and sustainable living for families. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:31:18]

Chair Dean Au asked staff to clarify public testimony that linked the draft 2045 General Plan to the United Nations. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison explained that some comments likely referenced the UN Sustainable Development Goals, which have been adopted at the state level through frameworks like the Aloha Plus Challenge and are reflected in the General Plan. These goals address broad areas such as clean energy, water, and reducing hunger. Chair Dean Au added context, explaining that Hawai‘i's aggressive renewable energy targets—originally initiated under Governor Lingle and signed into law by Governor Ige in 2015—are state mandates that naturally influence county plans. He emphasized that while public concern is valid, much of the renewable energy direction stems from state and federal policy, not county-level decisions. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa, drawing on her experience in Washington State and her biology background, likened the similarities between the UN goals and local sustainability initiatives to “convergent evolution”—different sources arriving at similar solutions due to shared needs, rather than direct copying. She noted that Hawai‘i may have even influenced global standards with its early renewable energy laws. Chair Dean Au thanked the commissioners for their insight and encouraged further questions or motions, highlighting the opportunity to seek guidance and strengthen proposals. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:32:32]

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco initiated a discussion about the importance of protecting public trails and access, referencing testimony from Chuck Flaherty of Nā Ala Hele. She highlighted that his recommendations emphasized the need to strengthen and clarify protections for trails, hiking areas, and open space—elements she felt were more clearly addressed in the 2040 General Plan than in the 2045 draft. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco urged fellow

commissioners to review those suggestions and consider incorporating them into a motion at the upcoming Monday meeting. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa expressed support for improving accessibility, particularly for cultural practitioners, but also stressed the need to balance that with protection of sacred and historical sites (*Wahi Pana*). She noted that while Native Hawaiians often understand how to respectfully engage with these areas, others may not, and any amendment should include provisions to safeguard against damage or misuse. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco agreed, emphasizing that public access, especially to the ocean, must be maintained while also ensuring sacred sites receive appropriate protection. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:37:54]

Chair Dean Au asked staff to clarify the differences between state and county trail designations, and how authority is determined, particularly in relation to historic trails and properties. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison explained that there is significant overlap among federal, state, and county trail systems. For example, the Ala Kahakai Trail is a National Historic Trail under federal jurisdiction, while the Nā Ala Hele system includes both historic and modern state trails. At the county level, historic trail segments may also be preserved through land use and development processes. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison added that part of the confusion regarding trail protections between the 2040 and 2045 General Plan drafts stems from a shift in where public access policies are placed. In the 2040 draft, they were under natural and cultural resources, while in the 2045 draft they appear in the transportation section, focusing on connectivity and multi-modal access rather than cultural preservation. This shift may explain why some of Nā Ala Hele's input appears to be missing or less emphasized in the newer draft. Chair Dean Au followed up by asking whether any federal trails exist in Hawai‘i. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison confirmed that the Ala Kahakai Trail is the main example and added that sites like Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park also fall under federal jurisdiction. Chair Dean Au expressed interest in understanding the broader trail system more fully, especially in light of recent testimony. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:41:09]

Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa raised a concern about two long-delayed trail easement projects in North Kohala involving portions of the federally designated Ala Kahakai Trail. Despite receiving all necessary planning approvals, both projects—one ongoing for over 12 years and the other for nearly a decade—have struggled to complete the process of transferring trail easements to the federal government. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa questioned whether the General Plan could address or streamline such processes, especially when private landowners are trying to comply with conditions set during project approvals but face bureaucratic delays. Planning staff member Bethany Morrison responded that while there is currently a General Plan policy (Policy 17.3) addressing access protection on county-owned lands, there is no policy dealing specifically with facilitating federal trail easement transfers from private landowners. However, she noted that an existing memorandum of understanding between the county and the Ala Kahakai National Historic Trail program could serve as a foundation for such a policy. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison suggested it may be appropriate to include supportive language in the General Plan that encourages county cooperation with federal and state trail systems, particularly in cases involving private property. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:45:07]

