

Investigatory Subcommittee

Nancy Burns, Doug Payne, Michael Riehm, Keoki Schattauer, Curtis Tyler, Ken Melrose, Hiram Rivera, Bill Moore

Kona CDP Ad Hoc Committee Minutes/Report.

The Kona CDP Ad Hoc Committee was requested to review General Plan Land Use, Transportation, and Historic/Cultural elements in relationship to the Kona CDP Plan goals/policies/actions. The Committee determined that at this early stage of the General Plan Revision process, they needed further guidance/direction with respect to the process. If the vision/direction is to keep the basic General Plan structure with minor revisions, then it may be appropriate to review the existing Elements with respect to the Kona CDP. However, if there are major structural changes to the General Plan being contemplated, then reviewing the existing elements may not be an important exercise. In any event, the Kona CDP Ad Hoc Committee felt that their focus of was on implementing the Kona CDP. With respect to the General Plan, the committee felt that the Planning Department needs to ensure that the key goals, policies, and actions identified in the Kona CDP are either incorporated in or acknowledged by the General Plan.

With respect to the specific elements, the Ad Hoc Committee had some specific comments/recommendations with respect to the General Plan Transportation Elements Goals, Policies and Courses of Action. These include:

1. That the Road Standards be updated to ensure they accommodate multi-modal systems.
2. The Mass Transit plan/strategy in the Kona CDP be either incorporated in or be acknowledged by the General Plan.
3. The Kona Circulation Plan either be incorporated in or be acknowledged by the General Plan.
4. The North and South Kona Transportation Courses of Action be deleted ad the specific recommendations of the Kona CDP either be incorporated in or be acknowledged by the General Plan.
5. The references to the minimum right-of-way widths in Section 13.3.4 be deleted.

Again, these specific recommendations are based on the assumption that the current structure of the General Plan will be kept.

The Committee looks forward to further guidance/direction from the Planning Department on the General Plan Update process and approach.

4/29/15

Notes from CDP adhoc committee 4-15-15

As discussed: Please edit and send to Greg.

This is a great opportunity for our county and the Community to revise the General plan using forward thinking and creative structures. We can all agree that we don't need to reinvent the wheel by undergoing a costly and timely development planning process but we can look at the recently adopted Maui Island Plan and use their plan as our template. We can make it specific to our island needs. We are in alignment with the structure and relationship that they have outlined with their community groups.

The general plan is general to the entire island. The CDPS are specific to their respective communities. Specific rules over the general. The individual CDPs goals objectives, policies and actions have precedence over the broad strokes that the general plan provides.

Implementation is a key factor along with financial strength

Kona CDP is committed to encouraging new language be added so that this CDP plan can be implemented. Recognizing that certain adjustments need to be made to the kona CDP plan ie. Connectivity, concurrency and industrial uses. CDP would encourage the local people to care for the land ie adopt a park/ hwy and establishing the use of TDRs.

The Maui Island Plan, adopted on 12/28/2012, provides direction for future growth, the economy, and social and environmental decisions on the island through 2030. The Maui Island Plan establishes a vision, founded on core values that break down into goals, objectives, policies, and actions. In addition, the Plan incorporates lessons from the past. The Maui Island Plan is the second component of the decennial General Plan update. The MIP will be used by the County Council, the Maui Planning Commission, County staff, and the community as a policy foundation for day-to-day decision making in the following ways:

- Developing, implementing, and applying policies and regulations (e.g., zoning and other ordinances, including the Community Plans, that describe the kind of development that is allowed); and
- Determining the appropriateness of discretionary development proposals.