Chair Dean Au brought up a question regarding Action 17b on page 111 of the General Plan draft, which refers to exploring the potential for multimodal trails—such as bike paths—to serve

as evacuation routes during emergencies. He asked staff whether any such trails currently exist and whether the language was carried over from the 2005 General Plan or newly introduced. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison explained that the language was newly added as an opportunity to consider non-traditional evacuation routes that don't require full roadways, citing discussions around the Puna Makai alternate route as an example. She clarified that the concept is intended to apply to newer, modern alignments—such as paths for bikes or transit—not to historic or cultural trails, which might be inappropriate for evacuation use due to their sensitivity and protections. Chair Dean Au noted the potential contradiction in using historic or cultural trails as evacuation routes, particularly if such trails contain sacred sites or ancestral remains. He asked specifically whether Hulu Street in Waikoloa could be considered under this category, but Planning Staff Bethany Morrison was not familiar with that particular road. She emphasized the language is exploratory and not directive. Chair Dean Au concluded by reminding the group that the General Plan serves as guidance for county agencies rather than a set of fixed rules, helping to inform but not dictate land use decisions. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:49:06]*

Commissioner Armando Rodriguez asked whether the General Plan includes anything about vacation rentals. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow responded that references to vacation rentals can be found under the term “transient accommodations” in the plan. He explained that the current county code already regulates short-term vacation rentals—specifically unhosted rentals where the entire dwelling is rented out without a resident on-site. There had been an attempt to include regulations for hosted rentals as well, but that effort was withdrawn and may be reintroduced soon. Although the plan initially included a definition for hosted rentals, it is likely to be removed due to the lack of an existing legal framework. Following that, Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa asked about the inclusion of the Ka Pa‘akai analysis in the General Plan. She noted technical difficulties in locating it and emphasized its importance for cultural practitioners. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow and Planning Staff Bethany Morrison confirmed that it does not currently appear in the plan, although it is a required analysis stemming from a court case that guides how cultural and traditional practices are considered in land use decisions. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:53:21]*

Chair Dean Au revisited a previously deferred motion to prioritize emergency evacuation routes over all other planning activities, as recorded in their meeting documentation. He asked for clarification from Corporation Counsel and staff on how to proceed and interpret that motion. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco raised concerns about the phrase “over all other planning activities,” suggesting it was too absolute. She supported prioritization but recommended softer language that allows flexibility. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow agreed, explaining that while evacuation routes can be labeled a high priority in the General Plan, using extreme language like “above all” could be problematic in practice. The Planning Department reviews what comes before them based on consistency with plans and zoning, not necessarily based on a strict prioritization hierarchy. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa proposed amending specific General Plan policies (32h and 32k) to reflect the desired prioritization language more clearly, such as by stating that evacuation route development is a “high priority”. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow and others supported this approach. Chair Dean Au agreed and considered amending the existing motion rather than withdrawing it, seeking procedural advice from Corporation Counsel. Corporation Counsel Suzanna Tiapula confirmed that since the motion was already seconded, the proper course would be to amend it. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 03:58:15]*

****RECESS 1:41 P.M. to 1:46 P.M.**** [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 04:09:51]

Chair Dean Au called the meeting back to order at 1:46 p.m. with approximately zero members of the audience in the Council Chambers. **Audio recordings did not capture this portion of the meeting, as well as some subsequent discussion. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 04:17:25]*

Chair Dean Au discussed the potential impact of a new general plan language on future development projects. He asked if the Planning Department would require developers to include emergency evacuation routes or access roads in their master plans if the language was adopted. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that it would depend on the specifics of the development application. If it involved a zoning change or subdivision, conditions could be applied requiring consistency with the general plan or community development plan (CDP), possibly including provisions for emergency access. Chair Dean Au mentioned a development on the west side near Waikoloa Road, which has one main access point, and asked if it had an emergency access route. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow confirmed there is an emergency access route through Mauna Lanī, though it might be gated. Chair Dean Au expressed his support for incorporating emergency access in development plans, believing it would benefit both the developers and their customers. Corporation Counsel Suzann Tiapula requested that Chair Dean Au restate his motion for clarity, as it had been made while muted. [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 04:17:33]

Action: Chair Dean Au moved to amend his previous motion made on 01-16-25 by adding “prioritize to” at the beginning of Actions 32.h and 32.k on page 159, remove from actions and add to policies starting at 32.20 and renumbering the remaining. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa seconded the motion. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:20:50] Commissioner Armando Rodriguez suggested prioritizing safety by moving a motion to the top of the list, specifically action 32.a, much like prioritizing the most important items on a grocery list. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison offered a friendly suggestion to elevate the prioritization of these actions by placing them in the policy section instead of the action section. This would make the need to prioritize these items stronger. Chair Dean Au agreed and amended the motion as recommended by staff. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:21:57] The motion carried with six ayes (Au, Noborikawa, DeFranco, Dela Cruz, Paishon-Duarte, and Rodriguez) and one excused (Kanuha). [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:28:30]

Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte introduced a new topic by explaining her intent to propose a motion focused on enhancing community engagement. She acknowledged receiving numerous public comments, including calls to halt the General Plan process for various reasons. While she personally does not support stopping the process, she emphasized the importance of honoring community concerns and intends to put forward a motion to further strengthen the plan’s language around robust community involvement and input. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:29:26]

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend the main motion to add “shall be prioritized and” before “shall identify” on page 218, section 6.2.1, under ‘5. Programs and Community Actions’, amend to read: “Community Development Plans shall be prioritized and shall identify desired programs and the community’s role in planning and implementing the programs.” Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa seconded the motion. [YOUTUBE

TIMESTAMPED 04:31:26] Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa expressed strong support for increasing community involvement but acknowledged the long-standing challenge of low public participation, despite repeated outreach efforts. She shared North Kohala’s success due to its small, connected community and active CDP (Community Development Plan) but questioned how to replicate that in other districts. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco added that the Kona CDP has evolved to prioritize outreach and that other areas like Ocean View and Pāhoa also have active community involvement efforts. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte responded by suggesting that uneven engagement might be due to a lack of resources and proposed amending her motion to include language emphasizing that community engagement should be adequately resourced. She then asked for input on what others believe “resourcing” should include in this context. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:33:48]* Commissioner Armando Rodriguez expressed support for the general plan and its intentions but emphasized the need for foundational infrastructure and stronger commitment from the county to make it effective. Drawing from his own experience as a coffee business owner, he highlighted that just like building a business requires structure, so does implementing a successful plan. He recommended establishing specific committees—such as for agriculture—to address particular issues and rebuild public trust. Commissioner Armando Rodriguez concluded that leveraging individuals’ strengths through focused efforts is essential for the plan’s success, otherwise it may not work as intended. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:40:14]* Commissioner Barbara DeFranco reflected on past successful community engagement efforts in Hawai‘i, highlighting how visionary local leadership, collaboration among residents, and county-supported programs like the CEO (Community Empowerment Organization) and KUMU projects helped address major issues like the ice epidemic. These programs empowered residents by offering training, stipends, and food to encourage participation and foster leadership. She emphasized that such initiatives worked because they were well-resourced, largely through grants, and created meaningful connections between communities and the county. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte responded in agreement, noting that Commissioner Barbara DeFranco’s example reinforced the importance of adequately resourced community engagement—an idea central to her motion to strengthen public involvement in the general plan process. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:41:27]* The motion carried with six ayes (Paishon-Duarte, Noborikawa, DeFranco, Dela Cruz, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:51:37]*

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend the motion by adding “adequately resourced” after “shall be prioritized”, to read: “Community Development Plans shall be prioritized, adequately resourced, and shall identify desired programs and the community’s role in planning and implementing the programs.” Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa seconded the motion. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:37:39]* Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa elaborated on the importance of resourcing community engagement efforts, emphasizing the practical elements that help boost participation, such as providing food, childcare, and language interpretation—strategies she experienced firsthand in Washington. She contrasted this with North Kohala, where despite not receiving county funding due to being outside the official CDP process, community groups still manage strong engagement by organizing their own events with shared food and relying heavily on word-of-mouth. She explained that Kohala’s small population and limited public spaces contribute to tight-knit communication, allowing news and calls to action to spread quickly and effectively, resulting in high turnout for events and community initiatives. She highlighted the value of considering childcare and other support in broader county efforts to improve engagement across more

dispersed or urbanized areas. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:45:58]* Commissioner Michael Dela Cruz expressed strong support for the motion to enhance community engagement; echoing sentiments shared by other commissioners. He emphasized the importance of community input in shaping decisions that ultimately reach the County Council and highlighted the value of creative approaches—such as offering food or childcare—to encourage participation. Acknowledging the challenges of serving as a volunteer commissioner, he reiterated that active community involvement is essential to the success of the General Plan. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:49:15]* Chair Dean Au voiced support for the motion to enhance community engagement but sought clarification regarding its scope and implementation. He asked whether the motion specifically applies to Community Development Plans (CDPs), which are typically county-run, and noted the county’s funding limitations as mentioned by Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow. While recognizing the importance of county support, he also highlighted the value of community-led efforts, like potlucks in Kohala, as supplemental engagement strategies. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:50:21]* The motion carried with six ayes (Paishon-Duarte, Dela Cruz, DeFranco, Noborikawa, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:51:35]*

Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte introduced a new motion focused on adding transparent accountability measures to the previously passed motion on community engagement. She emphasized the importance of being able to track progress if such commitments are included in the plan and eventually approved by the County Council. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:52:15]*

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend the main motion to add “community development plans” after “master plans” on page 223, under the 5-year Implementation Status Report section in section 6.4.2, to read: “This status report shall include the status of any urban development plans, functional plans, special area plans, master plans, community development plans, and related priority implementation actions.” Commissioner Barbara DeFranco seconded the motion. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:53:00]* Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte asked Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow if he would support adding accountability measures to the plan. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow responded affirmatively, noting that the original intent was to include such accountability in the existing language—specifically for CDPs and functional plans—but agreed that making it explicit would strengthen the plan. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:54:14]* Chair Dean Au expressed support for the motion, noting that including the word “community” may encourage more public participation. He also humorously added that the mention of “resources” might be interpreted as food, which could help draw people to attend. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:55:11]* Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa expressed her support for the motion, emphasizing the importance of addressing Community Development Plans (CDPs). She highlighted the need for transparency, particularly in areas like Hilo, which lacks a CDP, and how this should be communicated to the public. She also mentioned that communities like Kohala are already eager to start working on their CDP, even before the general plan is adopted, and noted the varied progress of CDPs across different districts. Including this information in the status report would help people understand the status of CDPs and community involvement countywide. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:55:36]* Commissioner Armando Rodriguez asked about the process for modifying the plan if something was missing or needed to be changed after adoption. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison clarified that any changes would require another ordinance, which would go through the

planning commission and then be decided by the County Council. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:57:08]* Chair Dean Au expressed his support for the motion, emphasizing the importance of increasing community involvement. He suggested that engaging the community at the CDP level would lead to further participation at the planning commission and County Council levels, helping the public recognize and take more initiative in the opportunities available to them. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:57:44]* Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte inquired whether Community Development Planning (CDP) committees could form specialized groups, such as Agricultural or Food Systems committees, or Emergency Preparedness committees, to organize around specific community interests. Planning staff Bethany Morrison acknowledged the possibility but deferred to Corporation Counsel for further details on committee formation. Corporation Counsel Suzanna Tiapula clarified that while North Kohala does not have a formal CDP, communities are free to create any committees they wish, with their specific focus areas depending on the needs and makeup of each community. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 04:58:27]* Commissioner Barbara DeFranco mentioned that the Kona CDP had a strong focus on open space. Commissioner Michael Dela Cruz inquired about the number of active CDPs in each district, noting he often hears about North and South Kohala. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison responded that there are active action committees for Hamakua, South Kohala, Kona (including North and South Kona), Kau, and Puna. However, there are no active action committees for South Hilo or North Kohala. *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:00:25]* The motion carried with six ayes (Paishon-Duarte, DeFranco, Dela Cruz, Noborikawa, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). *[YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:01:32]*

****RECESS 2:34 P.M. to 2:44 P.M.**** *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 05:02:47]*

Chair Dean Au called the meeting back to order at 2:44 p.m. with approximately zero members of the audience in the Council Chambers. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 05:13:24]*

Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa inquired about how many cultural practitioners were involved in writing portions of the plan, specifically the biocultural section. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison responded that the Cultural Resources Commission provided feedback throughout the process. Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa followed up, asking if practitioners from different districts were invited to contribute, to which Planning Staff Bethany Morrison replied that she couldn't recall any other practitioners being involved. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 05:13:36]*

Commissioner Barbara DeFranco commented that the term “cultural practitioner” is broad and can refer to many people, including those working for the county or in various departments. She mentioned that cultural practitioners have likely participated in the process, even though there isn't a specific list or clear definition of who qualifies as one. She also noted that CDPs include cultural practitioners. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison responded, explaining that while the outreach for the plan was extensive and included cultural practitioners in various capacities, they did not specifically seek out individuals who identify as cultural practitioners for input on the drafting of the plan. *[SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 05:15:05]*

Action: Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa moved to amend the main motion to amend Policy 17.1 on page 111 to add the words “while also protecting sacred sites and burials” after “procedures”, to read: “Ensure Native Hawaiian access rights are clearly expressed in County

code, policies, and procedures, while also protecting sacred sites and burials.” Commissioner Paishon-Duarte seconded the motion. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:17:48] Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa emphasized the importance of providing access for Native Hawaiians to sacred sites while also ensuring protection to prevent desecration. She suggested making it clear in the general plan that both access and protection are necessary. Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte agreed with the reasoning behind the motion. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:18:43] Chair Dean Au asked about the procedures in place when a developer encounters a burial site on their property, specifically regarding access for families and enforcement protocols. Planning Staff Bethany Morrison referred to language in the General Plan (page 46) about historic sites and biocultural stewardship, then deferred to Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow. Darrow explained that when a land use application is submitted, it's reviewed by the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) through the HICRIS system. SHPD may request archaeological studies and recommend conditions such as preservation or burial treatment plans. Access for lineal descendants can be included in conditions, but not all burial sites allow public access. If a burial site is discovered during unrelated development, work must stop and SHPD must be contacted for further instructions. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:19:27] Commissioner Barbara DeFranco asked for clarification on procedures when someone inadvertently discovers burial or sacred sites during land clearing, specifically regarding grading permits. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow explained that grading permits are handled by the Department of Public Works, which requires sign-off from the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD). If SHPD needs more information, they will request it before approval. Commissioner Armando Rodriguez followed up by asking who to contact if someone is actively destroying burial sites. Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow confirmed that such cases fall under Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) 6E and should be reported directly to SHPD. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:24:07]

Action: Commissioner Mahina Paishon-Duarte moved to amend the motion to add the following language: “in accordance with applicable state laws and state historic preservation laws”, to read: “Ensure Native Hawaiian access rights are clearly expressed in County code, policies, and procedures, while also protecting sacred sites and burials in accordance with applicable state laws and state historic preservation laws.” Commissioner Barbara DeFranco seconded the motion. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:27:30] Planning Director Jeffrey Darrow suggested that while including language about protection and preservation in the Public Access section is appropriate, it might be more fitting to place it in the section on page 46 (Section 3.11), which specifically addresses historical and biocultural stewardship. He noted it's beneficial to have the language in either place but recommended the commission consider aligning it with the more thematically relevant section. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:29:18] The motion carried with six ayes (Noborikawa, DeFranco, Dela Cruz, Paishon-Duarte, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:31:36]

Vice Chair Donna “Kinuko” Noborikawa made a motion to continue the meeting to February 24, 2025. Commissioner Barbara DeFranco seconded the motion. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:32:55] Chair Dean Au announced that tomorrow’s meeting is canceled due to a lack of quorum. The Commission will reconvene as outlined in the motion next week Monday at 9:30 a.m., which he noted will likely be their final General Plan meeting. [YOUTUBE TIMESTAMPED 05:33:13] The motion carried with six ayes (Noborikawa, DeFranco, Dela Cruz, Paishon-Duarte, Rodriguez, and Au) and one excused (Kanuha). [SEE YOUTUBE TIMESTAMP 05:33:33]

This meeting ended at 3:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kelsie Chang

Kelsie Chang (May 16, 2025 14:08 HST)

Kelsie Chang

Secretary

ATTEST:

Dean Au

Dean Au (May 20, 2025 14:33 HST)

Dean Au, Chairperson

Leeward Planning Commission